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1. Many studies have been made on the following type of semilinear parabolic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t)=\Delta u+F(x, t, u), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

especially in connection with the so-called blowing-up problems (cf. [2]). However, hardly any discussion has yet been made on the effect of the coefficient of $\Delta u$, as it is a function in $u$, upon the global behaviour of the solutions. Here, we discuss some subjects in this field. For simplicity, we restrict the spatial dimension to 1 , which is not quite essential.

For an interval $I \subset R^{1}$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ we define $H^{2+\alpha}(\infty, I)$ as follows :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H^{2+\alpha}(\infty, I) \equiv\{u(x, t), \text { defined on } I \times[0, \infty) ; \text { for } \forall T \in(0, \infty), u,  \tag{1.2}\\
\text { as restricted to } \left.I \times[0, T], \text { belongs to } H_{T(I)}^{2+\alpha}\right\},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H_{T(I)}^{2+\infty}$ is a Hölder space defined by replacing $R^{1}$ by $I$ in the definition of $H_{T}^{2+\alpha}$ (cf. [3]).
2.1. We consider the following mixed problem for a non-linear parabolic equation :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t)=\varphi(u) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} u+\psi(u),(x \in I \equiv[0, a](a>0), t \geqq 0),  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \in H_{(I)}^{2+\alpha}(\geqq 0), u(0, t)=u(a, t)=0(t \geqq 0), \\
u_{0}(0)=u_{0}(a)=u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0)=u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(a)=0,
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi(u)$ and $\psi(u)$ are defined on $[0, \infty)$, and are monotonically increasing, non-negative, of the $C^{1}$-class on $[0, \infty)$ and of the $C^{2}$-class on $(0, \infty)$, and especially $\varphi(0)$ is positive. Without proof we state:

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [7] etc.). For some $T \in(0, \infty)$, there exists a unique solution $u(x, t)$ for (2.1)-(2.2) belonging to $H_{T(I)}^{2+\alpha}$. (Note that $u(x, t)$ is non-negative.)

We shall state below that, under some conditions on $\varphi(u), \psi(u)$, and $u_{0}$, there is a unique solution $u(x, t)$ for (2.1)-(2.2) belonging to $H^{2+\alpha}(\infty, I)$, and that, under some other conditions on them, the solution $u(x, t)$ blows up in a finite time. We remark (cf. [3], [4], etc.) that, in order to show the former, we need only to have a priori estimates for $u(x, t)$ such that $|u|_{T(T)}^{(0)} \leqq A(T)(\nearrow(T / \infty))$, under the assumption that
$u \in H_{T(I)}^{2+\alpha}$ satisfies (2.1)-(2.2). We set $B(u) \equiv \psi(u) / \varphi(u)$ and $\bar{B}(u)$ $\equiv \sup \left\{B\left(u^{\prime}\right): 0 \leqq u^{\prime} \leqq u\right\}$.

Theorem 2.2. For the mixed problem (2.1)-(2.2), if $B(u)$ is bounded, then there exists a unique solution $u(x, t)$ belonging to $H^{2+\alpha}(\infty, I)$. Moreover, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{T(I)}^{(0)} \leqq\left|u_{0}\right|_{(I)}^{(0)}+\frac{K}{8} \cdot a^{2} \quad(K \equiv \sup B(u)<\infty) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take optionally $T \in(0, \infty)$. Assume that $u(x, t) \in H_{T(I)}^{2+\alpha}$ satisfies (2.1)-(2.2). Then $U(x, t) \equiv u(x, t)+\frac{K}{2} \cdot\left(x-\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{t}=\varphi(u) U_{x x}+(B(u)-K) \varphi(u),  \tag{2.4}\\
U(x, 0)=U_{0}(x) \equiv u_{0}(x)+\frac{K}{2}\left(x-\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}(\geqq 0), \\
U(0, t)=U(a, t)=U_{0}(0)=U_{0}(a)=\frac{K}{8} a^{2}, U_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0)=U_{0}^{\prime \prime}(a) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the condition on $B(u)$, the comparison theorem, and the definition of $U(x, t)$, we have the estimate (2.3).
Q.E.D.

In case that $B(u)$ is unbounded, we define, for $w \in D \equiv[B(0), \infty)$, $\beta(w) \equiv \inf \{u: \bar{B}(u)=w\}$.

