## 19. On the Group of Units of a Non-Galois Quartic or Sextic Number Field

## By Ken NAKAMULA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University (Communicated by Shokichi Iyanaga, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1980)

All number fields we consider are in the complex number field. The symbol  $\langle S \rangle$  denotes a multiplicative group generated by S.

For a finite extension k/Q, let  $E_k$  be the group of units of k, and  $E'_k$  be the group generated by all units of proper subfields of k together with roots of unity in k. We define the group  $H_k$  of relative units of k by

 $H_k = \{ \varepsilon \in E_k \mid N_{k/k'}(\varepsilon) \text{ is a root of unity for a proper subfield } k' \text{ of } k \}.$ 

Let us consider the problem to construct  $E_k$  with the help of  $E_k'$ . It is interesting to utilize  $H_k$  together with  $E_k'$  when  $(E_k: E_k') = +\infty$ . Hasse [2] has treated such a case when k is a real cyclic quartic number field. We are going to treat the case when k is a non-galois quartic (resp. sextic) number field having a quadratic subfield (resp. a quadratic and a cubic subfields). Then the galois closure of k/Q is a dihedral extension of degree 8 or 12 over Q. We restrict our investigation on such extensions.

From now on, we assume n=2 or 3. Let L/Q be a galois extension of degree 4n with the galois group

$$G = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$$
;  $\sigma^{2n} = \tau^2 = (\sigma \tau)^2 = 1$ .

The invariant subfield of the subgroup  $\langle \tau \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle \sigma^3 \tau \rangle$ ,  $\langle \sigma^n \rangle$ ) is denoted by K (resp. F,  $\Omega$ ), and the maximal abelian subfield by  $\Lambda$ . Then K and F are non-galois number fields of degree 2n which we are going to study. The quadratic subfield of K (resp. F) is denoted by  $K_2$  (resp.  $F_2$ ). When n=3, the cubic subfield of both K and F is denoted by  $K_3$ . The quartic field  $\Lambda$  is the composite field of  $K_2$  and  $K_2$  which contains another quadratic subfield  $\Lambda_2$ . Note that  $\Lambda = \Omega$  when n=2.

It is easy to show the following, which is in Nagell [6] when n=2. Proposition 1. When  $L \cap R = \Omega$ , we have  $E_K = E_K'$  and  $E_F = E_F'$ . Therefore we treat the two cases:

Case I: 
$$L \cap R = K$$
. Case II:  $L \subset R$ .

Taking into account that all roots of unity of L is contained in the quartic subfield  $\Lambda$ , we take and fix a generator  $\omega$  (resp.  $\zeta$ ,  $\rho$ ) of the group of roots of unity of  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Lambda_2$ ,  $F_2$ ).

1. Type of  $E_K$  and  $E_F$ . A typical example of K and F are a pure number field of degree 2n. The method, which is used in Stender [8],

[9], [10] in such cases, to determine fundamental units of K and F is based on the following easy lemma of group theory:

Let E be a free abelian group of rank r, and  $E_i$  be m subgroups of rank  $r_i$   $(1 \le i \le m)$ . Assume that there are m natural numbers  $n_i$  and m homomorphisms  $f_i : E \to E_i$  which satisfy  $f_i(x) = x^{n_i}$  for  $x \in E_i$  and  $f_i(x) = 1$  for  $x \in E_j$   $(j \ne i)$ . Then  $\langle E_1, \dots, E_m \rangle = E_1 \times \dots \times E_m$  (direct product). Thus we put  $f := f_1 \times \dots \times f_m$ ,  $H := \text{Ker }(f) = \{x \in E \mid f_i(x) = 1 \ (1 \le i \le m)\}$  and  $r_0 := \text{rank }(H)$ . Then we have

