

## 7. On Laplacian and Hessian Comparison Theorems

By Atsushi KASUE

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1982)

In this paper, we shall state Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems and give their applications to the following subjects :

- (1) the theory of harmonic functions on a Riemannian manifold,
- (2) the geometric structure of a Riemannian manifold with boundary.

The full proofs of the results in this paper will be given in the forthcoming papers [6] and [7].

Throughout this paper, let  $M$  be a connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m$  with (possibly empty) smooth boundary  $\partial M$ . We write  $M_0$  for the interior of  $M$  and  $M_x$  for the tangent space at  $x$ . Let  $\text{dis}(x, y)$  denote the distance between two points  $x$  and  $y$  defined by the Riemannian metric  $g$  of  $M$ .

§ 1. Let  $N$  be a closed subset of  $M$  and  $x$  a point of  $M_0 \setminus N$ . Suppose there is a geodesic  $\sigma: [0, l] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\sigma((0, l]) \subset M_0$ ,  $\sigma(l) = x$  and  $\text{dis}(N, \sigma(t)) = t$  for  $t \in [0, l]$ . We choose two continuous functions  $R$  and  $K$  on  $[0, l]$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \text{the Ricci curvature in direction } \sigma(t) \geq (m-1)R(t),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{the sectional curvature of any plane containing } \dot{\sigma}(t) \leq K(t).$$

When  $N$  is a closed submanifold of dimension  $n$ , we choose a real number  $A$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \text{the trace of } S_{\dot{\sigma}(0)} \leq nA,$$

where  $S_{\dot{\sigma}(0)}$  is the second fundamental form of  $N$  with respect to  $\dot{\sigma}(0)$  (i.e.,  $g(S_{\dot{\sigma}(0)}X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \dot{\sigma}(0), Y)$ ). Let  $f, h$ , and  $H$  be, respectively, the solutions of the equations :

$$(1.4) \quad f'' + Rf = 0 \text{ with } f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(0) = 1,$$

$$(1.5) \quad h'' + Rh = 0 \text{ with } h(0) = 1 \text{ and } h'(0) = A,$$

$$(1.6) \quad H'' + KH = 0 \text{ with } H(0) = 1 \text{ and } H'(0) = 0.$$

With these preparations, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 1 (Laplacian comparison theorem).** *For any nondecreasing  $C^2$ -function  $\psi$  on  $(0, l]$ , the distance function  $\rho = \text{dis}(N, *)$  satisfies*

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta \psi(\rho)(x) \leq (\psi'' + (m-1)\psi' f' / f)(\rho(x)).$$

*In the case when  $N$  is a hypersurface, we have*

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta \psi(\rho)(x) \leq (\psi'' + (m-1)\psi' h' / h)(\rho(x)).$$

Similarly, we can obtain upper estimates of the Hessian  $\nabla^2 \psi(\rho)$  in

terms of the lower bounds of the sectional curvature along  $\sigma$  and moreover the upper bound of the eigenvalues of  $S_{i(0)}$  when  $N$  is a submanifold. As for the lower estimate of  $V^2\psi(\rho)$ , we have the following

**Theorem 2** (Hessian comparison theorem). *We assume  $M$  is moreover a complete Riemannian manifold without boundary. Suppose the sectional curvature of  $M$  is nonpositive and  $N$  is a totally convex closed subset of  $M$ . Then we have*

$$V^2\psi(\rho)_x(X, X) \geq \psi''(\rho(x))g(\dot{\sigma}(l), X)^2 + (\psi'H'/H)(\rho(x))\{g(X, X) - g(\dot{\sigma}(l), X)^2\}$$

for any  $X \in M_x$ .

Here we call a closed subset  $N$  *totally convex* if for any  $x, y \in N$  and every geodesic  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  ( $\partial M = \emptyset$ ) such that  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(1) = y$ , the image of  $\gamma$  is contained in  $N$ .

We remark that when  $\sigma$  can be extended to a geodesic  $\tilde{\sigma} : [0, \tilde{l}] \rightarrow M$  ( $l < \tilde{l}$ ) such that  $\text{dis}(N, \tilde{\sigma}(t)) = t$  for  $t \in [0, \tilde{l}]$ , inequality (1.7) is exactly the Laplacian comparison theorem of Greene and Wu [2] in the case  $N$  is a point and inequality (1.8) is implicit in a comparison theorem by Heintze and Karcher [3]. However in our situations, Theorem 1 does not follow from the known comparison theorems such as mentioned above. In fact, our proof of Theorem 1 requires much more delicate arguments (cf. Wu [9]).

