Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be the subgroup of $\Re(f)$ which corresponds to $K$. Then the elliptic unit $\eta$ of $K$ is defined by the following:
$\eta=\prod_{t \in \mathfrak{u}} \sqrt{ } \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{tt}}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{r}^{3 t}}\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{t}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{r}^{2 t}}\right)\left|\eta\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{rt}}\right) \eta\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{r}^{3 t}}\right) / \eta\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \eta\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{req}^{2} t}\right)\right|^{2}$.
Here $\eta(z)$ is the Dedekind eta function, and $\gamma_{t}$ is a complex number with positive imaginary part such that $Z_{\gamma_{t}}+Z$ belongs to the class $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{R}(f)$. The class $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathfrak{R}(f)$ is chosen so that $\mathfrak{r l l}$ generates the cyclic quotient group $\mathfrak{R}(f) / \mathfrak{U}$. The definition of $\eta$ is independent of the choice of $\gamma_{\text {t }}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$. Therefore, if $\mathfrak{R}(f)$ and $\mathfrak{U}$ are explicitly given, we can calculate an approximate value of $\eta$ using Lemma 3 of [2].

It is possible to obtain $\mathfrak{R}(f)$ and $\mathfrak{U}$ explicitly, although it seems to be very complicated in the actual calculation.
§6. Appendix. (i) The following propositions help to deter$\operatorname{mine} \varepsilon_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}$.

Proposition 2. (i) Assume $h_{2}$ or $h_{3}$ is odd. Then $\varepsilon_{3} \neq \eta_{3}$ if $\sqrt{\eta}$ does not belong to $K$. (ii) Assume $h_{2}$ or $h_{3}$ is prime to 3 . Then $\varepsilon_{2} \neq \eta_{2}$ if $\sqrt[3]{\eta}$ does not belong to $K$.

Proposition 3. Let $f$ and $d$ be as in §5, and let $d_{2}$ be the discriminant of $K_{2}$. Assume $\sqrt[3]{\eta_{2}}$ belongs to $K$. Then $d=3 d_{2}$ or $3 d_{2}=d$; and $f$ is a power of 3 .
(ii) The galois closure $L$ of $K / Q$ contains a totally imaginary sextic subfield $K^{\prime}$ not conjugate to $K$. Further algorithm to compute the class number and fundamental units of $K^{\prime}$ exists. It uses the results in [1].

Corrections to References [2] and [3]. In [2], we add the assumption that " $D \neq-23$ " throughout the note. See also [4] in detail. In Proposition 6 of [3], for ' $\sqrt{\eta_{e}}$ read " $\sqrt{\eta_{2}}$ ". In the definition of $H_{+}$in [3], line 6 of $\S 1$, for 'positive units' read "positive relative units".
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