## 46. Symmetrization of the van der Corput Generalized Sequences

By Petko D. PROINOV

Department of Mathematics, University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., May 12, 1988)

1. Introduction. Let  $\sigma = (x_n)_0^{\infty}$  be an infinite sequence in the unit interval E = [0, 1]. The sequence  $\sigma$  is called *uniformly distributed* in E if  $\lim_{N\to\infty} A_N(\sigma; x) = x$  for all  $x \in E$ , where  $A_N(\sigma; x)/N$  denotes the number of terms  $x_n$ ,  $0 \le n \le N-1$ , which are less than x. The diaphony  $F_N(\sigma)$  and the  $L^2$  discrepancy  $T_N(\sigma)$  of the sequence  $\sigma$  are defined for every positive integer N as follows:

$$F_N(\sigma) = (2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (1/h^2) |(1/N)S_N(\sigma;h)|^2)^{1/2}$$

and

$$T_{N}(\sigma) = \left( \int_{0}^{1} |A_{N}(\sigma; x)/N - x|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2},$$

where

 $S_N(\sigma; h) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp(2\pi i h x_n)$ 

is the exponential sum of  $\sigma$ . It is well known (see [9] and [10]), that both  $T_N(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$  and  $F_N(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$  are equivalent to the sequence  $\sigma$  being uniformly distributed in E. Also it is known (see [5] and [6]), that the best possible order of magnitude of both  $T_N(\sigma)$  and  $F_N(\sigma)$  is  $N^{-1}(\log N)^{1/2}$ .

Now let  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  be a given infinite sequence of integers  $r_j \ge 2$ . Suppose also that for every integer  $j \ge 0$  we are given a permutation  $\tau_j$  of the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, r_{j+1}-1\}$ . For the sake of brevity, we put  $R_0=0$  and  $R_j=r_1r_2\cdots r_j$ for  $j\ge 1$ . The van der Corput generalized sequence  $\sigma = (\varphi(n))_0^{\infty}$ , associated with the given sequences  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  and  $(\tau_j)_0^{\infty}$ , was constructed by Faure [2] as follows: For an integer  $n\ge 0$ , let

 $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j R_j \qquad (a_j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, r_{j+1}-1\}, j=0, 1, \cdots)$ be the  $(r_j)$ -adic expansion of n. Then set

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tau_j(a_j) / R_{j+1}.$$

In the present paper, we prove that if the sequence  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  satisfies the condition  $\sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 = O(n)$ , then both the diaphony  $F_N(\sigma)$  of the van der Corput generalized sequence  $\sigma$  and the  $L^2$  discrepancy  $T_N(\tilde{\sigma})$  of any symmetric sequence  $\tilde{\sigma}$  produced by  $\sigma$  have the best possible order of magnitude  $N^{-1}(\log N)^{1/2}$ . Also we obtain an exact estimate for the  $L^2$  discrepancy of a class of two-dimensional finite sequences associated with the van der Corput generalized sequences.

2. Statement of the results.

Theorem 1. Suppose that  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  satisfies the condition (1)  $\sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 \leq Bn$  for all  $n \in N$ , where B is a positive constant. Then, for every integer  $N \ge 1$ , the diaphony of the van der Corput generalized sequence  $\sigma$  satisfies (2)  $NF_N(\sigma) \le \pi C(r, B) (\log rN)^{1/2}$ , where  $r = \min \{r_i | j \in N\}$  and  $C(r, B) = ((B-1)/(3 \log r))^{1/2}$ .

In order to formulate the next two theorems we need the notion of symmetric sequence (see [5]). A sequence  $(y_n)_0^{\infty}$  in E is called symmetric if  $y_{2n}+y_{2n+1}=1$  for every  $n\geq 0$ . A symmetric sequence  $(y_n)_0^{\infty}$  is said to be produced by an infinite sequence  $(x_n)_0^{\infty}$  if for every integer  $n\geq 0$  we have either  $y_{2n}=x_n$  or  $y_{2n+1}=x_n$ . Obviously, every infinite sequence in E produces at least one symmetric sequence.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  satisfies (1). Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be any symmetric sequence produced by the van der Corput generalized sequence  $\sigma$ . Then for every integer  $N \geq 1$ , we have

(3)  $NT_N(\hat{\sigma}) \leq C(r, B) (\log (rN/2))^{1/2} + 1,$ 

where r and C(r, B) are defined as in the previous theorem.

