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§ 1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the existence and the asymptotic behavior of the global solution of the following variational inequality of evolution (1.1) associated with the boundary condition (1.2) and the initial condition (1.3) :
(1.1) $\quad\left(u_{t}-\Delta u-e^{u}-f, v-u\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geqq 0 \quad$ for a.e. $t \in(0, \infty)$,
for any $v \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfying $0 \leqq v \leqq M$ a.e. in $\Omega$, and $0 \leqq u \leqq M$ on $[0, \infty] \times \bar{\Omega}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha u+(1-\alpha)(\partial u / \partial n)=0 \quad \text { on }(0, \infty) \times \partial \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
& u(0, \cdot)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $R^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, f$ is Höldercontinuous on $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$ and $f \geqq 0, \alpha \in C^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ satisfies $0 \leqq \alpha<1$ or $\alpha \equiv 1$ on $\partial \Omega, u_{0} \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$, and $\left.u_{0}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$ in the case $\alpha \equiv 1, M$ is a given positive number.

Note that the existence of a global solution is highly restricted in the case of the equation of evolution :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}=\Delta U+e^{U}+f \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated with (1.2) and (1.3) (see Fujita [1]).
We shall also show the existence of a solution $u_{\infty}$ of the following stationary variational inequality :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta u_{\infty}-e^{u_{\infty}}-g, v-u_{\infty}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geqq 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfying $0 \leqq v \leqq M$ a.e. in $\Omega$, and $0 \leqq u_{\infty} \leqq M$, where $g$ is Hölder-continuous in $\Omega$ and $g \geqq 0$, and the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha u_{\infty}+(1-\alpha)\left(\partial u_{\infty} / \partial \boldsymbol{n}\right)=0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (1.4), we cannot always expect the existence of a sclution $U_{\infty}$ of the equation (1.7) under the boundary condition (1.8) :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta U_{\infty}+e^{U_{\infty}}+g=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, &  \tag{1.7}\\
\alpha U_{\infty}+(1-\alpha)\left(\partial U_{\infty} / \partial \boldsymbol{n}\right)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

If $f$ is equal to $g$ which is independent of the time $t$, then we can show that the solution $u(t, \cdot)$ of (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) converges to the solution $u_{\infty}$ of (1.5)-(1.6) as $t$ tends to $\infty$.
§ 2. Statement of Theorems.
Theorem 1. Under the conditions stated in §1, there exists one and only one solution $u \equiv u(t, \cdot)$ of (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) which satisfies the following conditions (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in C^{0}([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}),  \tag{2.1}\\
& D_{x} u \in C^{0}([\delta, T] \times \bar{\Omega}), \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.3) $u$ is differentiable at a.e. $t \in(\delta, T)$ as an $L^{2}(\Omega)$-valued function on $(\delta, T)$, and $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\delta, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u \in L^{2}\left(\delta, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ and $T$ are arbitrary positive numbers such that $\delta<T, D_{x} u$ denotes any first order partial derivative in space variables.

Theorem 2. Let $u_{i}$ be the solution of (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) corresponding to $f_{i}$ and $u_{0 i}$ for $i=1,2$. If $f_{1} \geqq f_{2}$ and $u_{01} \geqq u_{02}$, then $u_{1} \geqq u_{2}$ on $[0, \infty) \times \bar{\Omega}$.

Theorem 3. Under the conditions stated in §1, there exists a solution $u_{\infty}$ of (1.5)-(1.6) which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\infty} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha \equiv 1$ and diameter of $\Omega$ is sufficiently small (for example $\operatorname{diam} \Omega<$ $\left.\left(2 n e^{-M}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$, then the solution is unique.

Theorem 4. Let $u \equiv u(t, \cdot)$ be the solution of (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) satisfying (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) where we assume $f(t, \cdot) \equiv g(\cdot)$. Then the following (i) and (ii) hold:
(i) If $\alpha \equiv 0$, then there exist $t_{*}>0$ and a nonnegative, continuous and monotone decreasing function $c(t)$ satisfying $c(t)=0$ for any $t>t_{*}$ such that $u(t, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, \cdot)-M\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqq c(t) \quad \text { for any } t \geqq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $\alpha \equiv 1$ and diam $\Omega$ is sufficiently small (as stated in Theorem 3), then there exist positive numbers $\delta$ and $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u(t, \cdot)-u_{\infty}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqq C e^{-\delta t} \quad \text { for any } t \geqq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\infty}$ is the solution of the stationary variational inequality (1.5)-(1.6) under the Dirichlet boundary condition.

## §3. Outline of the proofs of theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. We use so-called penalty method. We shall prove this theorem in the case ; $\alpha \equiv 1, f \equiv 0$ and $u_{0}<M$. The proof of general case may be performed analogously. For any positive number $\varepsilon<e^{-M} / 2$, we define the mapping $\beta_{\varepsilon}: \boldsymbol{R}^{1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ as follows :

$$
\beta_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(e^{\lambda-M}-1\right)_{+}=\operatorname{Max}\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(e^{\lambda-M}-1\right), 0\right\} .
$$

