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1. Statement of results. In 1909 Wieferich ([1]) proved that if an odd prime $p$ satisfies the condition

$$
2^{p-1}-1 \neq 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right),
$$

then the case I of Fermat's Last Theorem is true for this prime $p$, i.e. under the condition $(x y z, p)=1$, there exists no integral solution for the Diophantine equation $x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}$. Moreover, it is now known (see for example [2]) that we can deduce the same conclusion, if an odd prime $p$ satisfies

$$
a^{p-1}-1 \neq 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

for some prime value $a, 2 \leqslant a \leqslant 43$.
Now we shall call
(*)

$$
a^{p-1}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

the generalized Wieferich condition for $a$ ( $a$ may be any natural number). We define for real $x>0$,

$$
F_{a}(x)=\{p ; p \text { is an odd prime } \leqslant x, p \text { satisfies }(*)\}
$$

We have an average type result as to the cardinal $\# F_{a}(x)$ of $F_{a}(x)$, which states as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $\delta$ be an arbitrary fixed real number satisfying $1 / 2<\delta<1$. We have, if $x \geqslant 286$,

$$
\# F_{a}(x)=\log \log x+\theta\left((\log \log x)^{\delta}\right)+\left(C-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \theta\left((\log x)^{-2}\right)
$$

for all a such that $2 \leqslant a \leqslant x^{4}$ with at most

$$
2 x^{4}(\log \log x)^{1-28}
$$

exceptions of $a$, where $C=\gamma+\sum_{p: \text { prime }}\{\log (1-1 / p)+1 / p\}$ and $\gamma$ is Euler's constant. ( $f(x)$ being positive valued function of $x, \theta(f(x))$ denotes a function of $x$ whose absolute value $\leqslant f(x)$.)

Similarly we have:
Theorem 2. Let $D$ be an arbitrary fixed real number $>0$ and $y \geqslant x^{6}$. We defined for a natural number a and real $x>0$, $F_{a}^{(3)}(x)=\left\{p ; p\right.$ is an odd prime $\left.\leqslant x, a^{p-1}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)\right\}$.
Then we have

$$
\left|\# F_{a}^{(3)}(x)-\sum_{\substack{3 \leqslant p<x \\ p \leqslant \text { prime }}} \frac{1}{p^{2}}\right|<D
$$

for all $a$ such that $2 \leqslant a \leqslant y$ with at most $D^{-2}\left(\sum_{\substack{3 \leqslant p \leq x \\ p: \operatorname{prime}}}\left(p^{2}-1\right) / p^{4}\right)\left(x^{6}+y\right)$ exceptions of $a$.

We can deduce from Theorem 2:
Corollary. We put for real $M>0$,

$$
A_{M}=\left\{a ; a^{p-1}-1 \neq 0\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) \text { for any odd prime } p \leqslant M\right\} .
$$

Then the natural density of $A_{M}$ is larger than 0.7 for any $M$.
We can prove these theorems by means of an analytic method of Warlimont ([3]).
2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1. Let $\chi_{p}$ be a primitive character $\bmod p^{2}$, i.e. taking a primitive $p(p-1)$-th root of unity as value for a primitive root $\bmod p^{2}$. (We assume $p$ to be odd prime.) Put

$$
W(a, p)=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \chi_{p}^{i(p-1)}(a) .
$$

Then it is easy to prove that

$$
W(a, p)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p \text { satisfies }(*) \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

Thus

$$
\# F_{a}(x)=\sum_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant x} W(a, p)=\sum_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant x} \frac{1}{p}+\sum_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \chi_{p}^{i(p-1)}(a) .
$$

We abbreviate the second term to $E_{a}(x)$ and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=M(x, \delta)=\left\{a ; 2 \leqslant a \leqslant x^{4},\left|E_{a}(x)\right|>(\log \log x)^{\delta}\right\}, \\
& \eta_{a}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad \text { if } E_{a}(x)=0, \\
\exp \left(-i \arg \left(E_{a}(x)\right)\right) \quad \text { if not. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\# M)(\log \log x)^{i} & \leqslant \sum_{a \in M} \eta_{a}(x) E_{a}(x) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant x} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p}\left|\sum_{a \in M} \eta_{a}(x) \chi_{p}^{i(p-1)}(a)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and Schwarz's inequality gives that

$$
(\sharp M)(\log \log x)^{\delta} \leqslant S^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\sum_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant x} \frac{p-1}{p^{2}} \\
& T=\sum_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant x} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{a \in M} \eta_{a}(x) \chi_{p}^{i(p-1)}(a)\right|^{2} \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

Since
(**) $\quad \sum_{p \leqslant x} \frac{1}{p}=\log \log x+C+\frac{1}{2} \theta\left((\log x)^{-2}\right), \quad$ if $x \geqslant 286$,
([4]), it is proved that $S<\log \log x$. And we can prove by the aid of "large sieve inequality" that $T \leqslant 2 x^{4}(\# M)$. Therefore

$$
\# M<2 x^{4}(\log \log x)^{1-2 \delta} .
$$

Thus we have proved that the formula

$$
\# F_{a}(x)=\sum_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant x} \frac{1}{p}+\theta\left((\log \log x)^{\delta}\right)
$$

holds for all $a$ such that $2 \leqslant a \leqslant x^{4}$ with at most $\# M$ exceptions of $a$. We can accomplish our proof here by ( $* *$ ) again.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 2 can be proved similarly.
3. A conjecture. The statement of our result and its proof are based on an adoptation of the method of Warlimont ([3]) on Artin's conjecture. Putting

$$
N_{a}(x)=\left\{p: \text { prime } ; p \leqslant x,\left[(\boldsymbol{Z} / p \boldsymbol{Z})^{*}:\langle a \bmod p\rangle\right]=1\right\},
$$

Artin's well-known conjecture says:
$\left({ }_{*}^{*}\right) \quad \# N_{a}(x) \sim C_{a} \pi(x) \quad$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $C_{a}$ is a constant depending on $a$, and this was proved by Hooley ([5]) under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis. Warlimont ([3]) proved on the other hand (without any assumption about Riemann hypothesis) an average type result saying :

$$
(* *) \quad \# N_{a}(x)=C \pi(x)+O\left(x(\log x)^{-2}\right)
$$

with an absolute constant $C$, for "almost all" $a \leqslant x^{2}$.
Obviously, we can write
$\boldsymbol{F}_{a}(x)=\left\{p:\right.$ prime $\left.; 3 \leqslant p \leqslant x,\left[\left(\boldsymbol{Z} / p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}\right)^{*}:\left\langle a \bmod p^{2}\right\rangle\right] \equiv 0(\bmod p)\right\}$, and our result is an analogue to $\binom{* *}{* *}$. It seems difficult to obtain an analogue to $\left({ }_{*}^{*}\right)$, even if we assumed the generalized Riemann hypothesis. But it is tempting to enounce the following asymptotic formula as a conjecture:

$$
\# F_{a}(x) \sim D_{a} \cdot \log \log x,
$$

where $D_{a}$ is a constant depending on $a$. (We have $F_{2}(31059000)$ $=\{1093,3511\}, \# F_{2}(31059000)=2$ and $\log \log (31059000) \doteqdot 2.85$. This is just one example, but could one surmise $\# F_{2}(x) \sim \log \log x$ with $D_{2}=1$ ? Concerning some numerical examples for $a \geqslant 3$, see [6].)
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