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0. Let $\Delta$ be the Laplace operator acting on the space $\Lambda^{*}\left(S^{n}\right)$ of differential forms on the standard sphere $S^{n}$. A. Ikeda and Y. Taniguchi [1] and B. L. Beers and R. S. Millman [2] regarded $\Delta$ as the Casimir operator and determined its eigenvalues and multiplicities by using the representation theory.

On the other hand, S. Gallot and D. Meyer [4] tried to determine them by direct computations using harmonic homogeneous forms. But the result for multiplicities contains some errors. In this paper we show the complete result by an elementary method not using the representation theory.

1. Let $D$ be the connection of $R^{n+1}$ and $\nabla$ the connection of $S^{n}$ induced by the inclusion map c from $S^{n}$ into $R^{n+1}$, where we use the canonical metrics. Then, for local vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $S^{n}$, we know

$$
D_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y-\langle X, Y\rangle X_{n+1}
$$

and

$$
D_{X} X_{n+1}=X,
$$

where $X_{n+1}$ is the locally extended vector field in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ from the normal vector of norm 1 at each point of $S^{n}$ by parallel transportation along the ray issuing from the origin, and $X$ and $Y$ are locally extended in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$.

Hereafter we extend the local vector field $X$ on $S^{n}$ so as to satisfy $\left[X, X_{n+1}\right]=0$. Also note that $D_{X_{n+1}} X_{n+1}=0$. Now denote by $d_{0}, \delta_{0}$ and $\bar{\Delta}$ respectively the differential, its codifferential and Laplace operator on the space $\Lambda^{p}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}\right)$ of differential $p$-forms on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ associated to $D$. Then, for any closed $p$-form $\alpha$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Delta\left(\iota^{*} \alpha\right)-\iota^{*}(\bar{\Delta} \alpha)\right]_{x}\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left.X_{n+1}\right|_{x}\left[X_{n+1} \alpha\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)\right]+\left.(n-2 p+2) X_{n+1}\right|_{x} \alpha\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

at any $x \in S^{n}$, where $\alpha\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)=\alpha\left(X_{i_{1}}, \cdots, X_{i_{p}}\right)$. (Cf. [4].)
Moreover, if $\alpha$ is a harmonic homogeneous $p$-form of degree $k$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$
\Delta\left(\iota^{*} \alpha\right)_{x}\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)=(k+p)(n-p+k+1) \alpha_{x}\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)
$$

at any $x \in S^{n}$. (Cf. [4].)
Let $H_{k}^{p}$ be the set of all coclosed harmonic homogeneous $p$-forms of degree $k$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ and let $V_{\lambda}^{p}$ denote the subspace of $\Lambda^{p}\left(S^{n}\right)$ consisting of eigenforms associated to each eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $\Delta$. Since

$$
\iota^{*}: \sum_{k \geq 0} H_{k}^{p} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p}\left(S^{n}\right)
$$

is injective and its image is dense, we have the isomorphism
$\iota^{*}: H_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_{0} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda_{k}}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d$,
where $\lambda_{k}=(k+p)(n-p+k+1)$. (Cf. [1], [4].)
Thus, in order to determine the dimension of $V_{\lambda_{k}}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d$, we must calculate the dimension of $H_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}$.
2. Let

$$
r d r=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x^{i} d x^{i} \quad \text { and } \quad r \frac{d}{d r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} .
$$

Define a linear operator $e(r d r)$ by

$$
e(r d r) \alpha=r d r \wedge \alpha, \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \Lambda^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}\right)
$$

and denote by $i(r(d / d r))$ the interior product by $r(d / d r)$ on $\Lambda^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}\right)$. Then the next lemma is obtained by applying H. Cartan's formulas for the Lie derivation to the space $P_{k}^{p}$ of homogeneous $p$-forms of degree $k$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$. (Cf. [1].)

Lemma 1. For any $\alpha \in P_{\bar{k}}^{p}$ we have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0} i\left(r \frac{d}{d r}\right) \alpha+i\left(r \frac{d}{d r}\right) d_{0} \alpha=(k+p) \alpha \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{0} e(r d r) \alpha+e(r d r) \delta_{0} \alpha=-(n+k-p+1) \alpha . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an easy consequence of this lemma, we have
Corollary 2. $P_{k}^{p}$ decomposes into the direct sum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}^{p}=\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}\right) \oplus\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} i\left(r \frac{d}{d r}\right)\right) \quad(k+p \neq 0) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) $\quad P_{k}^{p}=\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) \oplus\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} e(r d r)\right) \quad(n+1-p+k \neq 0)$.

Note that the exceptional cases are $P_{0}^{0}=\{$ constant functions $\}$ and $\boldsymbol{P}_{0}^{n+1}=\left\{a d x^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n+1} ; a \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\}$ and both are of dimension 1.

Now we have the direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{P}_{\bar{k}}^{p}=r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \oplus\left(P_{\bar{k}}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\Delta}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the corresponding theorem for functions (cf. [3] or [5]), where we regard that $P_{k}^{p}=\{0\}$ for $k<0$.

Furthermore, restricting to $\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}$, we have
Lemma 3. The following direct sum decomposition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}=\left(r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) \oplus H_{k}^{p} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is trivial that $\left(r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) \oplus H_{k}^{p} \subset P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}$. Since $\bar{\Delta} \delta_{0}=\delta_{0} \bar{\Delta}$, we have

$$
\bar{\Delta}: P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0} \longrightarrow P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{k}^{p}+\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) \geqq \operatorname{dim}\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) .
$$

Now, using (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) & =\operatorname{dim} r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p}-\operatorname{dim}\left(r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} e(r d r)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} P_{k-2}^{p}-\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} e(r d r)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{k}^{p}+\operatorname{dim}\left(r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) \geqq \operatorname{dim}\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) .
$$

(Here we note that $n+1-p+k-2 \neq 0$.)
Q.E.D.

