

## 5. An Approach to Linear Hyperbolic Evolution Equations by the Yosida Approximation Method

By Susumu ISHII

Department of Mathematics, Waseda University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1978)

§ 1. Introduction. T. Kato [1, 2] studied the Cauchy problem for linear "hyperbolic" evolution equations in a general Banach space  $X$ :

$$(1.1) \quad (du/dt) + A(t)u(t) = 0, \quad u(s) = x, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T < \infty,$$

where  $-A(t)$  is the generator of a  $(C_0)$ -semigroup in  $X$  for each  $t$ . He proved the basic existence theorem [1; Theorem 4.1] by the Cauchy's method analogous to ordinary differential equations. He posed a question whether it is possible or not to prove the theorem by the Yosida approximation method. In this paper we will answer the question affirmatively under the assumptions of Kato [1; Theorem 4.1]. In § 2 we treat the "stable" case about the family  $\{A(t)\}$ ; we study some properties of the Yosida approximation, then in § 3 we prove the existence theorem. Finally in § 4 we give some comments how our arguments are modified in the case of "quasi-stability" [2].

§ 2. Theorem. We follow Kato [1] in notation and terminology. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real Banach spaces with  $Y$  densely and continuously embedded in  $X$ . We assume that  $-A(t)$  is the generator of a  $(C_0)$ -semigroup on  $X$ . Further assume

(i)  $\{A(t)\}$  is stable; i.e., there are constants  $M, \beta$  such that:

$$\|(A(t_k) + \lambda)^{-1} \cdots (A(t_1) + \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq M \cdot (\lambda - \beta)^{-k}$$

for  $\lambda > \beta$  and  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_k \leq T, k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

(ii)  $Y$  is  $A(t)$ -admissible for each  $t$ ; that is, the semigroup generated by  $-A(t)$  leaves  $Y$  invariant and forms a  $(C_0)$ -semigroup on  $Y$ . And if  $\tilde{A}(t)$  is the part of  $A(t)$  in  $Y$ , then  $\{\tilde{A}(t)\}$  is stable with some constants  $\tilde{M}, \tilde{\beta}$  [1, p. 242].

(iii)  $Y \subset D(A(t))$  for each  $t$  and  $A(t)$  is norm continuous from  $[0, T]$  into  $B(Y, X)$ .

Hereafter we assume  $\beta, \tilde{\beta} > 0$  for simplicity.

A family  $\{U(t, s); 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$  is called the evolution operator for  $\{A(t)\}$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a)  $U(t, s)$  is strongly continuous ( $X$ ) in  $s, t$  and,  $U(t, t) = I$  and  $\|U(t, s)\| \leq M \cdot \exp[\beta(t - s)]$ .

(b)  $U(t, r) = U(t, s)U(s, r), r \leq s \leq t$ .

(c)  $(\partial/\partial t)^+ U(t, s)y|_{t=s} = -A(s)y$  for  $y \in Y, 0 \leq s < T$ .

(d)  $(\partial/\partial s)U(t, s)y = U(t, s)A(s)y$  for  $y \in Y, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ .

Then we give the definition of the Yosida approximation [3].

**Definition 2.1.** Under the assumption (i), the family  $\{A_\lambda(t)\} \subset B(X)$  is said to be the Yosida approximation for  $\{A(t)\}$  if for each  $t \in [0, T]$

$$A_\lambda(t) = \lambda^{-1}[I - J_\lambda(t)], \quad J_\lambda(t) = (I + \lambda A(t))^{-1} \in B(X), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \lambda\beta < 1.$$

For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda\beta < 1$ ,  $\lambda\tilde{\beta} < 1$ ,  $A_\lambda(t)$  is defined for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , bounded in  $B(X)$  by (i),  $\tilde{A}_\lambda(t)$ , the Yosida approximation for  $\tilde{A}(t)$  is also defined for each  $t$ , bounded in  $B(Y)$  by (ii), coincides with  $A_\lambda(t)$  on  $Y$  [1; Prop. 2.3] and therefore  $A_\lambda(t)$  is strongly continuous ( $X$ ) by (iii).

