# 23. Wiman's Theorem on Integral Functions of Order $<\frac{1}{2}$ .

By Masatsugu TSUJI.

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo University. (Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.J.A., May 12, 1950.)

#### 1. Density of sets.

Let E be a measurable set on the positive x-axis and E(a, b) be its part contained in [a, b]. We put

$$\overline{\delta}(E) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{1}{r} \int_{B(0, r)} dr \qquad , \ \underline{\delta}(E) = \underline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{1}{r} \int_{B(0, r)} dr \qquad , \ (1)$$

$$\overline{\lambda}(E) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{1}{\log r} \int_{B(1, r)} \frac{dr}{r} \quad , \ \underline{\lambda}(E) = \underline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{1}{\log r} \int_{B(1, r)} \frac{dr}{r} \quad , \ (2)$$

$$\overline{\lambda}^*(E) = \overline{\lim_{r/a \to \infty}} \frac{1}{\log (r/a)} \int_{B(a, r)} \frac{dr}{r} , \ \underline{\lambda}^*(E) = \underline{\lim_{r/a \to \infty}} \frac{1}{\log (r/a)} \int_{B(a, r)} \frac{dr}{r} (a \ge 1).$$

$$(3)$$

We call (1) the upper (lower) density, (2) the upper (lower) logarithmic density and (3) the upper (lower) strong logarithmic density. Evidently

$$0 \leq \underline{\delta}(E) \leq \overline{\delta}(E) \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \underline{\lambda}^*(E) \leq \underline{\lambda}(E) \leq \overline{\lambda}(E) \leq \overline{\lambda}^*(E) \leq 1$$

and

$$\underline{\delta}(E) + \overline{\delta}(C(E)) = 1, \quad \underline{\lambda}(E) + \overline{\lambda}(C(E)) = 1, \quad \underline{\lambda}^*(E) + \overline{\lambda}^*(C(E)) = 1,$$

where C(E) is the complementary set of E. We shall prove:

Lemma 1.  $0 \leq \underline{\delta}(E) \leq \underline{\lambda}^*(E) \leq \underline{\lambda}(E) \leq \overline{\lambda}(E) \leq \overline{\lambda}^*(E) \leq \overline{\delta}(E) \leq 1$ . *Proof.* Let  $\overline{\delta}(E) = a$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mu(r) = \int_{\mathbb{B}(0, r)} dr \leq r(a + \varepsilon) \qquad (r \geq r_0(\varepsilon) > 1),$$

so that if  $1 \leq a < r_0 < r$ , since  $\mu(r) \leq r$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{B}(a,r)} \frac{dr}{r} &\leq \int_{1}^{r_{0}} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{d\mu(r)}{r} \\ &\leq r_{0} + \left[\frac{\mu(r)}{r}\right]_{r_{0}}^{r} + \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{2}} dr \leq r_{0} + 1 + (a+\varepsilon) \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq r_{0} + 1 + (a+\varepsilon) \log \frac{r}{a} . \end{split}$$

If  $r_0 \leq a < r$ , then similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}(a,r)}\frac{dr}{r}\leq 1+(a+\varepsilon)\log\frac{r}{a}.$$

From this we have

$$\bar{\lambda}^*(E) \leq a = \bar{\delta}(E).$$

Similarly, we can prove  $\underline{\delta}(E) \leq \overline{\lambda}^*(E)$ , q.e.d.

### 2. Main theorem.

Let 
$$f(z)$$
 be an integral function of order  $\rho(0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2})$  and

$$m(r) = \underset{|z|=r}{\min} |f(z)|, \quad M(r) = \underset{|z|=r}{\max} |f(z)|.$$

Then Wiman proved that there exists  $r_n \to \infty$ , such that  $m(r_n) \to \infty$ . Besicovitch and Pennycuick<sup>1)</sup> proved that

$$\bar{\delta}[E(\log m(r) > r^{\rho-\varepsilon})] \ge 1 - 2\rho \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0 \tag{4}$$

and that there exists an integral function of any order  $\rho(0\!<\!\rho\!<\!1)$ , such that

$$\underline{\delta}[E(\log m(r) > -r^{\rho-\varepsilon})] = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad \varepsilon > 0, \tag{5}$$

where  $E(\log m(r) > a)$  is the set of r, such that  $\log m(r) > a$ . We shall prove

**Theorem 1.** (Main theorem). Let f(z) be an integral function of order  $\rho(0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2})$ , then

(i)  $\bar{\lambda}^*[E(\log m(r) > r^{\rho-\varepsilon})] \ge 1-2\rho$ 

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

(ii) If 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{\rho}} = \infty$$
, then  
 $\bar{\lambda}^* [E(\log m(r) > kr^{\rho})] \ge 1 - 2\rho$ 

for any k > 0.

(iii) There exists an integral function of any order  $\rho(0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2})$ , such that

$$\overline{\lambda} [E(\log m(r) > r^{\rho-\varepsilon})] < 1-2\rho, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \rho(1-2\rho).$$

(4) follows from (i) by Lemma 1.

