## 3. The Spectrum of the Laplacian of a $Z_3$ -Invariant Domain

By Masao Tanikawa

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1981)

Introduction. In our previous note [3], the authors proved that for generic bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the eigenvalues of the Laplacian  $\Delta$ with Dirichlet null boundary condition are of multiplicity one. In this paper, we study the eigenvalues of the Laplacian  $\Delta$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -invariant domains  $\Omega_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  parametrized by  $\rho \in \Gamma$ , where the parameter space  $\Gamma$ is an open subset in a Banach (Fréchet) space B.

There are two types of eigenvalues; the symmetric ones whose eigenfunctions u satisfy:

 $u(x)-u(\sigma x)=0,$ 

and the anti-symmetric ones whose eigenfunctions u satisfy:

 $u(x)+u(\sigma x)+u(\sigma^2 x)=0,$ 

where  $\sigma \in SO(n, R)$  is a generator of  $Z_3 \subset SO(n, R)$ .

A subset of  $\Gamma$  is called residual if it is a countable intersection of open dense subsets. Our main theorem is

**Theorem 1.** There exists a residual subset  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  such that for any  $\rho \in \Gamma_0$ , all symmetric eigenvalues of  $\Omega_\rho$  are of multiplicity one and all anti-symmetric eigenvalues of  $\Omega_\rho$  are of multiplicity two.

This is a partial answer to the conjecture of V. I. Arnol'd (cf. [1] Hypothesis of Transversality 5.1). Similar results were already obtained by B. H. Driscoll [2] for the operator  $(\Delta + \lambda \rho)$  in the unit disc perturbed by some function  $\rho$ .

§ 1. Preliminary. Let  $Z_s \subset SO(n, R)$  be a cyclic subgroup of order 3 generated by  $\sigma$ . Let  $\Omega \subset R^n$  be a bounded domain with  $C^r$ boundary  $\partial \Omega$  ( $5 \leq r \leq \infty$ ). We assume that  $\Omega$  is  $Z_s$ -invariant. Let  $L^2(\Omega)$  $= \left\{ u: \Omega \to R, \int_{\Omega} u(x)^2 dx < \infty \right\}$ .  $L^2(\Omega)$  is a real Hilbert space with respect to the inner product  $(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx$ . We consider the symmetric subspace  $W_s(\Omega)$  and the anti-symmetric subspace  $W_a(\Omega)$ :

 $W_s(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) ; (1 - \sigma^*) u(x) = 0 \qquad \text{a.e.x.} \},\$ 

 $W_a(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) ; (1 + \sigma^* + (\sigma^2)^*) u(x) = 0$  a.e.x. $\},$ 

where  $(\sigma^m)^* u(x) = u(\sigma^m x)$ , m = 1, 2.  $L^2(\Omega)$  is orthogonally decomposed into  $W_s(\Omega) \oplus W_a(\Omega)$  and the orthogonal projection  $\pi : L^2(\Omega) \to W_s(\Omega)$  is equal to  $(1 + \sigma^* + (\sigma^2)^*)/3$ .

Let  $B = \{\rho \in C^r(\partial \Omega : R); \sigma^* \rho = \rho\}$ . We extend  $\rho \in B$  to a  $C^{r-2}$ -mapping  $\tilde{\rho} : R^n \to R^n$  as follows:

M. TANIKAWA

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = \begin{cases} \rho(x)\nu(x) & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ 0 & x \notin N, \end{cases}$$
$$\sigma^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\rho} \cdot \sigma(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x) & x \in R^n, \end{cases}$$

where N is a sufficiently small tublar neighbourhood of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\nu(x)$  is the unit outer normal at  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{\rho \in B ; \|\tilde{\rho}\|_{c_1} < 1\}$ .  $\Gamma$  is an open set in a Banach (Frécht, if  $r = \infty$ ) space B. For any  $\rho \in \Gamma$ ,  $I + \tilde{\rho}$ :  $R^n \to R^n$  is a  $C^{r-2}$ -diffeomorphism and we put  $\Omega_{\rho} = (I + \tilde{\rho})\Omega$ .  $\Omega_{\rho}$  is a  $Z_3$ invariant domain in  $R^n$  and its boundary  $\partial\Omega_{\rho} = \{x + \rho(x)\nu(x) ; x \in \partial\Omega\}$  is of class  $C^{r-2}$ .

