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Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\boldsymbol{Q}$, and $\ell$ a rational prime. Put $E_{\ell}=\{a \in E \mid \ell a=0\}$ and $K_{\ell}=\boldsymbol{Q}\left(E_{\ell}\right)$ i.e. the number field generated over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ by all the coordinates of the points of order $\ell$ on $E$. Then $K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ is a galois extension and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z} / \ell \boldsymbol{Z})$. When $E$ has no complex multiplication, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z} / \ell \boldsymbol{Z})$ except for finitely many $\ell$ 's ([6]). And we know that $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z} / \ell Z)$ is non-solvable for $\ell>3$.

The aim of this note is to investigate the law of decomposition of primes in $K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}$. Let $p$ be a rational prime ( $\neq \ell$ ) where $E$ has good reduction. Then $p$ is unramified in $K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}$. We deal exclusively in that case. (Note that the method in [7] enables one to determine the degrees of most primes but not all, especially the complete splitting case cannot be determined.)

Let $\pi=\pi_{p}$ be the $p$-th power endomorphism of $E \bmod p . \quad$ Put $N_{p m}$ $=\#(E \bmod p)\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{p^{m}}\right)$ and $a_{p^{m}}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\pi^{m}\right)$, where trace is taken with respect to $\ell$-adic representation of $E \bmod p$. Then $N_{p^{m}}=1-a_{p^{m}}+p^{m}$. (Note that we can calculate $a_{p^{m}}$ by the value $\left.a_{p}\right) . \quad$ As $\operatorname{End}_{F_{p}}(E \bmod p)$ is isomorphic to an order 0 of an imaginary quadratic field $k$, hereafter we identify them (so $\pi \in \mathfrak{o}, k=\boldsymbol{Q}(\pi)$ ).

Theorem 1. Let $\ell>2$ and $f$ be the degree of $p$ in $K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}$, and $m$ the smallest rational integer $>0$ which satisfies $\ell^{2} \mid N_{p^{m}}$ and $\ell \mid\left(p^{m}-1\right)$. Then the following assertions hold. (1) If $\ell^{2} \not \backslash\left(\left(a_{p}\right)^{2}-4 p\right)$, then $f=m$. (2) If $\ell^{2} \mid\left(\left(a_{p}\right)^{2}-4 p\right)$, then $f=m$ or $\ell m$, according as $\ell \mid(0: Z[\pi])$ or not, where $0=\operatorname{End}_{F_{p}}(E \bmod p)$.

Corollary 1. $p$ decomposes completely in $K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q} \Leftrightarrow \ell^{2}\left|N_{p}, \ell\right|(p-1)$, $\ell \mid(0: Z[\pi])$.