## 3. Some lemmas.

Let *D* be a domain on the *z*-plane, which contains z=0 and  $z=\infty$  belongs to its boundary *A*. Let  $D_r$  be the part of *D*, which is contained in |z| < r. Then  $D_r$  consists of at most a countable number of connected domains. Let  $D_r^0$  be the connected one, which contains z=0 and  $\theta_r$  be the part of the boundary of  $D_r^0$ , which lies on |z|=r.

118

<sup>1)</sup> A. S. Besicovitch: On integral functions of order < 1. Math. Ann. 97 (1927). Besicovitch's proof is valid only for functions of regular growth. The general case was proved by K. Pennycuick: On a theorem of Besicovitch: Jour. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935). Bokjellberg: On certain integral and harmonic functions. Thèse. Upsala (1984). M. Inoue: Sur le module minimum des fonctions sousharmoniques et des fonctions entières d'ordre $<\frac{1}{2}$ . Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyusyu Univ. ser. A. Vol. IV. No. 2 (1949).

Then  $\theta_r$  consists of at most a countable number of  $\operatorname{arcs} \{\theta_r^{(i)}\}\)$  and let  $r\theta(r)$  be the maximum of lengths of these arcs. We define  $\overline{\theta}(r)$  as follows. If |z|=r meets  $\Lambda$ , then we put  $\overline{\theta}(r)=\theta(r)$  and if |z|=r does not meet  $\Lambda$  and is contained entirely in D, then we put  $\overline{\theta}(r)=\infty$ . Let  $u_r(z)$  be a harmonic function in  $D_r^0$ , such that  $u_r(z)=0$  at regular points on the boundary at  $D_r^0$ , which lies in |z| < r and  $u_r(z)=1$  on  $\theta_r$ . Then  $u_r(z)$  is the harmonic measure of  $\theta_r$  with respect to  $D_r^0$ . I have proved in the former paper z that

$$u_r(z) \leq \text{const. } e^{-\pi \int_{2|z|}^{\frac{r}{2}} \frac{dr}{r_0(r)}}, \qquad (6)$$

where const. is a pure numerical constant.

Let E be the set of r, such that |z|=r meets A, then  $\overline{\theta}(r)\leq 2\pi$  for  $r\in E$  and  $\overline{\theta}(r)=\infty$  otherwise, so that

Lemma 2.

$$u_r(z) \leq ext{const.} \ e^{-rac{1}{2} \int_{B(2|z|, \ r/2)} rac{dr}{r}} (r > 4 |z|).$$

Beurling<sup>3)</sup> proved that

$$u_r(z) \le 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(|z|, r)} \frac{dr}{r}},$$
(7)

but since we shall use (6) latter and Lemma 2 suffices for the later proof, we use Lemma 2 instead of (7).

**Lemma 3.** Let E be a closed set on the positive real axis of the z-plane, such that

 $\underline{\lambda}^*(E) > \alpha$ 

and  $u_r(z)$  be a harmonic function in |z| < r, except on E(0, r), such that  $u_r(z)=0$  on E(0, r) at its regular points and  $u_r(z)=1$  on |z|=r. Then

$$u_r(z) \leq ext{const.} \left( rac{|z|}{r} 
ight)^{rac{lpha}{2}}, \hspace{0.2cm} if \hspace{0.2cm} r \geq k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \, | \, z \, |, \hspace{0.2cm} | \, z \, | \geq 1,$$

where  $k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$  is a certain constant (>1).

*Proof.* Since  $\underline{\lambda}^*(E) > \alpha$ , we have if  $\frac{r}{|z|} \ge k_0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}(2|z|, r/2)} \frac{dr}{r} \ge \alpha \log \frac{r}{|z|} \quad (|z| \ge 1),$$

so that by Lemma 2,

<sup>2)</sup> M. Tsuji: A theorem on the majoration of harmonic measure and its applications. Tohoku Math. Jour. 3 (1951).

Beurling: Etudes sur un problème de majoration. Thèse Upsala (1933).
 M. Inoue: Une ètude sur les fonctions sousharmoniques et ses applications aux fonctions holomorphes. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyusyu Univ. (1943).

M. TSUJI.

$$u_r(z) \leq ext{const.} \; \Big( rac{|z|}{r} \Big)^{rac{a}{2}} \; (r \geq k_0 |z|, \; |z| \geq 1).$$

**Lemma 4.** Let E be a closed set on the positive real axis of the z-plane, such that

$$\lambda^*(E) > 2k \quad (0 < k < \frac{1}{2}).$$

Then there exists a harmonic function u(z)>0 outside E, such that  $u(r)=r^k$  on E at its regular points and

$$0 < u(z) \le \text{const.} |z|^k \quad (|z| \ge 1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $u_r(z)$  be the harmonic function defined by Lemma 3 and  $v_r(z)$  be a harmonic function outside E, such that  $v_r(z)=0$  on E(0, r) and  $v_r(z)=1$  on E-E(0, r) at its regular points. Then

 $v_r(z) \leq u_r(z)$  in |z| < r.