We define  $T = T(\rho) : L^2(\Omega_{\rho}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  by  $Tu(x) = \sqrt{J(x)} u(x + \tilde{\rho}(x)) \qquad x \in \Omega,$ 

where J(x) is the Jacobian of  $(I + \tilde{\rho})$  at  $x \in \Omega$ .

Lemma 1. T is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces, that is, T is not only bijective but also preserves their inner products. Moreover T is a bijective mapping of  $H^{k}(\Omega_{\rho})$  to  $H^{k}(\Omega)$   $(k=1, 2, \dots, r-3)$ , where  $H^{k}(\Omega)$  is the Sobolev space of degree k.

Lemma 2.  $\sigma^*$  commutes with T, that is,  $\sigma^* \cdot T = T \cdot \sigma^*$ .

Lemma 3. The orthogonal projection  $\pi: L^2(\Omega) \to W_s(\Omega)$  commutes with T, namely,  $T(W_s(\Omega_s)) = W_s(\Omega)$  and  $T(W_a(\Omega_s)) = W_a(\Omega)$ .

We introduce a complex structure J on  $W_a(\Omega_{\rho})$  in the following manner:

$$Ju(x) = 1/\sqrt{3} (\sigma^* - (\sigma^2)^*)u(x), \qquad u \in W_a(\Omega_\rho)$$

We define a new Hermitian inner product [u, v] = (u, v) + i(u, Jv). Under this complex structure,  $\sigma^* u = \exp(2\pi i/3)u$  for any  $u \in W_a(\Omega_{\rho})$ .

Lemma 4. For any  $\rho \in \Gamma$ ,  $W_a(\Omega_{\rho})$  is a complex Hilbert space and T preserves its complex structure J.

§ 2. Reduction. We consider the eigenvalue problem (P. 1):

(P. 1) 
$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta - \lambda)u(x) = 0 & x \in \Omega_{\rho}, \\ u|_{\partial \Omega_{\rho}} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta = \partial^2 / \partial x_1^2 + \partial^2 / \partial x_2^2 + \cdots + \partial^2 / \partial x_n^2$ . Let  $\Sigma(\Omega_{\rho}) = \{\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots\}$  be the totality of eigenvalues of Problem (P. 1).

Let  $L(\rho)$  be the Friedrichs extension of  $-T(\rho) \cdot \varDelta \cdot T(\rho)^{-1}$  with Dirichlet null boundary condition.  $L(\rho)$  is a self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(L(\rho)) = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , where  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is the closure in  $H^1(\Omega)$  of  $C^{\infty}$ -functions with compact support in  $\Omega$ . The spectrum of  $L(\rho)$  is identical with  $\Sigma(\Omega_{\rho})$  together with respective multiplicities.

Lemma 5 (cf. [5]). For any u and  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , we have

$$(L(\rho)u, v) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} S_{jk}(D_{j}u)(D_{k}v) dx,$$

where

$$S_{jk} = S_{jk}(\rho) = \sum_{m} (\delta_{jk} + \partial \chi_j / \partial x_m) (\delta_{km} + \partial \chi_k / \partial x_m),$$
  

$$I + \chi = (I + \tilde{\rho})^{-1},$$
  

$$D_j u = \partial u / \partial x_j - (\partial (\log (J(x))) / \partial x_j) u / 2.$$

**Theorem 2.**  $\lambda_j = \lambda_j(\rho)$  is a continuous function of  $\rho \in \Gamma$  with respect to  $C^r$ -topology ( $5 \le r \le \infty$ ).

This is easily proved by using the so-called mini-max principle.