Lemma 1. (i) The group  $\langle H, E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \rangle = H \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m$ . (ii) The image f(E) contains  $E_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times E_m^{n_m}$  and the inverse image  $f^{-1}(E_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times E_m^{n_m}) = H \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m$ . Therefore  $r = r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_m$ , and the index  $(E: H \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m)$  divides  $n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_m^{r_m}$ . (iii) If  $n_i$  ( $1 \le i \le m$ ) are pairwise relatively prime, a basis  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^s$  ( $s:=r-r_0$ ) of f(E) can be chosen so that  $y_1, \cdots, y_{r_1} \in E_1$ ;  $y_{r_{1+1}}, \cdots, y_{r_{1+r_2}} \in E_2$ ;  $\cdots$ ;  $y_{s-r_{m+1}}, \cdots, y_s \in E_m$ .

In Lemma 1, if we regard E as  $E_{\scriptscriptstyle K}/\langle -1 \rangle$  (resp.  $E_{\scriptscriptstyle F}/\langle \rho \rangle$ ) and  $E_i$  as the groups of units of maximal proper subfields of K (resp. F) modulo roots of unity, then the relative norm maps from K (resp. F) satisfy the condition of  $f_i$ , and then H can be regarded as  $H_{\scriptscriptstyle K}/\langle -1 \rangle$  (resp.  $H_{\scriptscriptstyle F}/\langle \rho \rangle$ ). Hence we have

Corollary 1 (Nagell [6]). Let n=2. (i) In Case I, let  $E_{K_2} = \langle -1, \eta_2 \rangle$  with  $\eta_2 > 1$ ,  $H_K = \langle -1, \varepsilon_1 \rangle$  with  $\varepsilon_1 > 1$ , and let  $H_F (=E_F) = \langle \rho, \varepsilon_0 \rangle$ . Then  $E_K = \langle -1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rangle$ , where

 $\varepsilon_2 = \eta_2 \text{ if } \pm \eta_2 \oplus N_{K/K_2}(E_K), \ \varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\eta_2} \text{ or } \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \eta_2} \text{ otherwise.}$ 

(ii) In Case II, let  $E_{\kappa_2} = \langle -1, \eta_2 \rangle$  with  $\eta_2 > 1$ , and  $H_{\kappa} = \langle -1, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \rangle$  with  $\varepsilon_0 > 1$  and  $\varepsilon_1 > 1$ . Then  $E_{\kappa} = \langle -1, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rangle$ , where

 $\varepsilon_2 = \eta_2 \ if \ \pm \eta_2 \in N_{K/K_2}(E_K)$ , and  $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0'' \varepsilon_1'' \eta_2} \ (\mu, \nu = 0 \ or \ 1) \ otherwise.$ 

Corollary 2. Let n=3. (i) In Case I, let  $E_{K_2}=\langle -1, \eta_2 \rangle$  with  $\eta_2 > 1$ ,  $E_{K_3}=\langle -1, \eta_3 \rangle$  with  $\eta_3 > 1$ ,  $H_K=\langle -1, \varepsilon_1 \rangle$  with  $\varepsilon_1 > 1$ , and let  $H_F=\langle \rho, \varepsilon_0 \rangle$ . Then  $E_K=\langle -1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \rangle$  and  $E_F=\langle \rho, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3' \rangle$ , where  $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_3'$  are given by:

```
\begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{2}\!=\!\eta_{2}\;if\;\;\eta_{2}\not\in N_{K/K_{2}}\!(E_{K}),\;and\;\;\varepsilon_{2}\!=\!\sqrt[3]{\eta_{2}}\;or\;\;\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_{1}\eta_{2}^{\pm 1}}\;otherwise\;;\\ \varepsilon_{3}\!=\!\eta_{3}\;if\;\;\eta_{3}\not\in N_{K/K_{3}}\!(E_{K}),\;and\;\;\varepsilon_{3}\!=\!\sqrt{\eta_{3}}\;or\;\;\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}\eta_{3}}\;otherwise\;;\\ \varepsilon_{3}'\!=\!\eta_{3}\;if\;\;\eta_{3}\not\in N_{F/K_{3}}\!(E_{F}),\;and\;\;\varepsilon_{3}'\!=\!\sqrt{\rho^{\mu}\varepsilon_{0}^{\nu}\eta_{3}}\;(\mu,\nu\!=\!0\;or\;1,\;\mu^{2}\!+\!\nu^{2}\!\neq\!0)\\ otherwise. \end{array}
```