**§ 2.** In this section, we assume  $M$  is a complete, noncompact Riemannian manifold without boundary. We call  $M$  *hyperbolic* if it has a nonconstant positive superharmonic function and *parabolic* if it is not hyperbolic. Let  $\Omega$  be a compact domain in  $M$  such that the boundary  $N$  is smooth. Let  $W_\Omega$  be the lower envelope of the family of nonnegative superharmonic functions on  $M$  which dominate 1 on  $\Omega$ . Then  $W_\Omega$  is called the *harmonic measure* of  $\Omega$  relative to  $M$ . It is well known that  $M$  is parabolic if  $W_\Omega = 1$  on  $M$  and hyperbolic if  $W_\Omega \neq 1$  on  $M$  (cf. e.g. [1, p. 135]). Now we choose a continuous function  $R$  on  $[0, \infty)$  and a real number  $A$  such that for any geodesic  $\sigma : [0, l] \rightarrow M$  with  $\text{dis}(N, \sigma(t)) = t$  ( $t \in [0, l]$ ),  $R$  satisfies inequality (1.1) and  $A$  satisfies inequality (1.3). Let  $h$  be the solution of equation (1.5) defined by  $R$  and  $A$ . Set

$$\Psi_r(t) = 1/C_r \int_t^r 1/h^{m-1} \quad (r > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_r(t),$$

where

$$C_r = \int_0^r 1/h^{m-1}.$$

Then by inequality (1.8), we see that  $\Psi_r(\rho_\Omega)$  ( $\rho_\Omega = \text{dis}(\Omega, *)$ ) is subharmonic on  $M \setminus \Omega$ ,  $\Psi_r(\rho_\Omega) = 1$  on  $N$  and  $\Psi_r(\rho_\Omega) = 0$  on  $\{x \in M : \rho_\Omega(x) = r\}$ . Therefore we see that for each  $r > 0$ ,  $W_\Omega \geq \Psi_r(\rho_\Omega)$  on  $M \setminus \Omega$ . Thus we obtain the following

**Theorem 3.**  $W_\Omega \geq \Psi(\rho_\Omega)$  on  $M \setminus \Omega$ . In particular, if

$$\int_0^\infty 1/h^{m-1} = \infty,$$

then  $M$  is parabolic.

In the rest of this section, we assume  $M$  has nonpositive sectional curvature and contains a totally convex closed subset  $\Omega$ . Then we have the following

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose there is a nonpositive continuous function  $K$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that the sectional curvature at  $x \in M$  is bounded from above by  $K(\rho_a(x))$  ( $\rho_a = \text{dis}(\Omega, *)$ ), and moreover  $K$  is not identically zero if  $m \geq 3$  or  $K$  satisfies  $K(t) \leq -(1+\varepsilon)/(t^2 \log t)$  on  $[a, \infty)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a > 0$  if  $m=2$ . Then there is a superharmonic function  $\Phi$  such that  $0 < \Phi \leq 1$  on  $M$ ,  $\Phi=1$  on  $\Omega$ , and  $\Phi(x)$  tends to 0 as  $\rho_a(x) \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the solution of equation (1.6) defined by  $K$ . Then by the assumptions on  $K$ , we see that

$$\int_0^\infty 1/H^{m-1} < +\infty$$

(cf. [8] in the case when  $m=2$ ). We now define a continuous function

on  $M$  by  $\Phi=1/C \int_{\rho_a}^\infty 1/H^{m-1}$  on  $M \setminus \Omega$  and  $\Phi=1$  on  $\Omega$ , where

$$C = \int_0^\infty 1/H^{m-1}.$$

Then by Theorem 2, we see that  $\Phi$  is a required function.

As an application of Theorem 4, we have the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $M$  and  $\Omega$  be as in Theorem 4. Suppose  $\Omega$  separates  $M$ . Then  $M$  has a nonconstant bounded harmonic function. Moreover if  $M \setminus \Omega$  has a connected component whose boundary is compact, then there is a nonconstant harmonic function with finite Dirichlet norm.*

We remark that Theorem 3 contains as a special case a theorem of Ichihara ([5, Theorem 2.1]) and the first assertion of Corollary is a generalization of a result by Greene and Wu ([2, Proposition 7.1]). Moreover we notice that each of the conditions in Theorems 3, 4 and Corollary is optimum.