From now on we take account of the group action  $Z_3$ . Since the Laplacian  $\varDelta$  commutes with the orthogonal transformation  $\sigma$  and the domain  $\Omega_{\rho}$  is  $Z_3$ -invariant, we can decompose  $\varSigma(\Omega_{\rho})$  into  $\varSigma_s(\Omega_{\rho}) \cup \varSigma_a(\Omega_{\rho})$ , where  $\varSigma_s(\Omega_{\rho})$  and  $\varSigma_a(\Omega_{\rho})$  are the totalities of eigenvalues of Problem (P. 1) restricted to  $W_s(\Omega_{\rho})$  and  $W_a(\Omega_{\rho})$ , respectively. We call  $\lambda \in \varSigma_s(\Omega_{\rho})$  a symmetric eigenvalue and  $\lambda \in \varSigma_a(\Omega_{\rho})$  an anti-symmetric eigenvalue, respectively. Since  $T = T(\rho)$  commutes with the orthogonal projection  $\pi$ ,  $L(\rho)$  maps  $W_s(\Omega)$  and  $W_a(\Omega)$  into themselves, respectively. We put  $L_s(\rho) = L(\rho)|_{W_s(\Omega)}$  and  $L_a(\rho) = L(\rho)|_{W_a(\Omega)}$ , respectively.

Lemma 6. The spectrum of  $L_s(\rho)$  (resp.  $L_a(\rho)$ ) is equal to  $\Sigma_s(\Omega_{\rho})$  (resp.  $\Sigma_a(\Omega_{\rho})$ ) together with respective multiplicities.

By Lemma 6, we are reduced to the study of the spectra of  $L_s(\rho)$ and  $L_a(\rho)$ . Recall that the domains of  $L_s(\rho)$  and  $L_a(\rho)$  are independent of  $\rho$ . For the complex structure J introduced in § 1, we have

Lemma 7.  $L_a(\rho) \cdot J = J \cdot L_a(\rho)$  and  $[L_a(\rho)u, v] = [u, L_a(\rho)v]$  for any u and  $v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \cap W_a(\Omega)$ .

We consider  $L_a(\rho)$  as a complex linear operator  $L_c(\rho)$ . From Lemma 7, it follows that  $L_c(\rho)$  is a self-adjoint operator in  $W_a(\Omega)$  with respect to the Hermitian inner product [,].

Lemma 8.  $\Sigma_a(\Omega_{\rho})$  is equal to the spectrum Spec  $(L_c(\rho))$  of  $L_c(\rho)$  as a set and the multiplicity of  $\lambda \in \Sigma_a(\Omega_{\rho})$  is twice of the multiplicity of  $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(L_c(\rho))$ .

§ 3. Proof of the main theorem. Let  $S_m = \{\rho \in \Gamma ; \text{ the first } m \text{ spectra of } L_s(\rho) \text{ are of multiplicity one} \}$  and  $T_m = \{\rho \in \Gamma ; \text{ the first } m \text{ spectra of } L_c(\rho) \text{ are of multiplicity one} \}$ . We put  $S_0 = T_0 = \Gamma$ . Then

$$S_0 \supset S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \cdots;$$
  $S = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m,$   
 $T_0 \supset T_1 \supset T_2 \supset \cdots;$   $T = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} T_m,$ 

Theorem 3.  $S_m$  and  $T_m$  are open in  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $C^r$ -topology  $(5 \leq r \leq \infty), m=1, 2, \cdots$ .

Theorem 4.  $S_m$  is dense in  $S_{m-1}$  with respect to  $C^r$ -topology ( $5 \leq r \leq \infty$ ),  $m=1, 2, \cdots$ .

Theorem 5.  $T_m$  is dense in  $T_{m-1}$  with respect to C<sup>r</sup>-topology ( $5 \leq r \leq \infty$ ),  $m=1, 2, \cdots$ .

Theorems 3-5 imply that  $S_m$  and  $T_m$  are open dense in  $\Gamma$ , hence  $\Gamma_0 = S \cap T$  is residual. Theorem 3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2. The proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 are based on the following perturbation theorem due to Kato [4]: Let  $\{H_i\}$  be a regular perturbation of self-adjoint operators parametrized by a real parameter  $\tau$  on

some complex Hilbert space. Let  $H_{\tau}$  be given formally  $H_0 + \tau H_1 + \tau^2 H_2 + \cdots$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an isolated spectrum of  $H_0$  with multiplicity q.