(ii) In Case II, let  $E_{\kappa_2} = \langle -1, \eta_2 \rangle$  with  $\eta_2 > 1$ ,  $E_{\kappa_3} = \langle -1, \eta_3, \eta_4 \rangle$  with  $\eta_3 > 1$ ,  $\eta_4 > 1$ , and let  $H_{\kappa} = \langle -1, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \rangle$  with  $\varepsilon_0 > 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 > 1$ . Then  $E_{\kappa} = \langle -1, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rangle$ , where  $\varepsilon_i$  (i=2, 3, 4) are given by:

```
\begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{2}\!=\!\eta_{2}\;if\;\eta_{2}\not\in N_{K/K_{3}}\!(E_{K}),\;and\;\varepsilon_{2}\!=\!\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_{0}^{\mu}\varepsilon_{1}^{\nu}\eta_{2}}\;(\mu,\nu\!=\!\pm 1\;or\;0)\;otherwise\;;\\ \varepsilon_{3}\!=\!\eta_{3}\;if\;\pm\eta_{3}\not\in N_{K/K_{3}}\!(E_{K}),\;and\;\varepsilon_{3}\!=\!\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}^{\mu}\varepsilon_{1}^{\nu}\eta_{3}}\;(\mu,\nu\!=\!0\;or\;1)\;otherwise\;;\\ \varepsilon_{4}\!=\!\eta_{4}\;if\;\pm\eta_{4},\;\pm\eta_{3}\eta_{4}\not\in N_{K/K_{3}}\!(E_{K}),\;and\;\varepsilon_{4}\!=\!\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}^{\mu}\varepsilon_{1}^{\nu}\eta_{3}^{\nu}\eta_{4}}\;(\mu,\nu,\lambda\!=\!0\;or\;1)\\ otherwise. \end{array}
```

2. Minkowski unit. In order to investigate the relation between  $E_K$  and  $E_F$ , the following group homomorphisms are useful when n=2 (resp. 3):

$$\varphi: K^{\times} \to F^{\times}; \varphi(x) := x^{1+\sigma} \text{ (resp. } x^{\sigma+\sigma^2}),$$
  
$$\psi: F^{\times} \to K^{\times}; \psi(y) := y^{1+\sigma^3} \text{ (resp. } y^{\sigma+\sigma^2}).$$

Then it is easy to see

Lemma 2. (i)  $\varphi(H_K) \subset H_F$  and  $\psi(H_F) \subset H_K$ .

(ii) When n=2 (resp. 3),

$$\psi \circ \varphi(x) = x^2 N_{K/K_2}(x)^{\sigma} \ (resp. \ x^{-3} N_{K/K_2}(x) N_{K/K_3}(x^2)) \ for \ x \in K^{\times},$$
 
$$\varphi \circ \psi(y) = y^2 N_{F/F_2}(y)^{\sigma} \ (resp. \ y^{-3} N_{F/F_2}(y) N_{F/K_3}(y^2)) \ for \ y \in F^{\times}.$$

From this lemma follow the following propositions.

Proposition 2. Let n=2. The notation being as in Corollary 1, we have

$$(H_{\scriptscriptstyle{K}}:\langle -1, \psi(H_{\scriptscriptstyle{F}})\rangle)(H_{\scriptscriptstyle{F}}:\langle \rho, \varphi(H_{\scriptscriptstyle{K}})\rangle)=2 \ (resp.\ 4)$$
 in Case I (resp. Case II). If  $\varepsilon_2=\sqrt{\varepsilon_1\eta_2}\in K$  in Case I, we have 
$$H_{\scriptscriptstyle{F}}(=E_{\scriptscriptstyle{F}})=\langle \rho, \varphi(\varepsilon_2)\rangle \quad and \quad H_{\scriptscriptstyle{K}}=\langle -1, \psi(\varepsilon_0)\rangle.$$