§ 3. In this section, we assume  $M$  is complete and the boundary  $\partial M$  is smooth. We say  $M$  is of class  $(R, A)$  ( $R, A \in \mathbf{R}$ ) if the Ricci curvature of  $M$  is bounded from below by  $(m-1)R$  and the trace of  $S_\xi$  is bounded from above by  $(m-1)A$ , where  $S_\xi$  is the second fundamental form of  $\partial M$  with respect to the unit inner normal vector field  $\xi$  on  $\partial M$ . Set  $i(M) = \sup \{ \text{dis}(x, \partial M) : x \in M \}$  ( $\leq +\infty$ ),  $C_1(R, A) = \inf \{ t : t > 0, h(t) = 0 \}$  ( $\leq +\infty$ ) and  $C_2(R, A) = \inf \{ t : t > 0, h'(t) = 0 \}$  ( $\leq +\infty$ ), where  $h$  is the solution of the equation (1.5) defined by  $R$  and  $A$ . Then as applications of Theorem 1, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold of class  $(R, A)$ . Then:*

(1)  $i(M) \leq C_1(R, \Lambda)$ .

(2) If  $C_1(R, \Lambda) < +\infty$  and  $\text{dis}(p, \partial M) = C_1(R, \Lambda)$  for some  $p \in M$ , then  $M$  is isometric to the closed metric ball with radius  $C_1(R, \Lambda)$  in the simply connected space form of constant sectional curvature  $R$ .

(3)  $C_1(R, \Lambda) < +\infty$  if and only if  $R > 0$ ,  $R = 0$  and  $\Lambda < 0$ , or  $R < 0$  and  $\Lambda < -\sqrt{-R}$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold of class  $(R, \Lambda)$ . Suppose  $\partial M$  is disconnected and it has a compact connected component, say  $\Gamma_1$ . Then:

(1) If  $R = 0$  and  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $M$  is the isometric product  $[0, a] \times \Gamma_1$ .

(2) If  $R > 0$ , then  $\Lambda > 0$  and  $\min_{2 \leq j} \text{dis}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_j) \leq 2C_2(R, \Lambda)$ , where  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$  are the connected components of  $\partial M$ . Moreover if  $\min_{2 \leq j} \text{dis}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_j) = 2C_2(R, \Lambda)$ , then  $M$  is isometric to the warped product  $[0, 2C_2(R, \Lambda)] \times_h \Gamma_1$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold of class  $(R, \Lambda)$ . Suppose  $\partial M$  is compact but  $M$  is noncompact. Then:

(1)  $R \leq 0$ .

(2) If  $R = 0$  and  $\Lambda = 0$ , then  $\partial M$  is connected and  $M$  is the isometric product  $[0, \infty) \times M$ .

(3) If  $\Lambda < 0$ , then  $R < 0$  and  $\Lambda \geq -\sqrt{-R}$ . Moreover if  $\Lambda = -\sqrt{-R}$ , then  $M$  is isometric to the warped product  $[0, \infty) \times_h M$ .

After the preparation of [7], the author was informed that Ichida [4] has also shown the assertion (1) of Theorem 6, independently.

Finally the author would like to express sincere thanks to Prof. T. Ochiai for his helpful advice and encouragement.

## References

- [1] Ahlfors, L., and Sario, L.: Riemann Surfaces. Princeton Univ. Press (1960).
- [2] Greene, R. E., and Wu, H.: Function theory on manifolds which possess a pole. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 699, Springer-Verlag (1979).
- [3] Heintze, E., and Karcher, H.: A general comparison theorem with applications to volume estimates for submanifolds. Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., **11**, 451-470 (1978).
- [4] Ichida, R.: The structure of Riemannian manifolds with boundary (to appear).
- [5] Ichihara, K.: Curvature, geodesics and the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold I (to appear).
- [6] Kasue, A.: A laplacian comparison theorem and function theoretic properties of a complete Riemannian manifold (to appear).
- [7] —: On Riemannian manifolds with boundary (to appear).
- [8] Milnor, J.: On deciding whether a surface is parabolic or hyperbolic. Amer. Math. Monthly, **84**, 43-46 (1977).
- [9] Wu, H.: An elementary method in the study of nonnegative curvature. Acta Math., **142**, 57-78 (1979).