Corollary 2. If $\ell \| N_{p}, \ell \mid(p-1)$, then $f=\ell$ and $\ell^{2} \mid N_{p \ell}$.
Proof. We put $E^{\prime}=E \bmod p, E_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left\{a \in E^{\prime} \mid \ell a=0\right\}$. First we note that the degree $f$ is nothing but the order of $\pi$ in ( $\left.\mathfrak{o} / \ell_{0}\right)^{\times}$. Indeed, $f=$ the degree of $p$ in $K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q} \Leftrightarrow\left[\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\left(E_{\ell}\right): \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right]=f \Leftrightarrow\left[\boldsymbol{F}_{p}\left(E_{\ell}^{\prime}\right): \boldsymbol{F}_{p}\right]=f \Leftrightarrow \pi^{f}$ $\equiv 1 \bmod \ell \mathfrak{0}, \pi^{n} \equiv 1 \bmod \ell 0$ for all $n<f$. (For the second $\ominus$, see [4] p. 672.) And this shows especially that $\ell^{2} \mid N_{p f}$ and $\ell \mid\left(p^{f}-1\right)$. Put $p^{m}=q$. When $\ell>2$, we see $\ell^{2}\left|N_{q}, \ell\right|(q-1) \Leftrightarrow \ell^{2} \mid\left(a_{q}\right)^{2}-4 q, a_{q} \equiv 2(\bmod \ell)$. So we can write $a_{q}=2+\ell a,\left(a_{q}\right)^{2}-4 q=\ell^{2 s} \cdot n^{2}(-d), a, s, n, d \in Z, s>0, \ell \nmid n$,
$d=$ squarefree $>0$. Therefore $\pi^{m}=\pi_{q}=\left(a_{q}+\sqrt{\left(a_{q}\right)^{2}-4 q}\right) / 2=1+\ell(a$ $\left.+\ell^{s-1} n \sqrt{-d}\right) / 2$. Put $w_{q}=\left(a+\ell^{s-1} n \sqrt{-d}\right) / 2$. Then $w_{q} \in \mathfrak{o}_{k}$, the maximal order of $k$, and $\pi_{q}=1+\ell w_{q},\left(Z\left[w_{q}\right]: Z\left[\pi_{q}\right]\right)=\ell$. Hence we see i) if $\ell \mid\left(0: Z\left[\pi_{q}\right]\right)$, then as $0 \supset Z\left[w_{q}\right], f=m$, ii) if $\ell \nmid\left(0: Z\left[\pi_{q}\right]\right.$, then as $\mathfrak{v} \not \supset \boldsymbol{Z}\left[w_{q}\right], f=\ell m$. (Note that for two orders $R, R^{\prime}$ in $k$ with conductors $c, c^{\prime}$ it holds that $R \supset R^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow c \mid c^{\prime}$ ). Indeed in case ii) we have $\pi^{m} \not \equiv 1 \bmod \ell 0$. Since $\pi^{m \ell}=1+\ell^{2}\left(\right.$ a polynomial of $\left.w_{q}\right)$ and $\ell Z\left[w_{q}\right] \subset Z\left[\pi_{q}\right] \subset \mathfrak{0}$, we have $\pi^{m \ell} \equiv 1 \bmod \ell 0$. So $f \mid \ell m$. As $f \neq m$, we have $f=\ell s, s \mid m$. Then $\ell \mid(t-1)$, where $t=p^{s}$. So if $\ell^{2} \mid N_{t}$ then $s=m$; if $\ell \| N_{t}$ then $\ell^{2} \nmid\left(a_{t}\right)^{2}$ $-4 t$, but as $\ell^{2} \mid\left(a_{q}\right)^{2}-4 q$, we see $\ell \mid\left(Z\left[\pi_{t}\right]: Z\left[\pi_{q}\right]\right)$ and this leads $\ell \mid\left(0: Z\left[\pi_{q}\right]\right)$, a contradiction; if $\ell \nmid N_{t}$, then considering the rationality of the points of $E_{\ell}^{\prime}$, we know that $\ell$ must divide $m / s$, but this contradicts $\pi^{m} \equiv 1 \bmod \ell$ 。. Case i) is evident.

Now the assertions (1) and the first part of (2) are obvious, since the assumptions lead $\ell \mid\left(0: Z\left[\pi_{q}\right]\right)$. So hereafter we assume $\ell^{2} \mid\left(a_{p}\right)^{2}-4 p$, $\ell \nmid(0: Z[\pi])$. Under the first assumption we easily see that $\ell \mid(Z[\pi]:$ $\left.Z\left[\pi^{r}\right]\right) \Leftrightarrow \ell \mid r$. In view of above ii), what we must show is $\ell \nmid\left(0: Z\left[\pi^{m}\right]\right)$. Assume the contrary: $\ell \mid\left(0: Z\left[\pi^{m}\right]\right)$. Then $m=\ell r$, for some $r \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. Putting $p^{r}=u$, this leads $\ell^{2} \mid N_{u}$ or $\ell^{2} \mid N_{u^{2}}$ (and $\ell \mid(u-1)$ ) which violate the minimality of $m$. Indeed, since $\ell^{2} \mid\left(a_{p}\right)^{2}-4 p$, we see $\ell^{2} \mid\left(a_{u}\right)^{2}-4 u$, so $a_{u} \equiv \pm 2 \bmod \ell$. If $a_{u} \equiv 2 \bmod \ell$, then $N_{u} \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$. Suppose $\ell \| N_{u}$, then $\left(a_{u}\right)^{2}-4 u=(1-u)^{2}-2(1+u) N_{u}+\left(N_{u}\right)^{2} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \ell^{2}$. So we have $\ell^{2} \mid N_{u}$. If $a_{u} \equiv-2 \bmod \ell$, then $N_{u^{2}}=N_{u}\left(1+a_{u}+u\right) \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$. In the same way as above wee see $\ell^{2} \mid N_{u^{2}}$. This completes the proof of our theorem.