Theorem 2.3. If $B(u)$ is unbounded, $S \equiv\left\{w \in D: \beta(w)-\frac{a^{2}}{8} w>0\right\}$ is not empty, and $u_{0}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{0}\right|_{(I)}^{(0)}<M \equiv \sup _{w \in S}\left(\beta(w)-\frac{a^{2}}{8} w\right) \leqq \infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a unique solution for (2.1)-(2.2) belonging to $H^{2+\alpha}(\infty, I)$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{T(I)}^{(0)} \leqq\left|u_{0}\right|_{(I)}^{(0)}+\frac{a^{2}}{8} \inf \left\{w \in S:\left|u_{0}\right|_{(I)}^{(0)}<\beta(w)-\frac{a^{2}}{8} w \leqq M\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take optionally $T \in(0, \infty)$ and $k \in S$ such that $\left|u_{0}\right|_{(0)}^{(0)}<\beta(k)$ $-\frac{a^{2}}{8} k \leqq M$. Let $u(x, t)$ satisfy (2.1)-(2.2). Then, $V(x, t) \equiv u(x, t)$ $+\frac{k}{2}\left(x-\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}$ satisfies the equation (2.4) as $K$ is replaced by $k$. Moreover, we have $B(u)-K<0$, therefore $|V|_{T(I)}^{(0)}$ and $|u|_{T(I)}^{(0)}$ are not larger than $\left|u_{0}\right|_{(I)}^{(0)}+\frac{a^{2}}{8} \cdot k$. This assertion results from the relations $B(u) \leqq \bar{B}(u)$ and $\bar{B}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|_{(L)}^{(0)}+\frac{a^{2}}{8} k\right)<\bar{B}(\beta(k))=k$, and from the use of a simple method of reductio ad absurdum and of the comparison theorem. Considering the way of having taken $k$, we obtain (2.6).
Q.E.D.
2.2. Examples. We consider only the case of $I \equiv[0,1]$.
(i) For $\varphi(u)=1+u^{2}$ and $\psi(u)=u^{2}, B(u)=u^{2} /\left(1+u^{2}\right) . \quad K \equiv \sup B(u)$ $=1$. This example corresponds with Theorem 2.2.
(ii) For $\varphi(u)=1+u$ and $\psi(u)=u^{2}, B(u)=u^{2} /(1+u)$ is in a strict sense monotonically increasing and unbounded. In this example, which is related with Theorem 2.3, $S=(0, \infty)$. Thus, $M=\infty$. For the problem (2.1)-(2.2), the solution $u(x, t)$ does not blow up, and we have $|u|_{T(I)}^{(0)} \leqq\left|u_{0}\right|_{(I)}^{00}+C\left(\left|u_{0}\right|_{(I)}^{(0)}\right)$.
(iii) For $\varphi(u)=1$ and $\psi(u)=u^{2}, S=(0,64)$ and $M=2\left(B(u)=u^{2}\right)$. Therefore, if $\left|u_{0}\right|<2$, then $u(x, t)$ does not blow up and it holds that $0 \leqq u(x, t)<4$.
2.3. We give a more concrete result in

Theorem 2.4. (i) If $B(u) \leqq C_{1} u^{\gamma}+C_{2}\left(C_{1}, C_{2} \geqq 0 ; 0 \leqq \gamma<1\right)$, then there exists a unique solution $u(x, t) \in H^{2+\alpha}(\infty, I)$ for (2.1)-(2.2).
(ii) If $B(u)>C_{3} u^{r}-C_{4}\left(C_{3}>0, C_{4} \geqq 0, \gamma>1\right)$, then the solution $u(x, t)$ blows up under some conditions on $u_{0}$. There are also cases to which Theorem 2.3 is applicable.
(iii) If $C_{5} u+C^{\prime} \geqq B(u) \geqq C_{5} u-C^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{5}>0 ; C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime} \geqq 0\right)$, then, under the conditions that $a_{1} \equiv C_{5}-(\pi / a)^{2}>0$, that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{0}\left[u_{0}\right] \equiv \int_{I} \Phi\left(u_{0}(x)\right) \cdot s(x) d x>\Phi\left(\frac{C^{\prime \prime}}{a_{1}}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\left(\Phi(u) \equiv \int_{0}^{u} \frac{1}{\varphi(u)} d u, s(x) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2 a} \sin \frac{\pi x}{a}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and that $W(Q) \equiv \int_{C_{0}}^{Q}\left\{\Phi^{-1}(Q)\right\}^{-1} d Q\left(\Phi(\infty)>Q \geqq C_{0}>\Phi(0)=0\right)$ is bounded, the solution $u(x, t)$ blows up. There are also cases to which Theorem 2.3 is applicable.

Proof. (i) $\exists k_{0}$ such that $\left(k_{0}, \infty\right) \subset S$.
(ii) In case that $C_{0}[u]$ is sufficiently large, $u(x, t)$ blows up. Because $J(t) \equiv \int_{I} u(x, t) \cdot s(x) d x$ satisfies an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varphi(0)} J(t) \geqq C_{0}\left[u_{0}\right]+\int_{0}^{t}\left\{C_{3} J(\tau)^{r}-\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{2} J(\tau)-C_{4}\right\} d \tau . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the latter part, e.g., see 2.2 (iii).
(iii) For the former part, it suffices to see that, by Jensen's inequality, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(J(t)) \geqq C_{0}\left[u_{0}\right]+\int_{0}^{t}\left\{a_{1} J(\tau)-C^{\prime \prime}\right\} d \tau \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the latter part, e.g., see 2.2 (ii).
Q.E.D.
3. We consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& u_{t}=\varphi(u) u_{x x}+\psi(u) \cdot h(x),(-\infty<x<\infty, t \geqq 0,  \tag{3.1}\\
&\left.h(x)(\geqq 0) \in L_{1}\left(R_{1}\right) \cap H^{\alpha}(0<\alpha<1)\right), \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)(\geqq 0) \in H^{2+\alpha} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Theorem 3.1. If $B(u)$ is bounded, then there exists a unique solution $u(x, t)$ for (3.1) belonging to $H^{2+\alpha}\left(\infty, R^{1}\right)$.

Proof. Replace $\psi(u)$ in (3.1) by $K \cdot \varphi(u)(K \equiv \sup B(u))$. Apply the comparison theorem to (3.1) and the new equation. Thereafter, make use of procedures analogous to those in [5].
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