Proposition 3. Let n=3. The notation being as in Corollary 2, we have

$$(H_{\kappa}: \langle -1, \psi(H_F) \rangle)(H_F: \langle \rho, \varphi(H_{\kappa}) \rangle) = 3 \ (resp. 9)$$

in Case I (resp. Case II). In Case I, it holds that

$$H_F = \langle \rho, \varphi(\varepsilon_2) \rangle$$
 and  $H_K = \langle -1, \psi(\varepsilon_0) \rangle$ 

if  $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_1 \eta_2^{\pm 1}} \in K$ , and that  $\varepsilon_3 = \eta_3$  if and only if  $\varepsilon_3' = \eta_3$ .

In Case I, we study whether L has a Minkowski~unit; a unit which together with some of its conjugates forms a set of fundamental units of L (cf. Brumer [1]). A condition that L has a real~M-unit (Minkowski unit which is real) is obtained by Propositions 2 and 3.

Theorem 1. When n=2 (resp. 3) in Case I, the notation being as in Corollary 1 (resp. 2), the field L has a real M-unit  $\xi_1$  (i.e.  $\xi_1 \in E_K$  such that  $E_L = \langle \omega, \xi_1, \xi_1^r, \dots, \xi_1^{\sigma^{2n-2}} \rangle$ ) if and only if

$$\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1\eta_2}, \ E_A = \langle \omega, \eta_2 \rangle \ \ and \ \ K \neq K_2(\sqrt{2\eta_2}), \ \neq Q(\sqrt[4]{2}), \\ (resp. \ \varepsilon_2 = \sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_1\eta_2^{\pm 1}}, \ \varepsilon_3 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1\eta_3}, \ E_A = \langle \omega, \eta_2 \rangle \ and \ E_B = \langle \zeta, \eta_3, \eta_3^{\sigma} \rangle), \\ and \ then \ we \ can \ take \ \xi_1 = \varepsilon_2 \ (resp. \ \varepsilon_2^{-1}\varepsilon_3) \ as \ an \ M-unit \ of \ L. \end{array}$$

The proof of the "only if" part is easy. The "if" part is proved by showing that  $\varepsilon_2$  (resp.  $\varepsilon_2^{-1}\varepsilon_3$ ) actually gives an M-unit on account of Proposition 2 (resp. 3) and of the fact that  $E_L^n$  is contained in  $E_L'$ .

It seems more complicated to see whether L has an M-unit  $\xi_1$  which is not necessarily real (e.g.  $E_L = \langle \omega, \xi_1, \xi_1^\sigma, \xi_1^\tau \rangle$  when n = 2 in Case I). However, we have

Proposition 4. Let n=2 in Case I. The notation being as in Corollary 1, the field L has no M-unit if  $E_{\kappa} = \langle -1, \varepsilon_1, \eta_2 \rangle$  and  $E_{\Lambda} = \langle \omega, \sqrt{\omega \eta_2} \rangle$ .

The proof is given by showing contradiction under the assumption

that there is an M-unit in L.

3. Binomial unit. In the following, we assume  $K = Q(\theta)$   $(\theta := {}^{2n}\sqrt{d} > 0)$  is a real pure number field of degree 2n with a natural number d > 1. We may suppose that the action of G on  $L = Q(\theta, \zeta)$  satisfies that  $\theta^{\sigma} = \zeta \theta$ ,  $\theta^{\tau} = \theta$ ,  $\zeta^{\sigma} = \zeta$  and  $\zeta^{\tau} = \zeta^{-1}$ . Then  $F = Q({}^{2n}\sqrt{-n^n d})$  is a totally imaginary pure number field of degree 2n. We mention that  $\sqrt{\eta_2} \notin K$  when n = 2 and that  $\sqrt[3]{\eta_2}, \sqrt{\eta_3} \notin K$  when n = 3.

