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(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1977)

In this note we report along with [1] the work presented in [2]. Further results along the present line will be given in subsequent papers.

We follow the same notations as in [1] and [3] unless otherwise stated. In this article, along with the 2 -dimensional space-time ( $=$ Minkowski 2 -space) and its complexification, to be denoted by $X^{\text {min }}$ and $X^{c}$ respectively, we also deal with the Euclidean 2-space $X^{\text {Euc }}$ consisting of complex Minkowski 2-vectors $x \in X^{c}$ such that $x^{0}\left(=-i x^{2}\right) \in i \boldsymbol{R}$ and $x^{1} \in \boldsymbol{R}$, i.e. such that $\mp x^{\mp}\left(=\left(\mp x^{0}+x^{1}\right) / 2\right)$ are complex conjugate to each other; we have $z=-x^{-}, \bar{z}=x^{+}, \partial_{z}=\partial / \partial z$ and $\partial_{z}=\partial / \partial \bar{z}$.

1. Let $W$ be an orthogonal vector space, and $W=V^{\dagger} \oplus V$ be its decomposition into two holonomic subspaces with basis ( $\psi_{\mu}^{\dagger}$ ) and ( $\psi_{\mu}$ ) as in §2 [3]. $V\left(r e s p . V^{\dagger}\right)$ generates maximal left (resp. right) ideal $A(W) V$ (resp. $V^{\dagger} A(W)$ ) of the Clifford algebra $A(W)$. The quotient modules $A(W) / A(W) V$ and $A(W) / V^{\dagger} A(W)$ are generated by the residue class of 1 modulo $A(W) V$ resp. $V^{\dagger} A(W)$ (which we shall denote by |vac $\rangle$ and $\langle\mathrm{vac}|$ respectively after physicists' notation) and coincide with $A\left(V^{\dagger}\right)$ $|\mathrm{vac}\rangle$ and $\langle\mathrm{vac}| A(V)$ since we have $V|\mathrm{vac}\rangle=0$ and $\langle\mathrm{vac}| V^{\dagger}=0$. Otherwise stated, they are respectively spanned by elements of the form $\left|\nu_{n}, \cdots, \nu_{1}\right\rangle \underset{\overline{\text { def }}}{ } \psi_{\nu_{n}}^{\dagger} \cdots \psi_{\nu_{1}}^{\dagger}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle$ and $\left\langle\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{n}\right|=\overline{\overline{\text { def }}}\langle\mathrm{vac}| \psi_{\nu_{1}} \cdots \psi_{\nu_{n}}, n=0,1,2$, $\cdots$, and indeed these elements constitute mutually dual basis of both spaces: $\left\langle\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m} \mid \nu_{n}, \cdots, \nu_{1}\right\rangle=0$ if $m \neq n$, $=\operatorname{det}\left(\delta_{\mu_{i \nu}}\right)$ if $m=n$.

Let $g$ be an element of the Clifford group $G(W)$. The rotation in $W$ induced by $g, T_{g}: w \mapsto g w g^{-1}$, is even or odd (i.e. $\operatorname{det} T_{g}=+1$ or -1 ) according as corank $T_{4}=$ even or odd; in particular for a generic even/odd $g \in G(W)$ we have corank $T_{4}=0 / 1$ and expression (3)/(4) in [3] for $N(g)$. An element $w \in W$ itself belongs to $G(W)$ if and only if $\langle w, w\rangle \neq 0$, in which case we have $w g \in G(W)$. First consider an even generic $g$, so that we have, with the abbreviation $\langle g\rangle_{\overline{\text { def }}}\langle\mathrm{vac}| g|\mathrm{vac}\rangle$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
N(g)=\langle g\rangle e^{L}, \quad L=\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi^{\dagger} \psi\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S_{1}-1 & S_{2} \\
S_{3} & S_{4}-1
\end{array}\right)\binom{{ }^{t} \psi}{-{ }^{t} \psi^{t}}  \tag{21}\\
{ }^{t} S_{1}=S_{4}, \quad{ }^{t} S_{2}=-S_{2}, \quad{ }^{t} S_{3}=-S_{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $S_{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}S_{1} & S_{2} \\ S_{3} & S_{4}\end{array}\right)$ is related to $T_{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}T_{1} & T_{2} \\ T_{3} & T_{4}\end{array}\right)$ through the reciprocal formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{g}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -T_{2} \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & \\
& T_{4}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
T_{3} & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& T_{g}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -S_{2} \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S_{1} & \\
& S_{4}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
S_{3} & 1
\end{array}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have, letting $w=\left(\psi^{\dagger} \psi\right)\binom{c^{\dagger}}{c}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N(w g)=\langle g\rangle w_{1} e^{L}, & w_{1}=\left(\psi^{\dagger} \psi\right)\binom{c^{\dagger}+S_{2} c}{S_{4} c}, \\
N(g w)=\langle g\rangle w_{2} e^{L}, & w_{2}=\left(\psi^{\dagger} \psi\right)\binom{S_{1} c^{\dagger}}{c+S_{3} c^{\dagger}} . \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