Proof of Corollaries. Corollary 1 is obvious. Corollary 2. Use [7] Lemma 1 or argue as follows. In general for $P(\neq 0) \in E_{\ell}$, we have $\left(K_{\ell}: \boldsymbol{Q}(P, \zeta)\right)=1$ or $\ell$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive root of unity of degree $\ell$. Indeed,

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}(P, \zeta)\right) \subseteq\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & * \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z} / \ell \boldsymbol{Z})\right\}
$$

Our assumption means that $p$ is divided by a prime of absolute degree 1 in $\boldsymbol{Q}(P, \zeta)$, for some $P \in E_{\ell}$. Therefore $f=1$ or $\ell$. But if $f=1$ then $\ell^{2} \mid N_{p}$, so $f=\ell$, and we have $\ell^{2} \mid N_{p} \ell$.
Q.E.D.

It is perhaps worthwhile to note that for a prime $p$ to split completely in $K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ for some $E_{/ Q}$, it is necessary that $p>(\ell-1)^{2}$ (but not sufficient). For example, $p=11$ cannot split completely in $K_{5} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ for all $E_{/ Q}$ (assuming $p=11$ is a good prime for $E$ ).

To calculate $f$ we must know the index (o: $Z[\pi]$ ). If $E \bmod p$ is supersingular, then the conductor of $Z[\pi]$ is 1 or 2 , so for our purpose, we can assume $E \bmod p$ is not supersingular. Then we have the following

Theorem 2. Assume $E \bmod p$ is not supersingular. Then $\ell \mid(0$ :
$Z[\pi]) \Leftrightarrow J_{\ell}(X, j(E)) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ splits into a product of linear polynomial in $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}[X]$, where $J_{\ell}(X, j)$ is the modular polynomial of order $\ell$ and $j(E)$ is the $j$-invariant of $E$.

Proof. First note that $J_{\ell}(X, j(E)) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ splits etc. $\Leftrightarrow$ all elliptic curves $A_{i}$ whih are $\ell$-isogenous to $E^{\prime}$ can be defined over $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$ (i.e. $j\left(A_{i}\right) \in F_{p}$ ). It is known that there is an elliptic curve $E_{1}$ defined over $k(j(\mathfrak{o}))$ (=the ring class field of $k$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{D}$ ) such that $E_{1}$ has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$ (=a prime of $k(j(\mathfrak{p}))$ lying above $p$ ) and that $E_{1} \bmod p$ $\cong E^{\prime}$ (over $F_{p}$ ), End $\left(E_{1}\right) \cong$ End $\left(E^{\prime}\right)=0$. As $\ell \neq p, \quad$-isogenies from $E_{1}$ and $E^{\prime}$ correspond each other under reduction. Since the conductor $m$ of $\mathfrak{o}$ is prime to $p$, one can assume End $\left(A_{i}\right)$ is of conductor lm , or $m$, or $m / \ell([1] \mathrm{p} .20) . \vDash)$ Since $A_{i}$ can be defined over $F_{p}$, all $\mathfrak{o}_{i}$ $=$ End $\left(A_{i}\right) \supset Z[\pi]$. As at least one of $\mathfrak{o}_{i}$ 's is of conductor $\ell m, \ell$ must divides ( $0: Z[\pi]$ ). $\zeta)$ The condition $\ell \mid\left(0: Z[\pi]\right.$ ) implies all $\mathfrak{o}_{i} \supset \boldsymbol{Z}[\pi]$. Therefore by the first main theorem of complex multiplication theory [1] p. 23, $p$ splits completely in $k\left(j\left(\mathfrak{o}_{i}\right)\right) / Q$. As there is an elliptic curve defined over $k\left(j\left(\mathfrak{o}_{i}\right)\right)$ which reduces to $A_{i}$ modulo a prime of $k\left(j\left(\mathfrak{o}_{i}\right)\right)$ lying above $p, A_{i}$ can be defined over $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$. Hence all $j\left(A_{i}\right) \in \boldsymbol{F}_{p}$. This ends the proof of our theorem.