We also fix a $C^{1}$-mapping $\gamma: \boldsymbol{R}^{1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ satisfying the following condition :
$\gamma(\cdot)$ is the identity mapping on $[0, \infty)$, and is bounded and negative on ( $-\infty, 0$ ).
Let $U(t, x, y)$ be the fundamental solution of the parabolic equation $u_{t}=\Delta u$ in $\Omega$ under the Dirichlet boundary condition. We construct "approximate functions" $u_{\varepsilon}$ by solving a Volterra type integral equation by iteration as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u_{0}(t, x)= & u_{0}(x)  \tag{3.1}\\
u_{n+1}(t, x)= & \int_{\Omega} U(t, x, y) u_{0}(y) d y \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} U(t-\tau, x, y) \gamma\left(e^{u_{n}}-\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) d y d \tau \\
(n=0,1,2, & \cdots)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Because of the boundedness of $\gamma\left(e^{\lambda}-\beta_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{1},\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \Omega)}$ is bounded uniformly in $n$. By standard argument, we can show that $u_{n}$ converges to a function $u_{\varepsilon}$ uniformly on [ $\left.0, T\right] \times \bar{\Omega}$ which satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u_{\varepsilon}(t, x)= & \int_{\Omega} U(t, x, y) u_{0}(y) d y  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} U(t-\tau, x, y) r\left(e^{u_{\varepsilon}}-\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d y d \tau
\end{align*}\right.
$$

on $(0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}$.
Accordingly, $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{s}\right)_{t}=\Delta u_{s}+\gamma\left(e^{u_{s}}-\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{s}\right)\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
\left.u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{[0, T] \times \partial \Omega}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We may also show $0 \leqq u_{\varepsilon} \leqq \lambda_{\varepsilon}$ on [ $\left.0, T\right] \times \bar{\Omega}$, where $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$ is a unique root of $e^{\lambda}=\beta_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$. So we may replace $\gamma\left(e^{u_{\varepsilon}}-\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ in (3.4) by $e^{u_{\varepsilon}}-\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)$, and we may conclude that $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{t}=\Delta u_{s}+e^{u_{s}}-\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
\left.u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{[0, T] \times \partial \Omega}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next we choose a positive number $\eta$ so small that $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, \eta) \times \Omega)}<M$. Then on $[0, \eta] \times \bar{\Omega}, u_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution of $U_{t}=\Delta U+e^{v}$, and $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $D_{x} u_{\varepsilon}$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on $[\eta, T] \times \bar{\Omega}$; this fact follows from (3.2) and the property of the fundamental solution $U(t, x, y)$. Applying the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem, there exist a sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \downarrow 0$ and a function $u$ such that $u_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ (resp. $D_{x} u_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ ) converges to $u$ (resp. $D_{x} u$ ) uniformly on $[\eta, T]$ $\times \bar{\Omega}$. Thus we have proved (2.1) and (2.2). Moreover there exists a nonnegative function $B \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$ such that $\beta_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)$ converges to $B$ weakly; this fact follows from the uniform boundedness of $\left\|\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}((\eta, T) \times \Omega)}$. We can also show that $\left\{\left(u_{s}\right)_{t}\right\}_{0<\varepsilon<e-\mu / 2}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(\eta, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. So (2.3) holds, and accordingly (2.4) and the following equation holds:
(3.5) $\quad u_{t}=\Delta u+e^{u}-B \quad$ in $L^{2}\left(\eta, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

To show (1.1), we take any $t_{1}, t_{2}\left(\eta \leqq t_{1}<t_{2} \leqq T\right)$ and $v \in L^{2}\left(\eta, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ satisfying $0 \leqq v \leqq M$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(u_{t}-\Delta u-e^{u}, v-u\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} d \tau=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(-B, v-u)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} d \tau  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(-B, v-M)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} d \tau+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(-B, M-u)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} d \tau=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II} .
\end{align*}
$$

That $I \geqq 0$ is clear. That $I I=0$ follows from the estimate of $\lambda_{s}$ and $\left\|\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \Omega)}$. Thus we have proved the existence of a solution.

If there exists another solution $\hat{u}$ which satisfies (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) and (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), then the difference $w=u-\hat{u}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leqq 2 e^{M}\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

and accordingly $\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leqq\|w(\eta)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \times e^{2 e \mu_{t}}=0$.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $u_{i \varepsilon}$ be the approximate function of $u_{i}$ stated in the proof of Theorem $1(i=1,2)$. Then we can show $u_{1 \varepsilon} \geqq u_{2 \varepsilon}$. Taking limit along the common subsequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \downarrow 0$, we obtain $u_{1} \geqq u_{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. To construct approximate functions, we define the operator $\Gamma: C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ as follows;

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Gamma u)(x)=\int_{\Omega} G(x, y) \gamma\left(e^{u}-\beta_{\varepsilon}(u)\right) d y, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the Green function under the given boundary condition. Then $\Gamma$ is a compact operator in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$. Hence, using Schauder's fixed point Theorem, we can define approximate functions. Using the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the existence of a solution.

If there exists another solution $\hat{u}_{\infty}$, the difference $w=u_{\infty}-\hat{u}_{\infty}$ satisfies $-\|\nabla w\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+e^{M}\|w\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \geqq 0$. If diam $\Omega$ is so small as stated in Theorem 3, $\|w\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=0$ follows from the Poincare inequality.

Proof of Theorem 4. We may also show that the difference $w(t)=u(t)$ $-u_{\infty}$ satisfies $(1 / 2)(d / d t)\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|\nabla w\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leqq e^{M}\|w\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$. If $\operatorname{diam} \Omega$ is so small as stated in Theorem 3, Theorem 4-(i) follows from the Poincaré inequality. (ii) is proved by using Theorem 2.

Remark. It is not very difficult to extend our results to the case of more general $C^{1}$-nonlinear terms. Of course the $u^{m}$-type nonlinear term can be treated easily. Details will be published elsewhere.
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