Now let X be a finite sequence consisting of N points in the unit square  $E^2$ . Then the  $L^2$  discrepancy T(X) of X is defined by

$$T(X) = \left( \iint_{E^2} |A(x, y)/N - xy|^2 \, dx \, dy \right)^{1/2},$$

where A(x, y) denotes the number of points of X lying in the rectangle  $[0, x) \times [0, y)$ . From the well known theorems of Roth [8] and Devenport [1], it follows that the best possible order of magnitude of T(X) is also  $N^{-1}(\log N)^{1/2}$ . In the next theorem, we construct a very large family of finite sequences in  $E^2$  whose  $L^2$  discrepancy has the best possible order of magnitude.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose again that  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  satisfies (1). Let  $\tilde{\sigma} = (y_n)_0^{\infty}$  be any symmetric sequence in E produced by the van der Corput generalized sequence  $\sigma$ , and let  $N \ge 1$  be a given integer. Then for the  $L^2$  discrepancy  $T(X_N)$  of the two-dimensional finite sequence  $X_N$  consisting of the points

 $(n/N, y_n), \quad n=0, 1, \cdots, N-1,$ 

we have

(4)  $NT(X_N) \leq C(r, B) (\log (rN/2))^{1/2} + 2,$ 

where r and C(r, B) are the same as in Theorem 1.

Let  $\tilde{\sigma} = (y_n)_0^{\infty}$  be a symmetric sequence in E produced by the van der Corput generalized sequence  $\sigma$ , and let  $N \ge 2$  be an integer. From [7: Theorem A], it follows that  $NT_N(\tilde{\sigma}) \le (1/\pi)nF_n(\sigma)+1$ , where n=[N/2]. (Here [x] denotes the integral part of a real x.) From this and Theorem 1 we immediately obtain Theorem 2. Further, from one-dimensional case of [6: Theorem 1], it follows that there exists an integer n with  $1 \le n \le N$  such that  $NT(X_N) \le nT_n(\tilde{\sigma})+1$ . From this and Theorem 2 we get Theorem 3. Hence, we have to prove only Theorem 1. A sketch of its proof is given in Sections 3 and 4.

Remark 1. If  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  satisfies a stronger condition than (1), then the estimates (2), (3) and (4) admit a minor improvement. For example, if  $r_j^2 \leq B$  for  $j \geq 1$ , then  $\log rN$  in (2) can be replaced by  $\log ((r-1)N+1)$ .

**Remark 2.** We note that in the special case  $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r$  and  $\tau_0 = \tau_1 = \cdots = I$ , where  $r \ge 2$  is an integer and I is the identical permutation of the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ , the above results are due to Proinov and Grozdanov [7].

**Remark 3.** In connection with Theorem 2 we shall formulate a result of Faure [3]. Consider the symmetric sequence

$$\tilde{\sigma} = (\varphi(0), 1 - \varphi(0), \varphi(1), 1 - \varphi(1), \cdots)$$

and put

 $c = \overline{\lim}_{N \to \infty} NT_N(\tilde{\sigma}) / (\log N)^{1/2}.$ 

In the special case  $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = 2$  and  $\tau_0 = \tau_1 = \cdots = I$ , Faure proved that  $0.29 \cdots \leq c < 0.34$ , and conjectured that  $c = 0.29 \cdots$ .

3. Auxiliary results. In this section we do not suppose that  $(r_j)_1^{\infty}$  satisfies (1). Let  $\sigma$  be the van der Corput generalized sequence.

Lemma 1. The sequence  $\sigma$  has the following two properties:

(i) For every integer  $n \ge 0$  there exists a real number  $\beta_n$  such that  $\{\varphi(j) | j=0, 1, \dots, R_n-1\} = \{j/R_n + \beta_n | j=0, 1, \dots, R_n-1\}.$ 

(ii) For all integers a, b and n with  $0 \leq a < r_{n+1}$ ,  $0 \leq b < R_n$  and  $n \geq 0$ , we have

 $\varphi(aR_n+b) = \varphi(aR_n) + \varphi(b) - \varphi(0).$ 

We omit the proof of Lemma 1 since it can easily be verified directly by the definition of  $\varphi(n)$ . We see moreover that (i) holds for

$$\beta_n = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \tau_j(0) / R_{j+1}.$$

Lemma 2. Let  $N = aR_n + b$ , where a, b and n are integers with  $1 \leq a < r_{n+1}, 1 \leq b \leq R_n$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Then the exponential sum  $S_N(\sigma; h)$  of  $\sigma$  satisfies  $|S_N(\sigma; h)| \leq |S_{aR_n}(\sigma; h)| + |S_b(\sigma; h)|$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using Lemma 1-(ii) we deduce

