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Abstract. We denote the set of recurrent functions and the set of distal functions by $R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ and $D\left(T, R^{n}\right)$, respectively. Then it is known ([1]) that $D\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ is a linear space, but that $R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ is not a linear space. The purpose of this paper is to strengthen the above results. We show that, if $f \in R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)-D\left(T, R^{n}\right)$, then there exist $g^{1}, g^{2} \in R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ in its hull such that $g^{1}-g^{2} \oplus R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)$.

Let $T$ denote real numbers $R$ or integers $Z$. Let $X$ be a metric space with the metric $d_{X}$. A continuous mapping $\pi: X \times T \rightarrow X$ is called a flow on (a phase space) $X$ if $\pi$ satisfies the following two conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x, 0)=x \quad \text { for } x \in X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\quad \pi(\pi(x, t), s)=\pi(x, t+s) \quad$ for $x \in X$ and $t, s \in T$.

The orbit through $x \in X$ is denoted by $C_{\pi}(x) . \quad M \subset X$ is called an invariant set of $\pi$ if $C_{\pi}(x) \subset M$ for $x \in M$. The restriction of $\pi$ to an invariant set $M \subset X$ is denoted by $\pi \mid M$. A non-empty compact invariant set $M$ of $\pi$ is called a minimal set if we have $\bar{C}_{\pi}(x)=M$ for every $x \in M$, where $C_{\pi}(x)$ is closure of $C_{\pi}(x)$. If $X$ is itself a minimal set of $\pi$, we say that $\pi$ is a minimal flow on $X$. A flow $\pi$ on $X$ is said to be equicontinuous if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $d_{x}(\pi(x, t)$, $\pi(y, t))<\varepsilon$ holds for $x, y \in X$ with $d_{x}(x, y)<\delta$ and for $t \in T$. A flow $\pi$ on $X$ said to be distal if $\inf _{t \in T}\left\{d_{X}(\pi(x, t), \pi(y, t))\right\}>0$ for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$. A point $x \in X$ is called an almost automorphic point of $\pi$ if for each sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset T$ there exists a subsequence $\left\{t_{n_{k}}\right\}$ $\subset\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that $\pi\left(x, t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow y \in X$ and $\pi\left(y,-t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ hold. A minimal flow is said to be almost automorphic if it contains an almost automorphic point. It is well known that every equicontinuous minimal flow on a compact metric space is distal and almost automorphic. Let $\pi$ and $\rho$ be flows on $X$ and $Y$, respectively. A continuous mapping $h$ of $X$ into $Y$ is called a homomorphism from $\pi$ to $\rho$ if we have $h(\pi(x, t))=\rho(h(x), t)$ for $(x, t) \in X \times T$.

Proposition 1. Let $\pi$ be a flow on a compact metric space $X$. If $x \in X$ is an almost automorphic point, then $C_{\pi}(x)$ is a minimal set of $\pi$.

Proof. If $C_{\pi}(x)$ is not minimal, then there exists a minimal set $M \subset C_{\pi}(x)$ such that $x \otimes M$. Let $y \in M$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset T$ such that $\pi\left(x, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x$ is an almost auto-
morphic point of $\pi, \pi\left(y,-t_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ holds for some subsequence of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$. But $\pi\left(y,-t_{n_{k}}\right) \in M$ for all $k$, and hence we have $x \in M$. This is a contradiction. Hence $C_{\pi}(x)$ is a minimal set of $\pi$.

Proposition 2. Let $\pi$ be a minimal flow on a compact metric space $X$. If $\pi$ is almost automorphic but not equicontinuous, then $\pi$ is not distal.

Proof. If $\pi$ is an almost automorphic, then there exists an equicontinuous minimal flow $\rho$ on $Y$ and a homomorphism $h$ from $\pi$ to $\rho$ such that $h^{-1}\left(h\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ for some $x_{0} \in X$ (see [3] or [2]). Since $\pi$ is not equicontinuous, there exists $x \in X$ such that $h^{-1}(h(x)) \neq\{x\}$. If $x^{\prime} \in$ $h^{-1}(h(x))\left(x^{\prime} \neq x\right)$, then we have $\inf _{t \in T}\left\{d_{X}\left(\pi(x, t), \pi\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right)\right\}=0$. This implies that $\pi$ is not distal.

Let

$$
C\left(T, R^{n}\right)=\left\{f: T \rightarrow R^{n} ; f \text { is continuous }\right\}
$$

with compact-open topology. Then $C\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ is a metric space. We denote a metric of it by $d$. Define a flow $\eta$ on $C\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ by $\eta(f, t)=f_{t}$ for $(f, t) \in C\left(T, R^{n}\right) \times T$, where $f_{t}(s)=f(t+s)$ for $s \in T$. It is well known that it is well defined. The restriction of $\eta$ to the hull $H(f)$ $=\left\{f_{t}\right\}_{t \in T}$ of $f \in C\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ by $\eta_{f} . \quad f \in C\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ is said to be
(1) recurrent if $H(f)$ is compact and $\eta_{f}$ is minimal,
(2) almost periodic if $H(f)$ is compact and $\eta_{f}$ is equicontinuous,
(3) distal if $H(f)$ is compact and $\eta_{f}$ is distal, and
(4) almost automorphic if $H(f)$ is compact and $f$ is almost automorphic point of $\eta$.