For an odd generic $g^{\prime}$ (so that $N\left(g^{\prime}\right)=w_{0} e^{L}$ with $w_{0} \in W$ ), the composition $w g^{\prime}$ or $g^{\prime} w$ gives an even one, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N\left(w g^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle w w_{0}\right\rangle e^{L_{1}}, & L_{1}=L+\frac{1}{\left\langle w w_{0}\right\rangle} w_{1} \wedge w_{0} \\
N\left(g^{\prime} w\right)=\left\langle w_{0} w\right\rangle e^{L_{2}}, & L_{2}=L+\frac{1}{\left\langle w_{0} w\right\rangle} w_{0} \wedge w_{2} \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

where $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are given by (23) and (24) respectively, using $S=S_{g}$, $N(g)=e^{L}$.

It should be noted also that $T_{g}$ and $T_{g^{\prime}}$ commute if and only if $g, g^{\prime}$ $\in G(W)$ either commute or anticommute.

Applying the above formulas to the case $w=\psi_{ \pm}(x)$ and $L=L_{F}(a)$, we have, for $w_{1}$ in (23) and (25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d u \xi_{ \pm}(x-a ; u) e^{-i m(a-u+a+u-1)} \psi(u) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{ \pm}(x ; u)= & \sqrt{0+i u^{ \pm 1}} e^{-i m\left(x^{-u+x+u-1)}\right.} \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \underline{d u^{\prime}} \sqrt{0+i u^{\prime \pm 1}} e^{-i m\left(x-u^{\prime}+x^{+} u^{\prime}-1\right)} \frac{i\left(u+u^{\prime}\right)}{u-u^{\prime}-i 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\xi=\binom{\xi_{+}}{\xi_{-}}$is analytically continued to the complex region of $x$ such that $\operatorname{Im} x^{ \pm}<0$, satisfies the Dirac equation $\partial_{x \pm} \xi_{ \pm}= \pm m \xi_{\mp}$ there, and shows a strict Fermi-type behavior at $x=0$ in the Euclidean region. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi(x ; u)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(w_{0}\left(-x^{-}, x^{+}\right)+w_{0}^{*}\left(-x^{-}, x^{+}\right)\right)  \tag{28}\\
& +\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left((i u)^{l} w_{l}\left(-x^{-}, x^{+}\right)+(i u)^{-l} w_{l}^{*}\left(-x^{-}, x^{+}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (23) $\sim(28)$ we obtain the following operator expansions for $\psi(x) \varphi_{F}(\alpha)$ and $\psi(x) \varphi^{F}(\alpha)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
N\left(\psi(x) \varphi_{F}(a)\right)= & \varphi_{0}^{F}(a) \frac{1}{2}\left(w_{0}[a]+w_{0}^{*}[a]\right)  \tag{29}\\
& +\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi_{l}^{F}(a) w_{l}[\alpha]+\varphi_{-l}^{F}(a) w_{l}^{*}[\alpha]\right), \\
N\left(\psi(x) \varphi^{F}(a)\right)= & e^{L_{F}(a)} \frac{i}{2}\left(w_{0}[a]-w_{0}^{*}[\alpha]\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi_{F, l}(\alpha) w_{l}[\alpha]+\varphi_{F,-l}(\alpha) w_{l}^{*}[\alpha]\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{l}^{F}(a)=\psi_{l}(a) e^{L_{F}(a)}, \quad \varphi_{F, l}(a)=\psi_{l}(a) \psi_{0}(a) e^{L_{F}(a)}, \\
& \psi_{l}(a)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d u(i u)^{l} e^{-i m(a-u+a+u-1)} \psi(u) \quad(l \in Z) . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $w_{l}[\alpha]$ denotes $w_{l}\left(-x^{-}+a^{-}, x^{+}-a^{+}\right)$and similarly for $w_{l}^{*}[\alpha]$. Since the norm is linear,

$$
N\left(d \varphi_{F}\right)=d N\left(\varphi_{F}\right)=d L_{F} \cdot e^{L_{F}} \quad \text { and } \quad N\left(d \varphi^{F}\right)=\left(d \psi_{0}+\psi_{0} d L_{F}\right) e^{L_{F}}
$$