We can construct a set of fundamental units of K in a certain case when K has a  $binomial\ unit$ .

Theorem 2. Suppose that d is square free and that K has a binomial unit  $a-b\theta$  with natural numbers a and b such that  $a+1 \ge b^{2n}$ . Then a set  $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of fundamental units of K is given by

```
\xi_1 = a - b\theta, \xi_2 = a + b\theta; and \xi_3 = a^2 + ab\theta + b^2\theta^2 when n = 3.
```

The theorem is proved by Stender's method in [8], [9] after some calculations. The field, which is considered in Theorem 2, is different from that of Stender [10] if b>1.

The simplest example of Theorem 2 is the case when  $a=b^{2n}c\pm 1$  with a natural number c and  $d=(a^{2n}-1)/b^{2n}$  is square free. There are infinitely many such cases for any fixed (odd when n=2) natural number b (see [5]). This example has been treated more in detail in author's article [7] when n=3.

By Propositions 2, 3 and Theorem 2, we obtain

Corollary 3. The assumption being as in Theorem 2,

$$E_F = \langle \rho, \varphi(\xi_1) \rangle \ (resp. \ \langle \rho, \varphi(\xi_1), \varphi(\xi_1^{\sigma^3}) \rangle)$$
 when  $n = 2 \ (resp. \ 3)$ .

As an explicit form of a set of fundamental units of K is given in the case of Theorem 2, we can determine  $E_A$  and  $E_g$  according to Kuroda [4] and Iimura [3] and see that the condition of Theorem 1 is satisfied except for the case d=2. Thus we have

Theorem 3. The assumption being as in Theorem 2,  $\xi_1$  is a real M-unit of  $L=Q(\theta,\zeta)$  if  $d\neq 2$ .

Lastly, we give an example of L which has no (real or imaginary) M-unit in case when n=2. Let  $\theta^4=d:=3g^2$  with a square free natural number g which is not divisible by 3. Then the condition of Proposition 4 is verified easily (cf. [4] and [8]).

Proposition 5. The field  $L=Q(\sqrt[4]{3g^2}, \sqrt{-1})$  has no M-unit if g is a square free natural number prime to 3.

## References

- A. Brumer: On the group of units of an absolutely cyclic number field of prime degree. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 21, 357-358 (1968).
- [2] H. Hasse: Arithmetische Bestimmung von Grundeinheit und Klassenzahl in zyklischen kubischen und biquadratischen Zahlkörpern. Abh. Deutsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Math.-Nat. Kl. 1948, nr. 2, 95 pp (1950).

- [3] K. Iimura: On the unit groups of certain sextic number fields (to appear in Abh. Math. Seminar Hamburg, 50).
- [4] S. Kuroda: Über den Dirichletschen Körper. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA, 4, 383-406 (1943).
- [5] T. Nagell: Zur Arithmetik der Polynome. Abh. Math. Seminar Hamburg, 1, 174-194 (1922).
- [6] —: Sur quelques questions dans la théorie des corps biquadratiques. Arkiv för Mathematik, 4, 347-376 (1961).
- [7] K. Nakamula: An explicit formula for the fundamental units of a real pure sextic number field and its galois closure. Pacific J. Math., 83, 463-471 (1979).
- [8] H.-J. Stender: Grundeinheiten für einige unendlich Klassen reinen biquadratischen Zahlkörper mit einer Anwendung auf die diophantische Gleichung  $x^4-ay^4=\pm c$  (c=1, 2, 4 order 8). J. reine angew. Math., **264**, 207–220 (1973).
- [9] —: Über die Einheitengruppe der reinen algebraischen Zahlkörper sechsten Grades. Ibid., 268/269, 78-93 (1974).
- [10] —: Lösbare Gleichungen  $ax^n by^n = c$  und Grundeinheiten für einige algebraische Zahlkörper vom Grade n, n = 3, 4, 6. Ibid., **290**, 24-62 (1977).