Then the evolution operator  $\{U_\lambda(t, s)\}$  for  $\{A_\lambda(t)\}$  is defined uniquely by the solution of the Cauchy problem in  $X$  [4]:

$$(2.1) \quad (d/dt)u_\lambda(t, s, x) = -A_\lambda(t)u_\lambda(t, s, x), \quad u_\lambda(s, s, x) = x,$$

for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ,  $x \in X$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad U_\lambda(t, s)x = u_\lambda(t, s, x).$$

Now we can state the theorem to be proved.

**Theorem 2.2.** Under the assumptions above there exists the evolution operator  $\{U(t, s)\}$  for  $\{A(t)\}$ . Moreover  $U_\lambda(t, s)$  converges to  $U(t, s)$  strongly in  $B(X)$  uniformly for  $t, s$  as  $\lambda \searrow 0$ .

**§ 3. Proof.** The evolution operator  $\{V_\lambda(t, s)\}$  for  $\{A_\lambda(t_i)\}$ , a step function of  $t$ , is well defined as in § 2, where  $t_i = [t/\lambda] \cdot \lambda$ . We first show the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** The evolution operator  $V_\lambda(t, s)$  converges to some operator  $U(t, s)$  strongly in  $B(X)$  uniformly for  $t, s$  as  $\lambda \searrow 0$  and  $\{U(t, s)\}$  is the evolution operator for  $\{A(t)\}$ .

Then the theorem can be proved easily. This process is necessary because we need uniform boundedness of  $V_\lambda(t, s)$  in  $B(Y)$  but we can tell nothing about uniform boundedness of  $U_\lambda(t, s)$  in  $B(Y)$  for lack of information about strong measurability of  $J_\lambda(t)$  as a  $B(Y)$ -valued function.

**Proof of Lemma.** For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda\beta < 1$ ,  $\lambda\tilde{\beta} < 1$ ,  $\tilde{A}_\lambda(t_i)$  is defined for all  $t$ , piecewise constant and there exists the evolution operator for it, which coincides with  $\{V_\lambda(t, s)\}$  on  $Y$  by (ii).

Moreover  $V_\lambda(t, s)$  satisfies the estimates:

$$(3.1) \quad \|V_\lambda(t, s)\|_X \leq M \cdot \exp[\beta(t-s)/(1-\lambda\beta)], \quad s \leq t,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|V_\lambda(t, s)\|_Y \leq \tilde{M} \cdot \exp[\tilde{\beta}(t-s)/(1-\lambda\tilde{\beta})], \quad s \leq t.$$

In fact,  $v_\lambda(t, s, x) = \exp[(t-s)/\lambda] \cdot V_\lambda(t, s)x$  satisfies the following:

$$(3.3) \quad (d/dt)v_\lambda(t, s, x) = \lambda^{-1}J_\lambda(t)v_\lambda(t, s, x), \quad v_\lambda(s, s, x) = x, \quad t \neq \lambda, 2\lambda, \dots$$

The estimate (3.1) follows by virtue of the stability assumption if we express the solution of (3.3) by series; the estimate (3.2) is obtained similarly.

Next we prove that  $\{V_{1/n}(t, s)y; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , forms a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  uniformly for  $t, s$ . To this end we consider the following equation obtained from the definition of  $V_\lambda(t, s)$ :

(3.4) 
$$(\partial/\partial s)V_\lambda(t, s)J_\alpha(s_\alpha)V_\mu(s, r)y$$

$$= V_\lambda(t, s)[A_\lambda(s_\lambda)J_\alpha(s_\alpha) - J_\alpha(s_\alpha)A_\mu(s_\mu)] \cdot V_\mu(s, r)y,$$
for  $s \neq k\lambda, s \neq k\mu, s \neq k\alpha, k=1, 2, \dots, y \in Y$ , where  $\alpha > 0, \alpha\beta < 1, \alpha\tilde{\beta} < 1, s_\alpha = [s/\alpha] \cdot \alpha$  and  $J_\alpha(s) = (I + \alpha A(s))^{-1}$ . The parameter  $\alpha$  is independent of  $\lambda, \mu$  and determined later in (3.13).