Noting the relations $d L_{F}(a)=\left(-i \psi_{1}(a) d\left(-a^{-}\right)+i \psi_{-1}(a) d a^{+}\right) \psi_{0}(a)$ and $d \psi_{l}(a)=\psi_{l+1}(a) m d\left(-a^{-}\right)+\psi_{l-1}(a) m d a^{+}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
N\left(d \varphi_{F}(a)\right)=-i \varphi_{F, 1}(a) m d\left(-a^{-}\right)+i \varphi_{F,-1}(a) m d a^{+},  \tag{32}\\
N\left(d \varphi^{F}(a)\right)=\varphi_{1}^{F}(a) m d\left(-a^{-}\right)+\varphi_{-1}^{F}(a) m d a^{+} . \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally we give the commutation relations satisfied by our field operators when placed in mutually space-like positions.

First, the above mentioned fact that $g$ and $g^{\prime} \in G(W)$ either commute or anti-commute if $T_{g}$ and $T_{g^{\prime}}$ commute, together with the Lorentz covariance of $\varphi_{F}$ and $\varphi^{F}$, yields micro-causality for $\varphi_{F}$ and $\varphi^{F}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{F}(x) \varphi_{F}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi_{F}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{F}(x), \quad \varphi^{F}(x) \varphi^{F}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi^{F}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{F}(x), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$ for $\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)^{2}<0$.

Of course, $\psi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=-\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \psi(x), \quad \text { for }\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)^{2}<0, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

or more precisely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\psi_{+}(x), \psi_{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]_{+}} & {\left[\psi_{+}(x), \psi_{-}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]_{+}} \\
{\left[\psi_{-}(x), \psi_{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]_{+}} & {\left[\psi_{-}(x), \psi_{-}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]_{+}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad=m^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{x-} & m \\
-m & \partial_{x^{+}}
\end{array}\right) \Delta\left(x-x^{\prime} ; m^{2}\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Delta\left(x ; m^{2}\right)=i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \varepsilon(u) e^{-i m\left(x^{-}-u+x^{+}+u^{-1}\right)}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\varepsilon\left(x^{0}\right) J_{0}\left(m \sqrt{x^{2}}\right) & x^{2}>0 \\
0 & x^{2}<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

On the other hand, the definition (6) in [3] of $\varphi_{F}$ reads: $T_{\varphi_{F}(x)}\left(\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ $= \pm \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ if $\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)^{2}<0$ and $x^{\prime 1}-x^{1} \lessgtr 0$ (i.e. if $x^{\prime+} \gtrless x^{+}$and $x^{\prime-} \lessgtr x^{-}$), while $\varphi^{F}$ is defined by $T_{\varphi^{F}(x)}\left(\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mp \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ with the same $x$ and $x^{\prime}$. These definitions are readily rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{F}(x) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)= \pm \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{F}(x),  \tag{37}\\
& \varphi^{F}(x) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\mp \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{F}(x), \quad \text { for } x^{\prime+} \gtrless x^{+}, x^{\prime-} \lessgtr x^{-} .
\end{align*}
$$

(34) and (37), when combined with (29) and (30), now yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{F}(x) \varphi^{F}\left(x^{\prime}\right)= \pm \varphi^{F}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{F}(x) \quad \text { for } x^{\prime+} \gtrless x^{+}, x^{\prime-} \lessgtr x^{-} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. We now proceed to construction of the wave functions of $W_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}}^{\text {strict }}$ in terms of our field operators $\varphi_{F}, \varphi^{F}$ and $\psi$. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$, $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ be $k+n$ Minkowski 2-vectors in mutually space-like positions. We introduce the $k$-fold wave functions with $n$ branch points, $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}$ ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k} ; a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ ), for any ordered subset ( $\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}$ ) of indices $\{1, \cdots, n\}$, as follows. Namely, if $m=0$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{F, n}\left(x_{1}, \cdots,\right. & \left.x_{k} ; a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \\
& =\langle\operatorname{vac}| \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \psi\left(x_{k}\right) \varphi_{F}\left(a_{1}\right) \cdots \varphi_{F}\left(a_{n}\right)|\operatorname{vac}\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and in general, we define $\operatorname{sgn}\binom{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}{\nu_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \nu_{m}^{\prime}} w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k} ; a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ (where $\left\{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}\right\}=\left\{\nu_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \nu_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\nu_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<\nu_{m}^{\prime}$ ) to be a similar expression as above, with $\varphi_{F}\left(a_{\nu}\right)$ within the bracket being replaced by $\varphi^{F}\left(a_{\nu}\right)$ for $\nu=\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}$. If $k=0$, our $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}$ should also be denoted by $\tau_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$, since for $m=0$ (resp. $m=n$ ) it reduces to the $n$-point $\tau$-function of $\varphi_{F}$ (resp. $\varphi^{F}$ ) discussed in [3]. We often drop parameters $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ and denote them by $w_{F, n}^{\nu, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$ and $\tau_{F, n}^{\nu_{2}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}$. Also we use

$$
\hat{w}_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}^{\nu}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)=w_{F, n}^{\nu_{F}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right) / \tau_{F, n},
$$

and

$$
\hat{\tau}_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}=\tau_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \nu_{m}} / \tau_{F, n} .
$$