Remark. From (4), (5) and (6) follows the direct sum decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{k}^{p}=H_{k}^{p} \oplus\left(r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right) \oplus\left(r^{2} P_{k-2}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} e(r d r)\right) \\
& \oplus\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\Delta} \cap \operatorname{Ker} e(r d r)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may replace Ker $e(r d r)$ with $\operatorname{Im} e(r d r)$ since Ker $e(r d r)=\operatorname{Im} e(r d r)$ on $P_{k}^{p}$.

Since the exact sequence

follows by (2), we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{Min}(p, k)}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} P_{k-i}^{p-i} .
$$

Next, using the fact that $d_{0} \bar{\Delta}=\bar{\Delta} d_{0}$ and (1), we have the following exact sequence ;

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{k+p}^{0} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow H_{k+1}^{p-1} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} H_{k}^{p} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} H_{k-1}^{p+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow H_{0}^{p+k} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

And hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} & \left(H_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{p}(-1)^{j-1} \operatorname{dim} H_{k+j}^{p-j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{p}(-1)^{j-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{Min}(p-j, k+j)}(-1)^{i}\left(\operatorname{dim} P_{k+j-i}^{p-j-i}-\operatorname{dim} P_{k+j-i-2}^{p-j-i}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^{i-1}\left(\operatorname{dim} P_{k+i}^{p-i}-\operatorname{dim} P_{k-i}^{p-i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{dim} P_{k}^{p}=\binom{n+k}{k} \cdot\binom{n+1}{p}
$$

where we denote

$$
\binom{a}{b}=\frac{a(a-1) \cdots(a-b+1)}{b!} \quad \text { for } b>0
$$

$\binom{a}{b}=1$ for $b=0$ and $\binom{a}{b}=0$ for $b<0$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{k}^{p}=\sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^{i}\left\{\binom{n+k-i}{k-i}-\binom{n+k-2-i}{k-2-i}\right\} \cdot\binom{n+1}{p-i}
$$

and
$\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^{i-1}\left\{\binom{n+k+i}{k+i}-\binom{n+k-i}{k-i}\right\} \cdot\binom{n+1}{p-i}$.
To simplify these summations, we need the following lemmas which can be proved by induction on the degree $p$ of forms.

Lemma 4. $\quad \sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^{i}\binom{n+k-i}{k-i} \cdot\binom{n+1}{p-i}$

$$
=\frac{(n+k+1)!}{p!k!(n-p)!(n+k-p+1)} .
$$

Lemma 5. $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^{i-1}\binom{n+k+i}{k+i} \cdot\binom{n+1}{p-i}$

$$
=\frac{(n+k+1)!}{(p-1)!k!(n-p+1)!(p+k)}
$$

By Lemma 4, we have
$\operatorname{dim} H_{k}^{p}=\frac{(n+k-1)!\left(n^{3}+(3 k-p) n^{2}+\left(2 k^{2}-(2 p-1) k-p-1\right) n-2 p k\right)}{p!k!(n-p)!(n+k-p+1)(n+k-p-1)}$
and by Lemmas 4 and 5 , we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}\right)=\frac{(n+k)!(n+2 k+1)}{(p-1)!k!(n-p)!(n+k-p+1)(k+p)} .
$$

Remark. The same calculations using (3) instead of (4) yield $\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\Delta} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}\right)$

$$
=\frac{(n+k-1)!\left((p+k-2) n^{2}+(2 k+1)(p+k-2) n+2 k(p-1)\right)}{(p-1)!k!(n-p+1)!(p+k)(p+k-2)} .
$$

3. Since the direct sum decomposition
holds and there exists an isomorphism

$$
d: V_{\lambda k k}^{p} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \delta \longrightarrow V_{\lambda k}^{p+1} \cap \operatorname{Ker} d,
$$

we must consider the influence from ( $p+1$ )-forms.
The eigenvalue ${ }^{p+1} \lambda_{k^{\prime}}=\left(k^{\prime}+p+1\right)\left(n-p+k^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Delta$ on $\Lambda^{p+1}\left(S^{n}\right)$ is equal to ${ }^{p} \lambda_{k}=(k+p)(n-p+k+1)$ if and only if $n=2 p$, and in this case, $k^{\prime}=k$.

Thus we obtain the following result.
Theorem 6. The eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on $\Lambda^{p}\left(S^{n}\right)$ $(p \neq 0)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\lambda_{k}} & =(k+p)(n-p+k+1) \quad k=0,1,2, \cdots, \\
{ }^{p+1} \lambda_{k^{\prime}} & =\left(k^{\prime}+p+1\right)\left(n-p+k^{\prime}\right) \quad k^{\prime}=0,1,2, \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

And if $n \neq 2 p$, the multiplicity of ${ }^{p} \lambda_{k}(\neq 0)$ is

$$
\frac{(n+k)!(n+2 k+1)}{(p-1)!k!(n-p)!(n+k-p+1)(k+p)}
$$

and the multiplicity of ${ }^{p+1} \lambda_{k}(\neq 0)$ is

$$
\frac{(n+k)!(n+2 k+1)}{p!k!(n-p-1)!(n+k-p)(k+p+1)} .
$$

If $n=2 p$, the multiplicity of ${ }^{p} \lambda_{k}={ }^{p+1} \lambda_{k}$ is

$$
\frac{2(2 p+k)!(2 p+2 k+1)}{p!(p-1)!k!(k+p)(k+p)(k+p+\mathbf{1})} .
$$
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