Since the right hand side of (3.4) is piecewise continuous and uniformly bounded in  $X$ , we can integrate (3.4) to get

$$(3.5) \quad \int_r^t (\partial/\partial s)V_\lambda(t, s)J_\alpha(s_\alpha)V_\mu(s, r)y ds$$

$$= \int_r^t V_\lambda(t, s)[A_\lambda(s_\lambda)J_\alpha(s_\alpha) - J_\alpha(s_\alpha)A_\mu(s_\mu)] \cdot V_\mu(s, r)y ds,$$

for  $r \leq t, y \in Y$ . Since  $s_\alpha = [s/\alpha] \cdot \alpha$ , we have from (3.5)

$$(3.6) \quad V_\mu(t, r)y - V_\lambda(t, r)y$$

$$= \alpha[A_\alpha(t_\alpha)V_\mu(t, r)y - V_\lambda(t, r)A_\alpha(r_\alpha)y]$$

$$+ \{V_\lambda(t, r_\alpha + \alpha)[J_\alpha(r_\alpha + \alpha) - J_\alpha(r_\alpha)]V_\mu(r_\alpha + \alpha, r)y$$

$$+ \dots + V_\lambda(t, t_\alpha)[J_\alpha(t_\alpha) - J_\alpha(t_\alpha - \alpha)] \cdot V_\mu(t_\alpha, r)y\}$$

$$+ \int_r^t V_\lambda(t, s)[A_\lambda(s_\lambda)J_\alpha(s_\alpha) - J_\alpha(s_\alpha)A_\mu(s_\mu)]V_\mu(s, r)y ds,$$

for  $r \leq t, y \in Y$ .

To estimate the right hand side of (3.6) we use

$$(3.7) \quad \|J_\alpha(t + \alpha) - J_\alpha(t)\|_{Y, X} \leq \text{Const} \cdot \alpha \cdot \sup_t \|A(t + \alpha) - A(t)\|_{Y, X},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|A_\lambda(s_\lambda)J_\alpha(s_\alpha) - J_\alpha(s_\alpha)A_\mu(s_\mu)\|_{Y, X}$$

$$\leq \text{const} \cdot \left[ \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\alpha} + \sup_{|t' - t| \leq \alpha + \lambda + \mu} \|A(t') - A(t)\|_{Y, X} \right].$$

The proof of (3.7) is easy, so omitted. To prove (3.8) we notice the decomposition

$$(3.9) \quad A_\lambda(s_\lambda)J_\alpha(s_\alpha) - J_\alpha(s_\alpha)A_\mu(s_\mu)$$

$$= [A_\lambda(s_\lambda) - A(s_\alpha)J_\lambda(s_\alpha)]J_\alpha(s_\alpha) + [A(s_\alpha)J_\lambda(s_\alpha)J_\alpha(s_\alpha)$$

$$- J_\alpha(s_\alpha)A(s_\alpha)J_\mu(s_\alpha)] + J_\alpha(s_\alpha)[A(s_\alpha)J_\mu(s_\alpha) - A_\mu(s_\mu)].$$

Then (3.8) can be obtained with the aid of the estimates

$$(3.10) \quad \|A_\lambda(s_\lambda) - A(s_\alpha)J_\lambda(s_\alpha)\|_{Y, X} \leq \text{Const} \cdot \sup_{|t' - t| \leq \lambda + \alpha} \|A(t') - A(t)\|_{Y, X},$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|A(s_\alpha)J_\lambda(s_\alpha)J_\alpha(s_\alpha) - J_\alpha(s_\alpha)A(s_\alpha)J_\mu(s_\alpha)\|_{Y, X} \leq \text{Const} \cdot \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\alpha},$$

$$(3.12) \quad \|A(s_\alpha)J_\mu(s_\alpha) - A_\mu(s_\mu)\|_{Y, X} \leq \text{Const} \cdot \sup_{|t' - t| \leq \alpha + \mu} \|A(t') - A(t)\|_{Y, X}.$$

