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This paper is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the following problem : given $f, g_{0}, g_{1}$ and $u_{0}$, find $u$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta v=f, & v \in \beta(u) \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega  \tag{1}\\ v=g_{0} & \text { on }(0, \infty) \times \Gamma_{0} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}+p \cdot v=g_{1} & \text { on }(0, \infty) \times\left(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}\right) \\ u(0)=u_{0} & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $R^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma, \Gamma_{0}$ is a compact subset of $\Gamma$ with positive surface measure, $p$ is a positive bounded measurable function on $\Gamma$ and $\beta$ is a maximal monotone graph in $\boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}$. In [6] and [7], the global behavior of solutions to (1) is studied in case when $\beta$ is Lipschitz continuous. This case corresponds to a Stefan problem in a weak sense. But, for instance, in the weak formulations of free boundary problems arising from Hele-Shaw flows and electro-chemical machining processes, $\beta$ is in general multi-valued (cf. [3, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15]). In [10] and [11], the stability of solutions to general evolution equations generated by time-dependent subdifferentials is studied. But their results do not seem to be directly applicable to our problem. In this paper, we extend a part of the results in [7] to a class of $\beta$ including the case of Hele-Shaw flows and electro-chemical machining processes.

Let us use the notations: $H=L^{2}(\Omega)$ with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}$. And put $V=\left\{z \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; z=0\right.$ a.e. on $\left.\Gamma_{0}\right\}$. Then $V$ becomes a Hilbert space with inner product

$$
(z, y)_{V}=\int_{\Omega} \nabla z \cdot \nabla y \mathrm{dx}+\int_{\Gamma} p(x) z(x) y(x) \mathrm{d} \Gamma \quad \text { for } z, y \in V
$$

We denote by $V^{*}$ the dual space of $V$ and regard $V^{*}$ as a Hilbert space with inner product $(z, y)_{*}=\left\langle z, F^{-1} y\right\rangle_{V^{*}, V}$ and norm $|z|_{*}=\langle z, z\rangle_{*}^{1 / 2}$ where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{V^{*}, V}$ is the duality between $V^{*}$ and $V$ and $F$ is the duality mapping from $V$ onto $V^{*}$.

Definition 1. For given constants $a>0$ and $b \geqq 0$, let $B(a, b)$ be the set of all maximal monotone graph $\beta$ in $\boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}$ such that $\beta=\partial \hat{\beta}$ for some $\hat{\beta}: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R} \cup\{\infty\}$ proper l.s.c. (lower-semicontinuous) convex function with $\hat{\beta}(0)=0$ and $\hat{\beta}(r) \geqq a|r|^{2}-b$ for all $r \in \boldsymbol{R}$.

In the problem associated with Hele-Shaw flows, $\beta$ is the inverse of the Heaviside graph, so that $\beta \in B(1,1)$.

Given $\beta \in B(a, b)$ and $g \in W_{10 c}^{1,1}(\boldsymbol{R} ; H)$, we define a function $\varphi^{t}$ on $V^{*}$ for each $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$ by

$$
\varphi^{t}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\int_{\Omega} \hat{\beta}(z(x)) \mathrm{dx}-(g(t), z)_{H} & \text { if } z \in H  \tag{2}\\
\infty & \text { if } z \in V^{*} \backslash H
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 1 (cf. [4, 5]). For each $t \in \boldsymbol{R}, \varphi^{t}$ is a proper l.s.c. convex function on $V^{*}$ with $D\left(\varphi^{t}\right)=\left\{z \in H ; \hat{\beta}(z) \in L^{1}(\Omega)\right\}$ and for $u, u^{*} \in V^{*}, u^{*} \in \partial \varphi^{t}(u)$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
(i) $u \in D\left(\varphi^{t}\right)$.
(ii) There exists $v \in H$ such that $v-g(t) \in V, u^{*}=F(v-g(t))$ and $v(x)$ $\in \beta(u(x))$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Now consider the nonlinear evolution equation in $V^{*}$ :
(3)

$$
u^{\prime}(t)+\partial \varphi^{t}(u(t)) \ni f(t), \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in \boldsymbol{R}_{+} .
$$

On account of Lemma 1, this expression is nothing but the variational formulation of problem (1), provided that we take as $g(t, \cdot)$ the function determined by $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ in a suitable way (see $[4,5]$ ).

Definition 2. Let $\beta$ and $g$ be as above and let $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; V^{*}\right)$. Then $u: J=\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \rightarrow V^{*}$ is called a solution to $E(\beta, g, f)$ on $J$, if it satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $u \in C\left(J ; V^{*}\right) \cap W_{\text {loc }}^{1,2}\left(\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right] ; V^{*}\right)$.
(b) $t \mapsto \varphi^{t}(u(t))$ is in $L^{1}(J)$, where $\varphi^{t}, t \in \boldsymbol{R}$ is given by (2).
(c) (3) holds.

Also for general interval $J$ in $R, u: J \rightarrow V^{*}$ is called a solution to $E(\beta, g, f)$, if it is a solution to $E(\beta, g, f)$ on every compact subinterval of $J$ in the above sense.

By virtue of the general existence-uniqueness result (cf. [9]), we have
Lemma 2. For given $\beta \in B(a, b), g \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}(\boldsymbol{R} ; H), f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; V^{*}\right)$ and $u_{0}$ in the closure of $\left\{z \in H ; \hat{\beta}(z) \in L^{1}(\Omega)\right\}$ in $V^{*}$, there exists a unique solution $u$ to $E(\beta, g, f)$ on $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$with $u(0)=u_{0}$ such that $u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}((0, \infty) ; H)$ and $t \mapsto \varphi^{t}(u(t))$ is in $W_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}(0, \infty)$ ).