Perturbation theorem. i) For every open interval  $(a, b) \subset R$  such that Spec  $(H_0) \cap (a, b) = \{\lambda\}$ , there are exactly q eigenvalues (counting multiplicity)  $\lambda^1(\tau), \lambda^2(\tau), \dots, \lambda^q(\tau)$  of  $H_{\tau}$  in (a, b) where  $\lambda^i(\tau) = \lambda + \tau \lambda_1^i + \tau^2 \lambda_2^i + \cdots$  are real analytic functions for small  $\tau(i=1, 2, \dots, q)$ .

ii) Let  $\{u^1, u^2, \dots, u^q\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\lambda$ -eigenspace of  $H_0$ . Then  $\lambda_1^i$   $(i=1, 2, \dots, q)$  are the roots of the equation det  $(\lambda \delta_{jk} - [H_1 u^j, u^k]) = 0$ .

In order to apply Perturbation theorem, we replace  $\rho \in \Gamma$  by  $\rho_0 + \tau \rho$ for sufficiently small  $\tau \in R$ .

Lemma 9.  $L(\rho_0+\tau\rho)$ ,  $L_s(\rho_0+\tau\rho)$  and  $L_c(\rho_0+\tau\rho)$  are regular perturbation of  $\tau$  on  $L^2(\Omega)\otimes C$ ,  $W_s(\Omega)\otimes C$  and  $W_a(\Omega)$ , respectively.

Lemma 10 (cf. [5]). Let u and v be  $\lambda$ -eigenfunctions of L(0). Then we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(L(\tau\rho)u, v)|_{\tau=0} = -\int_{\partial D} \rho(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x) \ d\omega(x),$$

where  $d\omega(x)$  is the surface element of  $\partial \Omega$ .

Proof of Theorems 4 and 5. We shall show that  $S_{m+1}$  (resp.  $T_{m+1}$ ) is dense in  $S_m$  (resp.  $T_m$ ) for  $m=1, 2, \cdots$ . Assume that  $\rho_0 \in S_m$  (resp.  $T_m$ ) is given. Since  $(I + \tilde{\rho}_0 + \tilde{\rho})\Omega = (I + \tilde{\theta})\Omega_{\rho_0}$  for some  $\theta$ , we can replace  $\Omega_{\rho_0}$  by  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_{\rho_0+\rho}$  by  $\Omega_{\theta}$ , respectively. Thus we may assume  $\rho_0=0$ . Suppose that

 $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_m = \lambda_{m+1} = \cdots = \lambda_{m+q} < \lambda_{m+q+1} \leq \cdots$ 

are the spectra of  $L_s(0)$  (resp.  $L_c(0)$ ). The first *m* spectra are simple and will remain simple under small perturbations of  $\rho$  by Theorem 2. The (m+1)-th spectrum  $\lambda(=\lambda_{m+1}=\cdots=\lambda_{m+q})$  has multiplicity *q*. We show that there is a linear perturbation  $\rho(\tau)=\tau\rho$  such that the (m+1)-th spectrum of  $L_s(\tau\rho)$  (resp.  $L_c(\tau\rho)$ ) has multiplicity  $\leq q-1$  for small  $\tau \neq 0$ . By a finite sequence of perturbations of this type, the (m+1)-th spectrum can be made simple. By Perturbation theorem, it is sufficient to show that  $\lambda_1^i$  are not all the same.