Owing to [2], we know the explicit formula of $J_{\ell}(X, j)$ for $\ell=2,3$, 5,7. Combining the knowledge of class equations (Fricke, Algebra Bd. 3), we can systematically exploit in some degree the complete splitting case using Theorem 2 (or rather by the relationships between the structure of $\operatorname{End}(E \bmod p)$ and $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$-isogenies).

Examples. $\ell=3$. When $p=7, a_{p}=-1$ gives $N_{p}=3^{2}$, and $\pi_{p}$ $=(-1+3 \sqrt{-3}) / 2$. Since $j(-1+\sqrt{-3} / 2)=0, p=7$ splits completely in $K_{3} / \boldsymbol{Q}$, if $j(E) \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$ and $a_{p}=-1 . \quad(B y$ the way, as $j(-1+3 \sqrt{-3} / 2)$ $=1$, on $E_{1}$ with $j\left(E_{1}\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ and $N_{7}=3^{2}, p=7$ has degree 3 in $\left.K_{3} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right)$. When $p=67, a_{p}=5$ gives $N_{p}=3^{27}, \pi_{p}=\left(5+3^{2} \sqrt{-3}\right) / 2$. So assuming $a_{p}=5$, when $j \equiv 0$ (maximal order) or $j \equiv 1$ (conductor 3 ), $p=67$ splits completely in $K_{3} / \boldsymbol{Q}$, while when $j \equiv 41,46,63$ (conductor $3^{2}$; these together with $j \equiv 0$ constitute the solutions of $\left.J_{3}(X, 1) \equiv 0 \bmod 67\right), p=67$ has degree 3 in $K_{3} / \boldsymbol{Q}$.

Remark. When $\ell=2,3$, we know the structure of $K_{2}, K_{3}$ well, so we can state explicitly how $p$ splits in them. For $E: Y^{2}=X^{3}+A X+B$, put $\Delta=-2^{4}\left(4 A^{3}+27 B^{2}\right)$. Assume $\mathrm{Gal}\left(K_{\ell} / \boldsymbol{Q}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\boldsymbol{Z} / \ell \boldsymbol{Z})$ for $\ell=2,3$. Then $K_{2}=\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\sqrt{\Delta}, P_{2}\right), K_{3}=\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\zeta, P_{3}, \sqrt[3]{\Delta}\right)$ where $P_{\ell}(\neq 0) \in E_{\ell}, \zeta=(-1$ $+\sqrt{-3}) / 2$ ([5]). Hence we see $p$ splits completely in $K_{2} / \boldsymbol{Q} \Leftrightarrow 2 \mid N_{p}, p$ splits in $\boldsymbol{Q} \sqrt{ } \bar{\Delta}) ; p$ splits completely in $K_{3} / \boldsymbol{Q} \Leftrightarrow 3|(p-1), 3| N_{p}, p$ is divided by a prime of absolute degree 1 in $\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt[3]{\Delta})$. (Note that if $k / \boldsymbol{Q}$ is finite galois, $k^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ finite, both having an embedding into $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$, and $p$ is unramified in $k k^{\prime}$, then $k k^{\prime}$ has an embedding into $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$.)
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