51. Existence of Periodic Solutions of Nonlinear Hyperbolic System

By Yasumasa NISHIURA Faculty of Science, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1977)

In this paper we discuss the problem of the existence of real periodic solutions along the characteristic lines satisfying the nonlinear hyperbolic system of equations for the unknown $U={}^{t}(u_1,u_2)$

$$U_t + AU_x = BU + F(U, \varepsilon), \tag{1}$$

where A and B are real constant matrices as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_1 \neq c_2, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

and $F(U, \varepsilon) = {}^t(f_1(u_1, u_2, \varepsilon), f_2(u_1, u_2, \varepsilon))$ is a smooth real-valued vector function and ε is a real parameter. We assume that F(U, 0) = 0 and B satisfies

$$ad \cdot det(B) < 0.$$
 (2)

We write (1) in the characteristic form

$$DU = BU + F(U, \varepsilon), \tag{3}$$

where

$$DU = \iota(\partial u_1/\partial \xi, \partial u_2/\partial \eta), \quad ext{and} \quad \xi = \frac{x - c_2 t}{c_1 - c_2}, \;\; \eta = \frac{x - c_1 t}{c_2 - c_1}.$$

We note that nonlinear term $F(U, \epsilon)$ is autonomous (i.e. not explicitly depends on ξ, η). As for non-autonomous periodic perturbation, there is an extensive literature concerning the existence of periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations such as [1], [2], [4], [5], [6].

In Lemmas 1 and 2 we summarize the results about the un-perturbed equation

$$DU=BU. (4)$$

Under the assumption (2) of B, it is easy to prove those lemmas by Fourier series expansion, so we omit them. In Lemmas 3 and 4, we reduce the problem about (3) to solve the bifurcation equation, and using an implicit function theorem we can obtain the main theorem. In the last part of this paper we show an example in population dynamics with migrational effect to which our theorem can apply. We use the following notations:

 D_* is the space of C^{∞} -periodic vector functions with S-periodic in ξ and T-periodic in η where S and T are constants given in Lemma 1,

and which is topologized by the usual seminorms, D'_* is the space of periodic distributions on D_* ,

$$H_{*}^{k} = \{U = {}^{t}(u_{1}, u_{2}); U \in D'_{*}, \|U\|_{k} = \|u_{1}\|_{k} + \|u_{2}\|_{k} < +\infty\},$$

where we denote the usual Sovolev *k*-norm by $\|\cdot\|_k$, and

$$H_{\sharp}^{k}(b) = \{U = {}^{t}(u_{1}, u_{2}); U \in H_{\sharp}^{k}, ||U||_{k} \leq b\}.$$

Lemma 1. If B satisfies (2), then linear equation (4) has periodic solutions with S-periodic in ξ and T-periodic in η where

$$S = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma}$$
, $T = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma} \left| \frac{a}{d} \right|$, $\gamma = \left\{ \frac{a}{d} (bc - ad) \right\}^{1/2}$.

They form the real two-dimensional vector space and we denote it by N

Remark 1. The adjoint equation $DV = -{}^{t}BV$ also has periodic solutions with the same periodicity as in Lemma 1, and they form the real two-dimensional vector space. We denote it by N^* .

Definition 1. We denote the projection which maps D'_* onto N (resp. N^*) by P (resp. Q) and the null space of P (resp. Q) by P^{\perp} (resp. Q^{\perp}).

Lemma 2. The non-homogeneous linear equation

$$DU = BU + H, \qquad H \in D'_{\sharp}, \tag{5}$$

has a solution in D'_* if and only if QH=0. Furthermore if H satisfies this condition and belongs to H^k_* , then there exists a unique solution of (5) in H^k_* which satisfies PU=0. If this unique solution is designated by KH, then K is a linear operator mapping $H^k_* \cap Q^\perp$ into $H^k_* \cap P^\perp$, and there exists a positive constant C such that $||KH||_k \leq C ||H||_k$.

To solve (3) we introduce the real parameters μ , ν and new independent variables x, y as follows:

$$\xi = (1 + \mu)x, \quad \eta = (1 + \nu)y.$$
 (6)