Note that all these quantities represent 0 if $k+m$ is odd.
From (29), (30) and (37) it follows that our wave functions admit the local expansion of the form (3) with $l_{0}=0$ at each of $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$, i.e. of the following form in the style of (10):
(39) $\quad \hat{w}_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}(x) \sim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{c}_{l}\left(\hat{w}_{F, n}^{\left.\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}\right)} w_{l}[A]+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{c}_{i}^{*}\left(\hat{w}_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\right) w_{l}^{*}[A]\right.$, and that the coefficients $c_{l}\left(\hat{w}_{F, n}^{\left.\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{m}\right)}\right.$ in this expansion are expressed in terms of $\tau$-functions. Namely assuming $\nu_{1}<\ldots<\nu_{m}$ and $\left(a_{\nu}-a_{\nu}\right)^{+}>0$ for $\nu>\nu^{\prime}$, the $\mu$-th component of $c_{0}\left(\hat{w}_{F, n}^{\nu, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\right)$ is

$$
(-)^{\sharp\left(\{1, \cdots, \mu-1\} \cap\left\{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}\right\}\right)} \begin{cases}(1 / 2))_{F}^{\nu_{1}}, \cdots, \nu_{k}, \mu, \nu_{k+1}, \cdots, \nu_{m} & \text { if } \nu_{k}<\mu<\nu_{k+1},  \tag{40}\\ (i / 2) \hat{\tau}_{F}^{\nu+n}, \cdots, \nu_{k}-1, \nu_{k+1}, \cdots, \nu_{m} & \text { if } \nu_{k}=\mu,\end{cases}
$$

while from (32) and (33)

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{t}\left(\tau _ { F , n } \boldsymbol { c } _ { 1 } \left(\hat{w}_{F, n}^{\left.\left.\nu_{12}, \cdots, \nu_{m}\right)\right)}\right.\right. \\
& \quad=2\left(\begin{array}{lll}
m^{-1} \partial_{\left(-a_{\overline{1}}^{-}\right)} & & \\
& & m^{-1} \partial_{\left(-a_{\bar{n}}\right)}
\end{array}\right)^{t}\left(\tau_{F, n} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_{0}\left(\hat{w}_{F, n}^{\left.\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}\right)}\right) .\right. \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that (35) together with positive-definiteness of the inner product in $W_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}}^{\text {strict }}$, yields several inequalities among Euclidean $\tau$ functions.

The analytic prolongability of the vacuum expectation〈vac| $\cdot \cdot \mid$ vac〉 (or of any matrix element) of product of field operators in their arguments is well-known. Indeed, consider $\langle\operatorname{vac}| \psi(x) \varphi^{F}(a)|v a c\rangle$ for example, and expand it into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} & \frac{1}{l!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \underline{d u_{1} \cdots \underline{d u_{l}}\langle\operatorname{vac}| \psi(0)\left|u_{l} \cdots u_{1}\right\rangle} \\
& \times\left\langle u_{1} \cdots u_{l}\right| \varphi^{F}(0)|\operatorname{vac}\rangle e^{-i m\left(\left(x^{-}-a^{-}\right) U+\left(x^{+}-a^{+}\right) U^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $U=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{l}$ and $U^{\prime}=u_{1}^{-1}+\cdots+u_{l}^{-1}$, and we shall see that this quantity is analytically prolonged to the complex region of $x$ and $a$ satisfying $\operatorname{Im}\left(x^{ \pm}-a^{ \pm}\right)<0$. (Note that no role is played by the accidental fact that $\langle\operatorname{vac}| \psi(0)\left|u_{l} \cdots u_{1}\right\rangle=0$ for $l \neq 1$.) The same reasoning
yields that our wave function $w_{F, n}^{\mu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$, as the vacuum expectation of the product $\psi\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \psi\left(x_{k}\right) \varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \cdots \varphi\left(a_{n}\right)$, with $\varphi$ standing either for $\varphi_{F}$ or for $\varphi^{F}$, admits an analytic prolongation to the region $Y^{k+n, C}$ of complexified arguments $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ defined as follows:

$$
Y^{n, C}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(X^{c}\right)^{n} \mid \operatorname{Im} x_{\nu}^{ \pm}<\operatorname{Im} x_{\nu^{\prime}}^{ \pm} \text {for } \nu<\nu^{\prime}\right\},
$$

where $\left(X^{c}\right)^{n}$ stands for the Cartesian product of $n$ copies of $X^{c}$, the complexified space-time. We also set $Y^{n, E u c}=Y^{n, c} \cap\left(X^{\mathrm{Euc}}\right)^{n}$. Note that they are convex cones in $\left(X^{c}\right)^{n}$ resp. in ( $\left.X^{\text {Euc }}\right)^{n}$, and hence simply connected. From the above reasoning we also see that for $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ fixed and $\operatorname{Im} x^{ \pm}$tending to $-\infty$, the wave function $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{m}}(x)$ tends to 0 exponentially.

The commutation relation (37) between $\psi(x)$ and $\varphi(a)$ implies that, if $(x-a)^{2}=4\left(x^{+}-a^{+}\right)\left(x^{-}-a^{-}\right)<0$,

$$
\langle\operatorname{vac}| \cdots \psi(x) \varphi_{F}(\alpha) \cdots|\mathrm{vac}\rangle=\varepsilon\left(x^{+}-a^{+}\right)\langle\operatorname{vac}| \cdots \varphi_{F}(a) \psi(x) \cdots|\mathrm{vac}\rangle
$$

and

$$
\langle\operatorname{vac}| \cdots \psi(x) \varphi^{F}(\alpha) \cdots|\mathrm{vac}\rangle=\varepsilon\left(x^{-}-\alpha^{-}\right)\langle\operatorname{vac}| \cdots \varphi^{F}(a) \psi(x) \cdots|\mathrm{vac}\rangle .
$$

Since

$$
\langle\operatorname{vac}| \cdots \psi(x) \varphi(\alpha) \cdots \mid \text { vac }\rangle \quad \text { and }\langle\operatorname{vac}| \cdots \varphi(a) \psi(x) \cdots \mid \text { vac }\rangle
$$

are already known to be analytically prolonged to $\operatorname{Im}\left(x^{ \pm}-a^{ \pm}\right)<0$ and to $\operatorname{Im}\left(x^{ \pm}-a^{ \pm}\right)>0$ respectively, the above equalities imply that our $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$, when prolonged to $Y^{k+n, c}$ and then restricted to $Y^{k+n, \text { Euc }}$, is analytically prolongable in both ways, but with opposite signs, around each $\left\{x_{k}=a_{\nu}\right\}$.

The commutation relation (38) between $\varphi_{F}$ and $\varphi^{F}$ have exactly the same effect as above, while those within $\psi^{\prime}$ 's, $\varphi_{F}$ 's and $\varphi^{F}$ 's have even simpler consequences on the property of our wave functions: analytic prolongability with no discrepancy of sign around each $\left\{x_{k}=x_{k^{\prime}}\right\}$ etc. Summing up, we conclude that Euclidean $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$, originally defined in $Y^{k+n, \text { Euc }}$, is analytically prolongable to a doublevalued function (whose 2 values differring only in signs) on the whole $\left(X^{\mathrm{Euc}}\right)^{k+n}$ with its singularities appearing only along $\left\{x_{\kappa}=x_{\kappa^{\prime}}\right\},\left\{a_{\nu}=a_{\nu^{\prime}}\right\}$, and $\left\{x_{\kappa}=a_{\nu}\right\}$ with $\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}=1, \cdots, k$ and $\nu, \nu^{\prime}=1, \cdots, n$, where the last ones and part of the second correspond to branch points.

The (Euclidean) wave function $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{m}}(x)$, with its parameters $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ being distinct and fixed in $X^{\mathrm{Euc}}$, is now a double-valued analytic function in $X^{\mathrm{Euc}}-\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$. Notice that the local expansion formula (39) does also imply the double-valued nature of our wave function around each $a_{\nu}$; in fact it implies an even stronger fact that $w_{F, n}^{\nu, \cdots, \nu_{m}}(x)$ is of strict Fermi-type at each $a_{\nu}$. We already know that $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}(x)$ tends to 0 exponentially at infinity in $X^{\mathrm{Euc}}$. We can show further, by employing (13) and (14) in [3], that $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{m}}(x)$ is real. We now conclude that our $w_{F, n}^{\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{m}}(x)$ belongs to $W_{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}}^{\text {strict, }}$.
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