

## 82. On Certain Densities of Sets of Primes

By Leo MURATA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1980)

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all rational primes and  $M$  a non-empty subset of  $\mathcal{P}$ . For a pair of real numbers  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where either  $\alpha=0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$  or  $\alpha > 0$  ( $\beta$  arbitrary), and for positive  $x$ , put  $f_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = x^{\alpha-1}(\log x)^\beta$ . We put furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\alpha, \beta}(M, x) &= \sum_{p \in M, p \leq x} f_{\alpha, \beta}(p), \\ d_{\alpha, \beta}(M, x) &= \frac{\pi_{\alpha, \beta}(M, x)}{\pi_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathcal{P}, x)}, \\ \underline{D}_{\alpha, \beta}(M) &= \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha, \beta}(M, x), \\ \bar{D}_{\alpha, \beta}(M) &= \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} d_{\alpha, \beta}(M, x).\end{aligned}$$

When  $\underline{D}_{\alpha, \beta}(M) = \bar{D}_{\alpha, \beta}(M)$ , we denote this value by  $D_{\alpha, \beta}(M)$  and say that  $M$  has the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -density  $D_{\alpha, \beta}(M)$ . The natural density is nothing other than  $(1, 0)$ -density and, as is well-known, the Dirichlet density is equal to  $(0, 0)$ -density (cf. [1]).

We shall say that  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -density is stronger than  $(\gamma, \delta)$ -density, and write  $D_{\gamma, \delta} < D_{\alpha, \beta}$ , if the existence of  $D_{\alpha, \beta}(M)$  for  $M \subset \mathcal{P}$  implies the existence of  $D_{\gamma, \delta}(M)$  and, when these densities exist, their values are the same ( $<$  is obviously an order relation). If  $D_{\alpha, \beta} < D_{\gamma, \delta}$  and  $D_{\gamma, \delta} < D_{\alpha, \beta}$ , we say that both densities are equivalent and write  $D_{\alpha, \beta} \sim D_{\gamma, \delta}$  ( $\sim$  is clearly an equivalence relation).

Our main theorem states:

**Theorem 1.** Any of our  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -densities is equivalent to one of the three densities,  $D_{0,0}, D_{0,1}, D_{1,0}$ , which will be denoted by  $d_0, d_1, d_2$ , respectively. We have furthermore  $d_0 < d_1 < d_2$  and these three densities are inequivalent.

As noted above,  $d_0$  and  $d_2$  are Dirichlet density and natural density, respectively. It is known that  $d_0 < d_2$  (cf. [1]). Our theorem shows that the density  $d_1$  lies, so to speak, between the two.

The following theorem gives a more precise form of the first part of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** For any  $\beta > 0$ ,  $D_{0, \beta}$  is equivalent to  $d_1 = D_{0,1}$  and for any  $\alpha > 0$  and any  $\beta$ ,  $D_{\alpha, \beta}$  is equivalent to  $d_2 = D_{1,0}$ .

*Sketch of proof of Theorem 2.* It is easily shown that

$$\pi_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{P}, x) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} + o(1) \right\} x^\alpha (\log x)^{\beta-1} & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta} + o(1) \right\} (\log x)^\beta & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \beta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $D_{\alpha,\beta}(M)$  will exist and be equal to  $\mu$ , if and only if

$$(*) \quad \pi_{\alpha,\beta}(M, x) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\alpha} + o(1) \right\} x^\alpha (\log x)^{\beta-1} & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\beta} + o(1) \right\} (\log x)^\beta & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \beta > 0. \end{cases}$$

In the following, we shall limit ourselves to the second part of Theorem 2, as the first part can be proved similarly. Thus we shall suppose  $\alpha > 0, \gamma > 0$ , and prove  $D_{\gamma,\delta} < D_{\alpha,\beta}$ . Then interchanging the roles of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\gamma, \delta)$ , we obtain  $D_{\alpha,\beta} \sim D_{\gamma,\delta}$ .

From the assumption that  $D_{\alpha,\beta}(M)$  exists, i.e. that the first formula of (\*) holds true, we can deduce by partial summation and some computations:

$$\pi_{\gamma,\delta}(M, x) = \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\gamma} + o(1) \right\} x^\gamma (\log x)^{\delta-1}.$$

$D_{\gamma,\delta}(M)$  exists then and is equal to  $\mu$ .

*Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.* Since the relation  $d_0 < d_1 < d_2$  can be similarly proved to the above, it suffices to show that  $d_0$  and  $d_1$  are inequivalent and so are also  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ . This is done by the following two examples.

**Example 1.** Put

$$M^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{p \in \mathcal{P}; \exp((2n)^2) < p \leq \exp((2n+1)^2)\}.$$

Then we can prove  $D_{0,1}(M^*) = 1/2$ , whereas  $\underline{D}_{1,0}(M^*) = 0, \bar{D}_{1,0}(M^*) = 1$ .

**Example 2.** Put

$$M^{**} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{p \in \mathcal{P}; \exp(\exp(2n)) < p \leq \exp(\exp(2n+1))\}.$$

Then we can prove  $D_{0,0}(M^{**}) = 1/2$ , whereas

$$\underline{D}_{0,1}(M^{**}) \leq \frac{1}{e+1}, \quad \bar{D}_{0,1}(M^{**}) \geq \frac{e}{e+1}.$$

**Remark.** We can show that  $D_{1,0}(M)$  (and consequently  $D_{\alpha,\beta}(M)$  for any  $(\alpha, \beta)$  treated here) can take any value of  $[0, 1]$ . In fact, the natural density  $D_{1,0}(M)$  takes every rational value by Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions. For irrational  $\mu$ , take a sequence of positive integers  $a_\nu, b_\nu (\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$  satisfying  $a_\nu > \exp(a_{\nu-1}), \varphi(a_\nu) \geq b_\nu$ , and  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} b_\nu / \varphi(a_\nu) = \mu$ , where  $\varphi(n)$  denotes Euler's function. For each  $a_\nu$ , take  $b_\nu$  integers  $t_j^{(\nu)} (j = 1, 2, \dots, b_\nu)$  which are co-prime to  $a_\nu$ , such that  $1 \leq t_j^{(\nu)} < a_\nu$ . Put

$$M = \bigcup_{\nu} \{p \in \mathcal{P}; \exp(a_\nu) < p \leq \exp(a_{\nu+1}), p \equiv t_j^{(\nu)} \pmod{a_\nu}\}.$$

Then it can be shown that  $D_{1,0}(M) = \mu$ .

Complete proofs are to appear elsewhere.

#### Reference

- [1] H.-H. Ostmann: Additive Zahlentheorie. Bde. I and II, Springer (1956).