Hence by (3.7), (3.8) and uniform boundedness of  $V_\lambda(t, s)$  in  $B(X)$  and  $B(Y)$  (see (3.1), (3.2)), we get from (3.6):

$$(3.13) \quad \|V_\mu(t, r)y - V_\lambda(t, r)y\|$$

$$\leq \text{Const} \cdot \left[ \alpha + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\alpha} + \sup_{|t' - t| \leq \alpha + \lambda + \mu} \|A(t') - A(t)\|_{Y, X} \right] \cdot \|y\|_{Y}.$$

This means that  $\{V_{1/n}(t, r)y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  uniformly for  $t, r$ . Since  $Y$  is dense in  $X$  and  $V_\lambda(t, r)$  is uniformly bounded

in  $B(X)$  for  $\lambda, t, r$ , we conclude that  $V_\lambda(t, r)x$  converges strongly in  $X$  to, say,  $U(t, r)x$  as  $\lambda \searrow 0$  uniformly in  $t, r$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $U(t, r)x$  is strongly continuous in  $X$ .

The conditions (a) and (b) of the evolution operator can be obtained from the corresponding relations of  $V_\lambda(t, s)$  by passing to the limit or limit infimum as  $\lambda \searrow 0$ . The condition (c) is also obtained if we notice the relation

$$h^{-1}[V_\lambda(t+h, t)y - y] = -h^{-1} \int_t^{t+h} V_\lambda(t+h, s)A_\lambda(s)y ds, \quad h > 0, y \in Y,$$

pass to the limit  $\lambda \searrow 0$ , and use continuity of  $U(t, s), A(t)$ . The proof of (d) and uniqueness of the evolution operator is the same as that of Kato [1], so we may omit it.

**Proof of the theorem.** It suffices to prove that the difference  $U_\lambda(t, r)y - V_\lambda(t, r)y$  converges to zero in  $X$  as  $\lambda \searrow 0$  uniformly in  $t, r$  for each  $y \in Y$ . This follows from the relation

$$V_\lambda(t, r)y - U_\lambda(t, r)y = \int_r^t U_\lambda(t, s)[A_\lambda(s) - A_\lambda(s_\lambda)]V_\lambda(s, r)y ds.$$

**§ 4. Remarks to "quasi-stable" case.** In the quasi-stable case,  $\beta, \tilde{\beta}$  are Lebesgue upper integrable functions of  $t$  [2; p. 648]. Our method also applies to this case, but we need more care about choice of  $A_n(t_n), n \in N$ , and  $J_p(t_p), p \in N$ , where  $A_n(t_n), J_p(t_p)$  correspond to  $A_\lambda(t_\lambda), J_\lambda(t_\lambda)$  in the stable case. We can assume without loss of generality that  $\beta, \tilde{\beta}$  are Lebesgue integrable and greater than a positive constant a.e., if necessary, replacing them by dominating integrable functions. Then we choose the Yosida approximation  $A_n(t_n)$  as follows:

$$A_n(t_n) = A(t_n)J_n(t_n), \quad J_n(t) = \left( I + \frac{1}{n^2\tilde{\beta}(t)}A(t) \right)^{-1} \quad \text{a.e., } n \in N,$$

where  $\bar{\beta}(t) = \max\{\beta(t), \tilde{\beta}(t)\}$ . The step function  $t_n$  of  $t$  must be chosen so that  $\bar{\beta}(t_n) \rightarrow \bar{\beta}(t)$  as  $n \nearrow \infty$  in  $L^1$  [2; p. 651]. The details of the proof may be omitted.

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to express my hearty thanks to Prof. Sunouchi for his kind and valuable advice.

## References

- [1] T. Kato: Linear evolution equations of "hyperbolic" type. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. I, **17**, 241–258 (1970).
- [2] —: Linear evolution equations of "hyperbolic" type. II. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **25**, 648–666 (1973).
- [3] K. Yosida: Functional Analysis. Fourth Edition, Springer (1974).
- [4] J. Kisýnski: Sur les opérateurs de Green des problèmes de Cauchy abstraits. Studia Math., **23**, 285–328 (1963/4).