Next we transform the dependent variable into $W = {}^{t}(w_1, w_2)$ as follows:

$$U = W + U_0, \quad U_0 = {}^t(u_1^0, u_2^0) \in N, \quad U_0 \neq 0,$$
 (7)

where N is the same space as in Lemma 1 in which ξ, η are replaced by x, y, and U_0 is any periodic vector function from which periodic solutions of (3) will bifurcate. We denote the projections onto these N and N^* by the same notations, i.e., P,Q. Then W satisfies the equation:

$$DW = BW + G(x, y, W, \varepsilon, \mu, \nu), \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{split} G &= {}^t(g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, g_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}), \\ g_1 &= g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(x, y, w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, w_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}, \varepsilon, \mu) = \mu(a(u_1^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) + b(u_2^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})) \\ &\quad + (1 + \mu) f_1(u_1^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, u_2^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}, \varepsilon), \\ g_2 &= g_2(x, y, w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, w_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}, \varepsilon, \nu) = \nu(c(u_1^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) + d(u_2^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})) \\ &\quad + (1 + \nu) f_2(u_1^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, u_2^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} + w_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}, \varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Applying the projection Q to (8), we obtain the system of equations

$$DW = BW + (I - Q)G \tag{9.1}$$

$$QG=0, (9.2)$$

where I denotes the identity operator. This system is equivalent to (8). In the following two lemmas we state about the existence of solutions of (9.1) and their smooth dependency on the parameters. We use the following notation

$$C^m(R^2 \times R^1, R^2) = \{V = {}^t(v_1, v_2); v_i = v_i(x, y, \varepsilon) \text{ is a real-valued}$$

 m -times continuously differentiable function in $R^2 \times R^1$, $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 3. Suppose that

$$F(U, \varepsilon) \in C^{k+2}(R^2 \times R^1, R^2), \qquad k \geq 2$$
; integer,

and that positive constant b is given. Then there exist positive constants ε_1 , μ_1 and ν_1 such that for ε , μ , ν , $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_1$, $|\mu| \leq \mu_1$, $|\nu| \leq \nu_1$ the equation

$$W = K(I - Q)G(\cdot, \cdot, W, \varepsilon, \mu, \nu)$$
(10)

has a unique solution $W(\varepsilon, \mu, \nu)$ which belongs to $H_{\sharp}^{k}(b) \cap P^{\perp}$ and is Lipschitz continuous with respect to ε , μ and ν , and W(0, 0, 0) = 0. This $W(\varepsilon, \mu, \nu)$ satisfies (9.1) in the sense of distribution.

Proof. Let us consider the following operator T

$$TV = K(I-Q)G(\cdot, \cdot, V, \varepsilon, \mu, \nu).$$

Under the assumption of $F(U, \varepsilon)$ we can show that T is a contraction mapping in $H_{\sharp}^{k}(b)$ for sufficiently small ε , μ , ν with the aid of the lemmas of [3], [5], [6]. Then using the standard techniques, we can establish the lemma. More precisely see [4], [6], [7].

Remark 2. For $k \ge 3$, $W(\varepsilon, \mu, \nu)$ is a classical solution of (9.1).

Lemma 4. Suppose that

$$F(U, \varepsilon) \in C^{k+3}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^2), \qquad k \ge 2; integer.$$
 (11)

Then there exist positive constants ε_2 , μ_2 and ν_2 ($\varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_1$, $\mu_2 \leq \mu_1$, $\nu_2 \leq \nu_1$) such that for ε , μ , ν , $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_2$, $|\mu| < \mu_2$, $|\nu| < \nu_2$, $W(\varepsilon, \mu, \nu)$ in Lemma 3 has Fréchet derivatives from R^1 to H^k_* with respect to μ and ν which are continuous in operator norm.

Proof. See [4], [6], [7].

Next we solve the bifurcation equation (9.2). First we note (9.2) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$B_{1}(\varepsilon, \mu, \nu) = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{S} {}^{t}G \cdot \overline{V} dx dy = 0,$$

$$B_{2}(\varepsilon, \mu, \nu) = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{S} {}^{t}G \cdot \overline{V}^{*} dx dy = 0,$$
(12)

where \cdot denotes the usual inner product in R^2 , \overline{U} the complex conjugate vector of U, and V and V^* are appropriate bases in N^* . From Lemma 3 and the form of G, we easily see that $\varepsilon = \mu = \nu = 0$ satisfies (12). Therefore we solve (12) with respect to μ and ν in the small neighbourhood of $\varepsilon = 0$. The Jacobian of (12) at $\varepsilon = \mu = \nu = 0$ is

$$\frac{\partial (B_1, B_2)}{\partial (\mu, \nu)} \Big|_{\epsilon = \mu = \nu = 0} = \begin{vmatrix} \int_0^T \int_0^S (au_1^0 + bu_2^0) \bar{v}_1 dx dy, & \int_0^T \int_0^S (cu_1^0 + du_2^0) \bar{v}_2 dx dy \\ \int_0^T \int_0^S (au_1^0 + bu_2^0) \bar{v}_1^* dx dy, & \int_0^T \int_0^S (cu_1^0 + du_2^0) \bar{v}_2^* dx dy \end{vmatrix}, (13)$$

Using the hypotheses of (2) and $U_0 \neq 0$, we can easily see that (13) is not equal to zero. By the implicit function theorem we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Under the hypotheses of (2), $U_0 \neq 0$ and (11), there exist positive constants ε_3 , μ_3 , ν_3 ($\varepsilon_3 \leq \varepsilon_2$, $\mu_3 \leq \mu_2$, $\nu_3 \leq \nu_3$) such that (12) has a unique one-parameter family of solutions $\mu(\varepsilon)$, $\nu(\varepsilon)$ for $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_3$, $|\mu| \leq \mu_3$, $|\nu| \leq \nu_3$, and which are continuous in ε and $\mu(0) = \nu(0) = 0$.