We are interested in the periodic and almost periodic behavior of solutions to $E(\beta, g, f)$ on $\boldsymbol{R}$. We shall state only the results about the almost periodicity of solutions. In case when $f$ and $g$ are periodic with the same period $T$, the corresponding results are obtained as corollaries of them, because in this case every $V^{*}$-bounded solution on $R$ must be $T$ periodic (cf. [7]). For the periodic case, also see [8].

Theorem. Let $\beta \in B(a, b), g \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}(\boldsymbol{R} ; H)$ be an $H$-almost periodic function and $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; V^{*}\right)$ be a $V^{*}$-almost periodic function in the sense of Stepanov (cf. [1]). Suppose that $\sup _{t \in R}\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{L^{1(t, t+1 ; H)}}<\infty$ and that if $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $\boldsymbol{R}$ and if $g\left(t+t_{n}\right) \rightarrow \hat{g}(t)$ in $H$ uniformly in $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\hat{g} \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}(\boldsymbol{R} ; H)$ and $\sup _{t \in \boldsymbol{R}}\left|\hat{g}^{\prime}\right|_{L(t, t+1 ; H)}<\infty$. Then we have:
(i) $A P \equiv\left\{u ; u\right.$ is a $V^{*}$-almost periodic solution to $E\left(\beta, g, f^{\prime}\right)$ on $\left.\boldsymbol{R}\right\} \neq \phi$.
(ii) For each solution $u$ to $E(\beta, g, f)$ on $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$, there exists $\omega \in A P$ such that $u(t)-\omega(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $V^{*}$ and weakly in $H$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
(iii) Let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in A P$ and let $\omega_{i}+F\left(\eta_{i}-g\right)=f, \eta_{i} \in \beta\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ a.e. on $\boldsymbol{R}, i=1,2$. Then $\eta_{1}(t)=\eta_{2}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and there is an element $\alpha$ in $H$ such that $\omega_{1}(t)$ $=\omega_{2}(t)+\alpha$ for all $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$.
(iv) A solution $u$ to $E(\beta, g, f)$ on $\boldsymbol{R}$ is $V^{*}$-almost periodic if and only if $u$ is $V^{*}$-bounded on $\boldsymbol{R}$ i.e. $\sup _{t \in \boldsymbol{R}}|u(t)|_{*}<\infty$.

The proof is similar to that in [7]. The different point is the following Lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $\beta, g$ and $f$ be as in the Theorem. Suppose that $u_{i}$ $(i=1,2)$ are solutions to $E(\beta, g, f)$ on an interval $J$. Assume that (4) $\quad t_{\mapsto} \rightarrow u_{1}(t)-\left.u_{2}(t)\right|_{*} \quad$ is constant on $J$.

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{\prime}(t)=u_{2}^{\prime}(t) \quad \text { for } \text { a.e. } t \in J . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use the technique in (2). Let $\varphi^{t}, t \in \boldsymbol{R}$ be given by (2) and let $u_{i}^{\prime}+F\left(v_{i}-g\right)=f$ and $v_{i} \in \beta\left(u_{i}\right)$ a.e. on $J(i=1,2)$. Then we have $\varphi^{t+h}\left(u_{i}(t+h)\right)-\varphi^{t}\left(u_{i}(t)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\Omega} \hat{\beta}\left(u_{i}(t+h)\right) \mathrm{dx}-\int_{\Omega} \hat{\beta}\left(u_{i}(t)\right) \mathrm{dx}-\left(g(t+h), u_{i}(t+h)\right)_{H}+\left(g(t), u_{i}(t)\right)_{H} \\
& \geqq\left(v_{i}(t), u_{i}(t+h)-u_{i}(t)\right)_{H}-\left(g(t+h), u_{i}(t+h)\right)_{H}+\left(g(t), u_{i}(t)\right)_{H} \\
& =\left\langle v_{i}(t)-g(t), u_{i}(t+h)-u_{i}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{*}, V}-\left(g(t+h)-g(t), u_{i}(t+h)\right)_{H} \\
& =\left(f(t)-u_{i}^{\prime}(t), u_{i}(t+h)-u_{i}(t)\right)_{*}-\left(g(t+h)-g(t), u_{i}(t+h)\right)_{H}, \quad i=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u_{i}, i=1,2$ are weakly continuous in $H$, we obtain by dividing both sides of the above inequality by $h$ and letting $h \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\{\varphi^{t}\left(u_{i}(t)\right)\right\}=\left(f(t)-u_{i}^{\prime}(t), u_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{*}- & \left(g^{\prime}(t), u_{i}(t)\right)_{H}  \tag{6}\\
& \text { for a.e. } t \in J, i=1,2 .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from (4) and [11; Lemma 4.4], we have

$$
f(t)-u_{2}^{\prime}(t) \in \partial \varphi^{t}\left(u_{1}(t)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f(t)-u_{1}^{\prime}(t) \in \partial \varphi^{t}\left(u_{2}(t)\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in J .
$$

From this fact we have similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\{\varphi^{t}\left(u_{1}(t)\right)\right\}=\left(f(t)-u_{2}^{\prime}(t), u_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{*}-\left(g^{\prime}(t), u_{1}(t)\right)_{H} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$ for a.e. $t \in J$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\{\varphi^{t}\left(u_{2}(t)\right)\right\}=\left(f(t)-u_{1}^{\prime}(t), u_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{*}-\left(g^{\prime}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)_{H} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for a.e. } t \in J \text {. }
$$

Combining (6), (7) and (8) we obtain (5).
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