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1. Throughout this note, we write $e(x)=e^{2 \pi i x}$ for real $x$ and denote by $N_{0}$ the set of all nonnegative integers. Let $\alpha$ be an irrational number and $\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}, \cdots\right]$ be the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$. The sequence $\left\{q_{k}\right\}$ of denominators of convergents for $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
q_{0}=1, q_{1}=a_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{k+2}=a_{k+2} q_{k+1}+q_{k} \quad \text { for all } k \in N_{0} .
$$

Every nonnegative integer can be written in the form

$$
n=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{k}(n) q_{k},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0}(n) \in\left\{0,1, \cdots, a_{1-1}\right\}, \\
& \varepsilon_{k}(n) \in\left\{0,1, \cdots, a_{k+1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k \geqq 1 \varepsilon_{k-1}(n)=0$ whenever $\varepsilon_{k}(n)=a_{k+1}$. This representation is unique.

Definition. A function (or a sequence) $f: N_{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is said to be $\alpha-$ additive if $f(0)=0$ and

$$
f(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\varepsilon_{k}(n) q_{k}\right) .
$$

J. Coquet [1] showed that the $\alpha$-additive sequence

$$
\left\{\sigma_{\alpha}(n)\right\}=\left\{x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{k}(n)\right\}
$$

is uniformly distributed modulo one (abbreviated: u.d. mod 1) if and only if $x$ is irrational. In this note, we prove the following theorem which gives a generalization of this result of J. Coquet's.

Theorem. Let $\phi: N_{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ be a function with $\phi(0)=0$. We set

$$
f(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi\left(\varepsilon_{k}(n)\right) .
$$

If $\phi(1)$ is irrational and the sequence $\{\phi(n)\}_{n \in N_{0}}$ is $u . d . \bmod 1$, then the sequence $\{f(n)\}_{n \in N_{0}}$ is u.d. $\bmod 1$.

Immediate consequences of this theorem will be the following:
Corollary 1. Let $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ be an unbounded sequence, and $\phi(n)$ and $f(n)$ be the functions given in the theorem. If $\{\phi(n)\}$ is $u . d . \bmod 1$, then $\{f(n)\}$ is u.d. $\bmod 1$.

Corollary 2. Let $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence, and $\phi(n)$ and $f(n)$ be as in the theorem. If $\phi(1)$ is irrational, then $\{f(n)\}$ is u.d. $\bmod 1$.

Corollary 3. Let $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ be bounded and assume that $a_{k} \geqq 3$ for infinitely many $k$. Let $\phi(n)$ and $f(n)$ be as in the theorem. If $\phi(1)$ is rational and $\phi(2)$ is irrational, then $\left\{f(n)+x \sigma_{\alpha}(n)\right\}$ is u.d. $\bmod 1$ for any real $x$.
2. We set

$$
\mu_{k}=\frac{1}{q_{k}} \sum_{n<q_{k}} e(f(n))
$$

To prove our theorem, we need the following lemma due to J. Coquet [1].

Lemma. Let $f(n)$ be an $\alpha$-additive function. Then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} e(f(n))=0
$$

if and only if $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|\mu_{k}\right|=0$.
Proof of Theorem. Now, $f(n)$ being assumed to be $\alpha$-additive, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{k+1} q_{k+1} & =\sum_{b<q_{k}} \sum_{a<a_{k+1}} e\left(f\left(a q_{k}+b\right)\right)+\sum_{b<q_{k}} e\left(f\left(a_{k+1} q_{k}+b\right)\right) \\
& =\mu_{k} q_{k} \sum_{a<a_{k+1}} e(\phi(\alpha))+\mu_{k-1} q_{k-1} e\left(\phi\left(a_{k+1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every integer $k \geqq 1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{k+2} q_{k+2}= & \mu_{k+1} q_{k+1} \sum_{b<a_{k+2}} e(\phi(b))+\mu_{k} q_{k} e\left(\phi\left(a_{k+2}\right)\right) \\
= & \mu_{k} q_{k}\left(\left(\sum_{b<a_{k+2}} e(\phi(b))\right)\left(\sum_{a<a_{k+1}} e(\phi(a))\right)+e\left(\phi\left(a_{k+2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\mu_{k-1} q_{k-1} e\left(\phi\left(a_{k+1}\right)\right) \sum_{b<a_{k+2}} e(\phi(b))
\end{aligned}
$$

for every integer $k \geqq 2$.
If we put

$$
M_{k}=\max \left\{\left|\mu_{k}\right|,\left|\mu_{k-1}\right|\right\} \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \cdots,
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\mu_{k+1}\right|<M_{k}\left(\left|\sum_{a<a_{k+1}} e(\phi(a))\right| \frac{q_{k}}{q_{k+1}}+\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_{k+1}}\right)=M_{k} A_{k}, \quad \text { say },  \tag{1}\\
\left|\mu_{k+2}\right|<M_{k}\left(\left(\left.\left|\sum_{v<a_{k+2}} e(\phi(b))\right|\right|_{a<a_{k+1}} e(\phi(a)) \mid+1\right) \frac{q_{k}}{q_{k+2}}\right.  \tag{2}\\
\left.\quad+\left|\sum_{0<a_{k+2}} e(\phi(b))\right| \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_{k+2}}\right)=M_{k} B_{k}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k+2} \leqq M_{k} \max \left\{A_{k}, B_{k}\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we assume that $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ is unbounded. Then there is a strictly (and indefinitely) increasing sequence $\left\{a_{k_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ and we have for this sequence $\left\{a_{k_{j}}\right\}$

$$
A_{k_{j}-1} \leqq \frac{1}{a_{k_{j}}}\left|\sum_{a<a_{k_{j}}} e(\phi(n))\right|+\frac{1}{a_{k_{j}}},
$$

and

$$
B_{k_{j}-1} \leqq \frac{1}{a_{k_{j}}}\left|\sum_{a<a_{k_{j}}} e(\phi(n))\right|+\frac{2}{a_{k_{j}}}
$$

It is easy to see from (1) that the sequence $\left\{M_{k}\right\}$ is decreasing, and so the limit

$$
c=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k}
$$

exists. Since by assumption $\{\phi(n)\}$ is $u . d . \bmod 1$, we must have $c=0$, by (3).

Secondly, we assume that $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Let $L$ be an upper bound of $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$. The case that $a_{k}=1$ for all sufficiently large $k$ has been treated by J. Coquet [1], and therefore we may assume that there are infinitely many $k$ such that $a_{k}>1$. We take a subsequence $\left\{a_{k_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ such that $a_{k_{j}}=d>1$ where $d$ is a number independent of $k_{j}$. Since $\phi(1)$ is irrational, we have

$$
\delta=d-\left|\sum_{n<d} e(\phi(n))\right|>0 .
$$

Then we find
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{k_{j-1}} & \leqq\left(1+a_{k_{j}+1}\left(a_{k_{j}}-\delta\right)\right) \\
& \frac{q_{k_{j}-1}}{q_{k_{j+1}}}+a_{k_{j}} \frac{q_{k_{j-2}}}{q_{k_{j}+1}} \\
& =1-\frac{a_{k_{j}+1} q_{k_{j}-1}}{q_{k_{j+1}}} \delta<1-\frac{\delta}{(L+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies by (3) that the limit

$$
c=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k}
$$

satisfies

$$
c \leqq c\left(1-\delta(L+1)^{-2}\right)
$$

Thus we have $c=0$, and the proof is complete.
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