Using Lemmas 1-5 and transformations (6), (7), we conclude:

where $V = {}^{t}(v_1, v_2)$ and $V^* = {}^{t}(v_1^*, v_2^*)$.

Theorem. Suppose that $F(U, \varepsilon)$ satisfies F(U, 0) = 0 and (11), B satisfies (2), and that a_1, b_1 ($0 < a_1 < b_1$) are given constants. Then for any $U_0(\neq 0) \in N$, $||U_0||_k \leq a_1$, there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(a_1, b_1, k)$ such that for $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$ the equation (3) has a unique one-parameter family of periodic solutions $U(\varepsilon)$ with the properties;

- 1) $\|\tilde{U}(\varepsilon)\|_k \leq b_1$, where $\tilde{U}(\varepsilon) = \tilde{U}(x, y, \varepsilon)$ = $U((1 + \mu(\varepsilon))x, (1 + \nu(\varepsilon))y, \varepsilon)$,
- 2) $(1 + \mu(\varepsilon))$ S-periodic in ξ , and $(1 + \nu(\varepsilon))$ T-periodic in η ,
- 3) $\tilde{U}(\varepsilon)$ tends to U_0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in H_{ε}^k -norm sense, where $\mu(\varepsilon)$ and $\nu(\varepsilon)$ are uniquely defined continuous functions for $|\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_0$ and $\mu(0) = \nu(0) = 0$.

Example. Let us consider the system of equations

$$\frac{\partial u_1/\partial t + c_1(\partial u_1/\partial x) = (\gamma_1 - au_1 - bu_2)u_1,}{\partial u_2/\partial t + c_2(\partial u_2/\partial x) = (\gamma_2 - cu_1 - du_2)u_2,}$$
(14)

where all coefficients of the right-hand side are positive and satisfy the following conditions

$$ad-bc < 0, \quad (\gamma_2/c) < (\gamma_1/a), \quad (\gamma_1/b) < (\gamma_2/d).$$
 (15)

This system describes the behavior of the populations of two species u_1 and u_2 which compete with each other and migrate in different directions (cf. [8]).

From the conditions (15), we easily see that (14) has a positive constant equilibrium solution

$$(\hat{u}_{1}, \hat{u}_{2}) = \frac{1}{ad - bc} (d\gamma_{1} - b\gamma_{2}, a\gamma_{2} - c\gamma_{1}). \tag{16}$$

If we apply the following transformation to (14)

$$\varepsilon v_1 = u_1 - \hat{u}_1$$
, $\varepsilon v_2 = u_2 - \hat{u}_2$, ε ; real parameter,

we obtain the perturbed equations from the equilibrium solution (16) as follows:

$$\partial v_1/\partial t + c_1(\partial v_1/\partial x) = -a\hat{u}_1v_1 - b\hat{u}_1v_2 - \varepsilon(av_1^2 + bv_1v_2)$$

$$\partial v_2/\partial t + c_2(\partial v_2/\partial x) = -c\hat{u}_2v_1 - d\hat{u}_2v_2 - \varepsilon(dv_2^2 + cv_1v_2).$$

From the first condition of (15) we can apply Theorem to this system of equations.

References

- O. Vejvoda: Periodic solutions of a linear and weakly nonlinear wave equation in one dimension. I. Czech. Math. J., 14, 341-382 (1964).
- [2] L. Cesari: Existence in the large of periodic solutions of hyperbolic partial differential equations. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 20, 170-190 (1965).
- [3] J. Moser: A rapidly convergent iteration method and nonlinear partial differential equations. Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa, Ser. 3, 20, 265-315. (1966).
- [] 4 [J. K. Hale: Periodic solutions of a class of hyperbolic equations containing a small parameter. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 23, 380-398 (1967).
- [5] P. H. Rabinowitz: Periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 20, 145-205 (1967).
- [6] W. S. Hall: Periodic solutions of a class of weakly nonlinear evolution equations. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 39, 294-322 (1970).
- [7] Y. Nishiura: Master thesis, Faculty of Science, Osaka Univ. (1975) (in Japanese).
- [8] V. Volterra: Leçon sur la théorie mathématique de la lutte pour la vie. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1931).