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1. Introduction. The purpose of this is to present some new twostep methods, which deal with the following initial value problem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of all computational methods for (1.1), Runge-Kutta (abbr., R-K) are most popular. R-K methods retain the advantage of one-step methods, but need some functional evaluations for each step. We shall look for other methods to decrease the functional evaluations in R-K methods. Such methods have been discussed by Byrne, Lambert [1] and many others. We have seen in [1] that two-step R-K methods have order $p(r)=r+1(r=2,3,4)$, and that R-K methods [2], [3] have order $p(r)=r(r=1,2,3,4), p(5)=4, p(6)$ $=5, p(r)=6(r=7,8), p(r)=7(r=9,10), p(11)=8$, where $p(r)$ denotes the highest order that can be attained by an $r$-stage. Thus two-step R-K methods attain higher order than R-K methods for the same stage. However, in actual computation, two-step R-K methods would not yield as good numerical results as $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}$ methods for the same order, and some people seem to have the opinion that two-step R-K methods may not be useful for actual computations, but some useful two-step methods are still required in many fields. We now propose the following two-step $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}$ methods which improve the defect of the usual two-step R-K methods :

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+1}=V_{1}^{(1)} y_{n-1}+V_{2}^{(1)} y_{n}+h \Phi^{(1)}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, y_{n-1}, y_{n-1+\theta_{1}}, y_{n}, y_{n+\theta_{2}} ; h\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
& y_{n+1+\theta}=V_{1}^{(2)} y_{n-1}+V_{2}^{(2)} y_{n}+h \Phi^{(2)}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, y_{n-1}, y_{n-1+\theta_{1}}, y_{n}, y_{n+\theta_{2}} ; h\right), \\
& \Phi^{(j)}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, y_{n-1}, y_{n-1+\theta_{1}}, y_{n}, y_{n+\theta_{2}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(W_{i}^{(j)} k_{i}\left(x_{n-1}\right)+S_{i}^{(j)} k_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad\left(0 \leq \theta=\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \leq 1\right), \quad(j=1,2), \\
& k_{1}\left(x_{n-j}\right)=f\left(x_{n-j}, y_{n-j}\right) \quad(j=0,1), \quad \\
& k_{i}\left(x_{n-1}\right)=f\left(x_{n-1}+a_{i} h, y_{n-1}+b_{i} y_{n-1+\theta_{1}}+h \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} b_{i j} k_{j}\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right), \\
& k_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{n}+c_{i} h, y_{n}+d_{i} y_{n+\theta_{2}}+h \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} d_{i j} k_{j}\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \\
& a_{i}=b_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} b_{i j}, \quad c_{i}=d_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} d_{i j} \quad\left(0<a_{i}, c_{i} \leq 1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In our methods, we have $p(2)=5$. In using our method, we assume that we have already computed the value of $y\left(x_{0}+\theta h\right), y\left(x_{0}+h\right)$ and $y\left(x_{0}+(1+\theta) h\right)$ by some other means, where $y(x)$ denotes the analytical solutions of (1.1). We first calculate the value of $y_{1}$ and $y_{1+\theta_{1}}$ by some means of (1.2), and next proceed to the calculation of $y_{2}$ and $y_{2+\theta_{2}}$. To demonstrate

[^0]our idea, we present our method (1.2) for $r=2$ below. Stability analysis, numerical results and other related results will appear elsewhere.
2. Numerical method $(r=2)$. It can be seen that in (1.2) there are some parameters which must be determined. To obtain specific values for these parameters, we expand $y_{n+1}$ in (1.2) in terms of $h$ such as that it agrees with the solution of the differential equation up to order five in its Taylor series. This yields the following results :
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{2 m+1+i a}=V_{1}^{(i+1)} y_{2 m}+V_{2}^{(i+1)} y_{2 m+1}+h \sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\left(W_{j}^{(i+1)} k_{j}\left(x_{2 m-1}\right)+S_{j}^{(i+1)} k_{j}\left(x_{2 m}\right)\right),  \tag{2.1}\\
& k_{1}\left(x_{2 m-1}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m-1}, y_{2 m-1}\right), \quad k_{2}\left(x_{2 m-1}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m-1}+a h, y_{2 m-1+a}\right), \\
& k_{1}\left(x_{2 m}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m}, y_{2 m}\right), \quad k_{2}\left(x_{2 m}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m}+c h, y_{2 m+c}\right) \quad(i=0,1),
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2 m+2+i c}=\tilde{V}_{1}^{(i+1)} y_{2 m+1}+\tilde{V}_{2}^{(i+1)} y_{2 m+2}+h \sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\left(\tilde{W}_{j}^{(i+1)} k_{j}\left(x_{2 m}\right)+\tilde{S}_{j}^{(i+1)} k_{j}\left(x_{2 m+1}\right)\right), \\
& k_{1}\left(x_{2 m}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m}, y_{2 m}\right), \quad k_{2}\left(x_{2 m}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m}+c h, y_{2 m+c}\right), \\
& k_{2}\left(x_{2 m+1}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m+1}, y_{2 m+1}\right), \quad k_{2}\left(x_{2 m+1}\right)=f\left(x_{2 m+1}+a h, y_{2 m+1+a}\right) \quad(i=0,1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{i}^{(1)}=W_{i}(a, c, 0), \quad S_{i}^{(1)}=S_{i}(a, c, 0), \quad V_{i}^{(1)}=V_{i}(a, c, 0), \\
& W_{i}^{(2)}=W_{i}(a, c, a), \quad S_{S_{i}^{(2)}}=S_{i}(a, c, a), \quad V_{i}^{(2)}=V_{i}(a, c, a), \\
& \tilde{W}_{i}^{(1)}=W_{i}(c, a, 0), \quad \tilde{S}_{i}^{(1)}=S_{i}(c, a, 0), \quad \tilde{V}_{i}^{(1)}=V_{i}(c, a, 0), \\
& \tilde{W}_{i}^{(2)}=W_{i}(c, a, a), \quad \tilde{S}_{i}^{(2)}=S_{i}(c, a, 0), \quad \tilde{V}_{i}^{(2)}=V_{i}(c, a, 0), \\
& V_{2}^{(i)}=1-V_{1}^{(i)}, \quad \tilde{V}_{2}^{(i)}=1-\tilde{V}_{1}^{(i)} \quad(i=1,2), \\
& S_{2}(a, b, \theta)\left(=S_{2}\right)=(\theta+1)^{2}(\theta+2)^{2}\{(a-1)(5 a-2)-(4 a-2)(\theta+1)\} \\
& \quad \times\left\{(2 b(b+1)(10 a b+5 a-5 b-2)(a-b-1)\}^{-1},\right. \\
& V_{1}(a, b, \theta)\left(=V_{1}\right)=\left[b^{2}(b+1)(a-b-1) S_{2}-(1 / 60)(\theta+1)^{3}\right. \\
& \quad \times\{5(3 \theta+7)(a-1)-3(\theta+1)(4 \theta+9)\}] 60(5 a-2)^{-1}, \\
& W_{2}(a, b, \theta)\left(=W_{2}\right)=\left\{(\theta+1)^{2}(2 \theta+5)-6 b(b+1) S_{2}-V_{1}\right\}\{6 a(a-1)\}^{-1}, \\
& W_{1}(a, b, \theta)\left(=W_{1}\right)=-0.5(\theta+1)^{2}+0.5 V_{1}+(a-1) W_{2}+b S_{2}, \\
& S_{1}(a, b, \theta)=1+\theta-\left(W_{1}+W_{2}+S_{2}-V_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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