

6. A Note on Normal Ideals of Asano Orders

By Tatsuhiko SAITO

Faculty of General Education, University of Fisheries

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1978)

Let R be a ring with an identity and let \mathfrak{O} be an Asano order of R . It is well-known that the set of all two-sided \mathfrak{O} -ideals forms a group under multiplication and every integral two-sided \mathfrak{O} -ideal can be uniquely written as a product of a finite number of prime ideals of \mathfrak{O} ([1]).

In the present paper we show that, if two Asano orders \mathfrak{O} and \mathfrak{O}' of R are equivalent to each other, then the set of all left \mathfrak{O} - and right \mathfrak{O}' -ideals (\mathfrak{O} - \mathfrak{O}' -ideals) forms a group under multiplication which is isomorphic to the group of all two-sided \mathfrak{O} -ideals. Then the concept of prime ideals is naturally generalized for \mathfrak{O} - \mathfrak{O}' -ideals. By using the definition we obtain prime-factorization of \mathfrak{O} - \mathfrak{O}' -ideals.

Our results can be generalized in the case of semigroup treated in [2].

Let \mathfrak{O} be an order of a ring R with an identity. A subset A of R is called a left \mathfrak{O} -ideal if (i) A is a left \mathfrak{O} -module, (ii) A contains a regular element and (iii) $Aa \subseteq \mathfrak{O}$ for some regular element a of R . Right \mathfrak{O}' -ideals are defined in a similar fashion, where \mathfrak{O}' denotes an order of R . If A is a left \mathfrak{O} -ideal and a right \mathfrak{O}' -ideal, then A is called an \mathfrak{O} - \mathfrak{O}' -ideal. Let $\{\mathfrak{O}^i, \mathfrak{O}^k, \dots\}$ be a system of the orders which are equivalent to a fixed order \mathfrak{O} of R .

Throughout this paper we shall assume that:

- (1) $\mathfrak{O}^i, \mathfrak{O}^k, \dots$ are maximal orders of R .
- (2) A fixed order \mathfrak{O}^i is regular (bounded).
- (3) Ascending chain condition holds for integral two-sided \mathfrak{O}^i -ideals for a fixed order \mathfrak{O}^i .
- (4) Each prime ideal of \mathfrak{O}^i is maximal for a fixed order \mathfrak{O}^i .

Let \mathfrak{N}_{ik} be the set of all \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideals. For convenience $A = A^{ik}$ will denote that $A \in \mathfrak{N}_{ik}$. The product AB of an \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideal A and an \mathfrak{O}^j - \mathfrak{O}^l -ideal B is called proper if $k=j$. Then the set of all ideals defined on the system $\{\mathfrak{O}^i, \mathfrak{O}^k, \dots\}$ forms the Brandt's groupoid under proper multiplication.

Lemma 1. *Let A and B be an \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideal and an \mathfrak{O}^j - \mathfrak{O}^l -ideal respectively. Then the product AB , which is not necessarily proper, is an \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^l -ideal.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathfrak{O}^i A B \mathfrak{O}^l \subseteq AB$ and AB contains a regular

element. Let a and b be regular elements of R such that $Aa \subseteq \mathfrak{O}^i$ and $Bb \subseteq \mathfrak{O}^j$. By the regularity of the orders \mathfrak{O}^k and \mathfrak{O}^j , there exists a regular element c of R such that $\mathfrak{O}^j c \subseteq \mathfrak{O}^k$. Then we have $ABbca \subseteq A\mathfrak{O}^j ca \subseteq A\mathfrak{O}^k a = Aa \subseteq \mathfrak{O}^i$. Thus AB is a left \mathfrak{O}^i -ideal. Similarly we obtain that AB is a right \mathfrak{O}^i -ideal.