Proposition 3. Let $\pi$ be a flow on a compact metric space $X$, and $\Phi: X \rightarrow R^{n}$ a continuous function. Define a mapping $h$ from $X$ into $C\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ by $h(x)=\Phi(\pi(x, \cdot))$ for $x \in X$. Then $h$ is a homomorphism. from $\pi$ to $\eta$.

Proof. Easy.
We denote the sets of recurrent functions, almost periodic functions, distal functions and almost automorphic functions by $R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)$, $A P\left(T, R^{n}\right), D\left(T, R^{n}\right)$ and $A A\left(T, R^{n}\right)$, respectively.

Theorem. If $f \in R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)-D\left(T, R^{n}\right)$, then there exist $g^{1}, g^{2} \in$ $H(f)$ such that $g^{1}-g^{2} \oplus R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)$.

Proof. Since $f$ is not distal, there exist $g^{1}, g^{2} \in H(f)\left(g^{1} \neq g^{2}\right)$ such that $\inf _{t \in T}\left\{d\left(g_{t}^{1}, g_{t}^{2}\right)\right\}=0$. We consider the product flow $\eta_{f} \times \eta_{f}$ on $H(f)$. $\times H(f)$ by

$$
\eta_{f} \times \eta_{f}\left(\left(h^{1}, h^{2}\right), t\right)=\left(h_{t}^{1}, h_{t}^{2}\right)
$$

for $h^{1}, h^{2} \in H(f)$ and $t \in T$. Define $\Phi^{\prime}: H(f) \rightarrow R^{n}$ by $\Phi^{\prime}(g)=g(0)$ for $g \in H(f)$. Then $\Phi^{\prime}$ is continuous on $H(f)$. Define a mapping $\Phi: H(f)$ $\times H(f) \rightarrow R^{n}$ by $\Phi\left(h^{1}, h^{2}\right)=\Phi^{\prime}\left(h^{1}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(h^{2}\right)$ for $\left(h^{1}, h^{2}\right) \in H(f) \times H(f)$. Then $\Phi$ is also continuous on $H(f) \times H(f)$. By Proposition 3, $\Phi$ induces a
homomorphism $h$ from $\eta_{f} \times \eta_{f}$ to $\eta$. By the definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(h^{1}, h^{2}\right)(t) & \left.=\Phi\left(\eta_{f} \times \eta_{f}\left(\left(h^{1}, h^{2}\right), t\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(h_{t}^{1}, h_{t}^{2}\right)=\Phi^{\prime}\left(h_{t}^{1}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(h_{t}^{2}\right) \\
& =h^{1}(t)-h^{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\left(h^{1}, h^{2}\right) \in H(f) \times H(f)$ and $t \in T$. Since $\inf _{t \in T}\left\{\left(g_{t}^{1}, g_{t}^{2}\right)\right\}=0$, there exist a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset T$ and $g \in H(f)$ such that $g_{t_{n}}^{1} \rightarrow g$ and $g_{t_{n} \rightarrow g}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since every orbit closure is invariant and $C_{n_{f} \times \eta_{f}}\left(\left(g^{1}, g^{2}\right)\right) \ni(g, g)$, we have $C_{\eta_{f} \times \eta_{f}}\left(\left(g^{1}, g^{2}\right)\right) \supset \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the diagonal set of $H(f) \times H(f)$. By continuity of $h$, we have

$$
h\left(C_{\eta_{f} \times \eta_{f}}\left(\left(g^{1}, g^{2}\right)\right)\right)=C_{\eta}\left(h\left(g^{1}, g^{2}\right)\right)=C_{\eta}\left(g^{1}-g^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence $C_{\eta}\left(g^{1}-g^{2}\right)$ contains the 0 -function $k$ (i.e. $k(t) \equiv 0$ ), because the image of every element of $\Delta$ by $h$ is $k$. Hence $\eta_{g^{1-g^{2}}}$ is not minimal. This implies that $g^{1}-g^{2} \oplus R E\left(T, R^{n}\right)$.

Example. We consider the function $f$ on $Z$ defined by

$$
f(n)=\operatorname{sgn}(\cos (2 \pi \alpha n))=\left\{\begin{aligned}
1 & \cos (2 \pi \alpha n)>0 \\
-1 & \cos (2 \pi \alpha n)<0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

for $n \in Z$, where $\alpha$ is an irrational number. Then $f$ is almost automorphic but not equicontinuous (see [3] p. 720). Hence $f$ is not distal by Proposition 2. $H(f)$ contains following functions: Let $\cos (2 \pi(m \alpha+x))=0$ for some $m \in Z$ and some $x \in[0,1)$. Put

$$
f^{x+m}(n)= \begin{cases}1 & n=m \\ \operatorname{sgn}(\cos (2 \pi(n \alpha+x))) & n \neq m\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
f^{x-m}(n)= \begin{cases}-1 & n=m \\ \operatorname{sgn}(\cos (2 \pi(n \alpha+x))) & n \neq m\end{cases}
$$

Then $f^{x+m}, f^{x-m} \in H(f)$, and hence $f^{x+m}, f^{x-m} \in R E(Z, R)$. By the definition of $f^{x+m}$ and $f^{x-m}$ we have

$$
\left(f^{x+m}-f^{x-m}\right)(n)= \begin{cases}2 & n=m \\ 0 & n \neq m .\end{cases}
$$

Hence $f^{x+m}-f^{x-m} \notin R E(Z, R)$.
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