 $S_{N}(\sigma; h) = S_{aR_{n}}(\sigma; h) + S_{b}(\sigma; h) \exp \left(2\pi i h(\varphi(aR_{n}) - \varphi(0))\right),$ which implies the desired inequality. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3. Let  $N \ge 1$  be an integer, and let (5)  $N = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j R_j$   $(a_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r_{j+1}-1\}, j=0, 1, \dots)$ be its  $(r_j)$ -adic expansion. Then for the exponential sum  $S_N(\sigma; h)$  of  $\sigma$ , we have the estimate

 $(6) \qquad |S_N(\sigma;h)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^n a_j R_j \delta_{R_j}(h) \qquad for all \ h \in \mathbb{Z},$ where

$$\delta_m(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \\ 0 & \text{if } h \equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ . First we state that

 $(7) |S_{aR_n}(\sigma;h)| \leq aR_n \delta_{R_n}(h)$ 

for every integer a with  $1 \leq a \leq r_{n+1}$ . Indeed, from Lemma 2, it follows that

 $(8) \qquad |S_{aR_n}(\sigma;h)| \leq a |S_{R_n}(\sigma;h)|$ 

for the same values of *a*. From Lemma 1-(i) and the well known identity  $m\delta_m(h) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \exp(2\pi i h j/m)$ , we get

(9)  $S_{R_n}(\sigma; h) = R_n \delta_{R_n}(h) \exp(2\pi i h \beta_n).$ 

From (8) and (9), we obtain the desired inequality (7). Further, we may

P. D. PROINOV

assume with no loss of generality that  $a_n \neq 0$  in (5). Now to complete the proof of (6) one can use induction on n and the inequality (7). Q.E.D.

Remark 4. Let  $N \ge 1$  and  $h \ge 1$  be integers. Then Lemma 3 implies that  $|S_N(\sigma; h)| \le r_n h - 1$ , where *n* satisfies  $R_{n-1} \le h < R_n$ . From this and the well known Weyl criterion for uniform distribution (see [4: p. 7]), we conclude that every van der Corput generalized sequence is uniformly distributed in E.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $N \ge 1$  be a given integer, and let (5) be its  $(r_j)$ -adic expansion with  $a_n \ne 0$ . From the definition of the diaphony  $F_N(\sigma)$  and Lemma 3, we get

$$N^{2}F_{N}^{2}(\sigma) \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{j}a_{\nu}R_{j}R_{\nu} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (1/h^{2})\delta_{R_{j}}(h)\delta_{R_{\nu}}(h)$$

$$= 4 \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{j} a_{j}a_{\nu}R_{j}R_{\nu} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (1/h^{2})\delta_{R_{j}}(h)$$

$$-2 \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}^{2}R_{j}^{2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (1/h^{2})\delta_{R_{j}}(h)$$

$$= (\pi^{2}/3) \sum_{j=0}^{n} (2a_{j}R_{j}^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{j} a_{\nu}R_{\nu} - a_{j}^{2})$$

$$\leq (\pi^{2}/3) \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}(2r_{j+1} - a_{j})$$

From this and (1) we obtain

(10)  $N^2 F_N^2(\sigma) \leq (\pi^2/3)(B-1)(n+1).$ 

On the other hand, it follows from (5) that  $N \ge R_n \ge r^n$ , and so  $n \le (\log N)/(\log r)$ . Hence, (10) implies the desired estimate (2). Q.E.D.

## References

- H. Davenport: Note on irregularities of distribution. Mathematika, 3, 131-135 (1956).
- [2] H. Faure: Discrépance de suites associées à un système de numération (en dimension un). Bull. Soc. Math. France, 109, 143-182 (1981).
- [3] ——: Discrépance quadratique de suites infinies en dimension un. Proc. Conf. on Number Theory, University of Laval, Quebec, Canada, pp. 22–25 (1987).
- [4] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter: Uniform Distribution of Sequences. Wiley (1974).
- [5] P. D. Proinov: On the  $L^2$  discrepancy of some infinite sequences. Serdica Bulg. Math. Publ., 11, 3-12 (1985).
- [6] ——: On irregularities of distribution. C. R. Acad. Sci. Bulgare, 39, no. 9, 31-34 (1986).
- [7] P. D. Proinov and V. S. Grozdanov: Symmetrization of the van der Corput-Halton sequence. ibid., 40, no. 8, 5-8 (1987).
- [8] K. F. Roth: On irregularities of distribution. Mathematika, 1, 73-79 (1954).
- [9] I. M. Sobol': Multidimensional Quadrature Formulae and Haar Functions. Moscow (1969) (in Russian).
- [10] P. Zinterhof and H. Stegbuchner: Trigonometrische Approximation mit Gleichverteilungsmethoden. Studia Sci. Math. Hung., 13, 273-289 (1978).