Theorem. 1. *The set \mathfrak{N}_{ik} of all \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideals forms an abelian group under multiplication for any fixed indices i and k . Moreover \mathfrak{N}_{ik} is isomorphic to the group \mathfrak{N}_{ii} of all two-sided \mathfrak{O}^i -ideals.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, it is clear that \mathfrak{N}_{ik} forms a semigroup under multiplication. Let A be any \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideal. Evidently $(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ is an \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideal. Then we have that $A(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = A\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = A\mathfrak{O}^k = A$ and $(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} A = (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i A = \mathfrak{O}^i A = A$. Thus $(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ is an identity of \mathfrak{N}_{ik} . Again by Lemma 1, $(A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ is an \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideal. And we have that $A(A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = A\mathfrak{O}^i (A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = \mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ and $(A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} A = (A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} A = (A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} A\mathfrak{O}^k = (A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} A\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = (A\mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} A\mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = \mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$. Thus A has an inverse in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} . For the second part, we shall define the mapping $f: \mathfrak{N}_{ii} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{ik}$ given by $f(C^{ii}) = C(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$. If $C^{ii} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = D^{ii} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$, then we have $C = C\mathfrak{O}^i = C(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i = C(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \mathfrak{O}^i = D(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \mathfrak{O}^i = D$; hence f is injective. Let A be any \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideal. Then we have $A^{ik} = A(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = A\mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$. Since $A\mathfrak{O}^i \in \mathfrak{N}_{ii}$, f is surjective. And we have $(C^{ii} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}) (D^{ii} (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}) = C((\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}) \cdot (D(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}) = C(D(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}) = (CD)(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$. Thus \mathfrak{N}_{ii} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{N}_{ik} as a group. Since the group \mathfrak{N}_{ii} is abelian, so is \mathfrak{N}_{ik} . The proof is complete.

Now we define prime ideals in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} as follows:

Definition. An \mathfrak{O}^i - \mathfrak{O}^k -ideal Q is called *prime* if (1) $Q \subset (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ and (2) $A^{ik} B^{ik} \subseteq Q$ implies $A \subseteq Q$ or $B \subseteq Q$, where $A \subseteq (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ and $B \subseteq (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$.

Lemma 2. *If P^{ii} is prime ideal in \mathfrak{N}_{ii} , then $P(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ is a prime ideal in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} . Conversely, if Q^{ik} is a prime ideal in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} , then $Q\mathfrak{O}^i$ is a prime ideal in \mathfrak{N}_{ii} .*

Proof. Suppose that $A^{ik} B^{ik} \subseteq P(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$, where $A \subseteq (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ and $B \subseteq (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$. Then we have $A\mathfrak{O}^i B\mathfrak{O}^i = AB\mathfrak{O}^i \subseteq P(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \mathfrak{O}^i = P(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i = P\mathfrak{O}^i = P$. Since P is prime in \mathfrak{N}_{ii} , we have that $A\mathfrak{O}^i \subseteq P$ or $B\mathfrak{O}^i \subseteq P$. This implies that $A = A\mathfrak{O}^k = A\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = A\mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \subseteq P(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$ or $B \subseteq P(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1}$. Suppose that $C^{ii} D^{ii} \subseteq Q^{ik} \mathfrak{O}^i$, where C and D are integral in \mathfrak{N}_{ii} . Then we have $C(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} D(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = CD(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \subseteq Q\mathfrak{O}^i (\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} = Q$. Since Q is prime in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} , we have that $C(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \subseteq Q$ or $D(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \subseteq Q$. This implies $C = C(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i = C(\mathfrak{O}^k \mathfrak{O}^i)^{-1} \mathfrak{O}^i \subseteq Q\mathfrak{O}^i$ or $D \subseteq Q\mathfrak{O}^i$.

By Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, we have

Theorem 2. *Every $\mathfrak{D}^i - \mathfrak{D}^k$ -ideal contained in $(\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{D}^i)^{-1}$ can be uniquely written as a product of a finite number of prime ideals in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} . Moreover if $A^{ik} \mathfrak{D}^i = P_1^{ii} \cdots P_n^{ii}$ is the prime-factorization of $A \mathfrak{D}^i$ in \mathfrak{N}_{ii} , then $A^{ik} = (P_1(\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{D}^i)^{-1}) \cdots (P_n(\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{D}^i)^{-1})$ is the prime-factorization of A in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} and, if $C^{ii}(\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{D}^i)^{-1} = Q_1^{ik} \cdots Q_m^{ik}$ is the prime-factorization of $C(\mathfrak{D}^k \mathfrak{D}^i)^{-1}$ in \mathfrak{N}_{ik} , then $C^{ii} = (Q_1 \mathfrak{D}^i) \cdots (Q_m \mathfrak{D}^i)$ is the prime-factorization of C in \mathfrak{N}_{ii} .*

References

- [1] K. Asano: The Theory of Rings and Ideals. Tokyo (1949) (in Japanese).
- [2] K. Asano and K. Murata: Arithmetical ideal theory in semigroups. Journ. Institute of Polytec. Osaka City Univ., Series A, 4 (1953).