For the proof of Theorem 4, we have only to consider the real Hilbert space  $W_s(\Omega)$ . Let  $u^j$  and  $u^k$  be  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors of  $L_s(0)$ . Note that  $u^j$  and  $u^k$  are  $\sigma^*$ -invariant  $(j, k=1, 2, \dots, q)$ .

$$\begin{split} \mu_{jk} &= \frac{d}{d\tau} (L_s(\tau \rho) u^j, \, u^k)|_{\tau=0} \\ &= -\int_{so} \rho(x) \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial \nu} (x) \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial \nu} (x) \, d\omega(x). \end{split}$$

If the equation det  $(\lambda \delta_{jk} - \mu_{jk}) = 0$  only has a *q*-ple root  $\alpha$ , then  $\mu_{jk} = \alpha \delta_{jk}$ . If  $\mu_{jk} \neq 0$   $(j \neq k)$ , then at least two of the roots are distinct.

We assume that  $\mu_{jk} = 0$   $(j \neq k)$  for any  $\rho \in \Gamma$ . Then

Laplacian of a  $Z_3$ -Invariant Domain

$$rac{\partial u^i}{\partial 
u}(x) rac{\partial u^k}{\partial 
u}(x) \!=\! 0 \qquad ext{for any } x \in \partial arOmega,$$

which yields a contradiction to the fact that  $u^{j}$  and  $u^{k}$  are  $\lambda$ -eigenfunction of Problem (P. 1) (cf. [3]).

For the proof of Theorem 5, we have only to consider the complex Hilbert space  $W_a(\Omega)$ . Let  $u^j$  and  $u^k$  be  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors of  $L_c(0)$   $(j, k = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ . Note that  $u^j$  and  $u^k$  satisfy:  $u(x)+u(\sigma x)+u(\sigma^2 x)=0.$ 

$$\begin{split} \mu_{jk} &= \frac{d}{d\tau} [L_{c}(\tau\rho)u^{j}, u^{k}]|_{\tau=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\tau} [L_{c}(\tau\rho)u^{j}, u^{k}]|_{\tau=0} + i\frac{d}{d\tau} (L_{a}(\tau\rho)u^{j}, Ju^{k})|_{\tau=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} \int_{\partial a} \rho(x) \Big\{ \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(x) + \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \Big\} d\omega(x) \\ &\quad + i/\sqrt{3} \int_{\partial a} \rho(x) \Big\{ \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(x) \Big( \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) - \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \Big( \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) - \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(x) \Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \Big( \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(x) - \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) \Big) \Big\} d\omega(x). \end{split}$$

If for any  $\rho \in \Gamma$ ,  $\mu_{jk} = 0$   $(j \neq k)$ , then following three equations hold for any  $x \in \partial \Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(x) &+ \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) + \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(x) &+ \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) + \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(x) \Big\{ \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) - \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \Big\} + \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) \Big\{ \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) - \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(x) \Big\} \\ &+ \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2}x) \Big\{ \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(x) - \frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x) \Big\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These are linear homogeneous equations with respect to  $((\partial u^j/\partial \nu)(x), (\partial u^j/\partial \nu)(\sigma x), (\partial u^j/\partial \nu)(\sigma^2 x))$  and have a non-trivial solution for any x in some open set  $\subset \partial \Omega$ . Then the determinant of the equations is equal to:

$$-2\left\{\left(\frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(x)\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma x)\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u^{k}}{\partial \nu}(\sigma^{2} x)\right)^{2}\right\}=0$$

on some open set in  $\partial \Omega$ , which yields a contradiction to the fact that  $u^{k}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenfunction of Problem (P. 1).

No. 1]

## M. TANIKAWA

## References

- [1] Arnol'd, V. I.: Modes and quasi-modes. Funct. Anal. Appl., 6, 94-101 (1972).
- [2] Driscoll, B. H.: The multiplicity of the eigenvalues of a symmetric drum. Thesis, Northwestern Univ., Illinois (1978).
- [3] Fujiwara, D., Tanikawa, M., and Yukita, S.: The spectrum of the Laplacian and boundary perturbation. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 54A, 87-91 (1978).
- [4] Kato, T.: On the convergence of the perturbation method. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 6, 145-226 (1949).
- [5] Micheletti, A. M.: Perturbazione dello Spettro doll'operatore di Laplace, in relazione ad una variazione del campo. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 26, 151-169 (1972).