We take  $k_1$ , such that

$$\underline{\lambda}^*(E) > 2k_1 > 2k \qquad (k_1 > k),$$

then by Lemma 3,

$$v_r(z) \leq u_r(z) \leq ext{const.} \quad \left(\frac{|z|}{r}\right)^{k_1} \quad (r \geq k_0 |z|, |z| \geq 1),$$
 (8)

so that the integral

$$u(z) = k \int_{0}^{\infty} v_{r}(z) r^{k-1} dr^{4}$$
(9)

converges and represents a harmonic function outside E. Let  $z=r_0$  be a regular point of E, then if z tends to  $r_0$  from the outside of E, then  $\lim_{x \to 0} v_r(z) = v_r(r_0)$ .

Since  $v_r(z)$  is majorated by (8), we have by Lebesgue's theorem,

$$\lim_{z \to r_0} u(z) = k \int_0^\infty v_r(r_0) r^{k-1} dr = k \int_0^{r_0} r^{k-1} dr = r_0^k,$$
(10)

so that  $u(r) = r^k$  on E at its regular points.

Since  $0 \leq v_r(z) \leq 1$ , we have from (9),

$$u(z) \leq k \int_{0}^{k_{0}|z|} r^{k-1} dr + k \int_{k_{0}|z|}^{\infty} v_{r}(z) r^{k-1} dr$$
  
$$\leq (k_{0}|z|)^{k} + \text{const.} \int_{k_{0}|z|}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|z|}{r}\right)^{k_{1}} r^{k-1} dr = (k_{0}|z|)^{k} + \text{const.} |z|^{k} \int_{k_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{1+k_{1}-k}}$$
  
$$(r = |z|t)$$

 $\leq$  const.  $|z|^k$ .

## 4. Proof of the main theorem.

Let

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{a_n} \right)$$

[Vol. 26,

<sup>4)</sup> The expression of u(z) in the form (9) and the proof of (10) are suggested to the author by A. Mori.

be an integral function of order  $\rho(0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2})$ , then since

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{|a_n|} \right| \leq m(r) \leq M(r) \leq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{|a_n|} \right)$$

we may suppose, for the proof, that all  $a_n$  are positive, so that  $m(r) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} \left| \frac{r}{r} \right| = f(r) \left| \frac{M(r)}{r} \right| = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r} \right) = f(-r) \quad (a > 0)$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} m(r) = \prod_{n=1}^{I} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{a_n} \right| = |f(r)|, \ M(r) = \prod_{n=1}^{I} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{a_n} \right) = f(-r), \ (a_n > 0). \\ (i) \quad \text{Let} \end{array}$$

$$E = E (\log m(r) \le r^{\rho_1}) \quad (\rho_1 = \rho - \varepsilon) \tag{11}$$

and suppose that

$$\underline{\lambda}^{*}(E) > 2\rho \, (> 2\rho_{1}), \tag{12}$$

so that

$$\underline{\lambda}(E) \ge \underline{\lambda}^*(E) > 2\rho \,. \tag{13}$$

We construct a harmonic function u(z) by Lemma 4, with  $k=\rho_1$ , such that  $u(r)=r^{\rho_1}$  on E at its regular points, then

 $u(-R) \leq \text{const.} \ R^{\rho_1} \ (R \geq 1).$ 

Since  $ho_1 < 
ho$ , there exists  $R_0$ , such that

$$\log M(R_0) - u(-R_0) = \log |f(-R_0)| - u(-R_0) > 0.$$

Let  $u_r(z)$  be defined as in Lemma 3, then since

$$\log |f(r)| - u(r) = \log m(r) - r^{\rho_1} \leq 0 \text{ on } E,$$

we have

$$\log |f(z)| - u(z) \leq \log M(r)u_r(z)$$
 in  $|z| < r$ ,

so that by Lemma 2,

hence

$$rac{1}{2} \int_{B(2R_0,r/2)} rac{dr}{r} \leq ext{const.} + \log \log M(r).$$

From this we have

$$ar{\lambda}\!(E)\!=\!\overline{\lim_{r o\infty}}\,rac{1}{\log r}\!\int_{_{E(1,\ r)}}rac{dr}{r}\!\leq\!2
ho,$$

which contradicts (13). Hence  $\underline{\lambda}^*(E) \leq 2\rho$ , so that

$$\bar{\lambda}^*[(E(\log m(r) > r^{\rho-\varepsilon})] \ge 1 - 2\rho.$$
(14)

(ii) Suppose that

$$\overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \, \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{\rho}} = \infty \tag{15}$$

and let

$$E = E(\log m(r) \le kr^{\rho}) \qquad (k > 0) \tag{16}$$
 and suppose that  $\underline{\lambda}^*(E) > 2\rho.$ 

[Vol. 26,

Then we construct a harmonic function u(z) by Lemma 4, such that  $u(r) = kr^{\rho}$  on E at its regular points, then by Lemma 4,

$$u(-R) \leq \text{const.} R^{\rho}$$
.

By (15), there exists  $R_0$ , such that

$$\log M(R_0) - u(-R_0) = \log |f(-R_0)| - u(-R_0) > 0.$$

From this we proceed similarly as in (i) and we can prove that

$$ar{\lambda}^*[E(\log m(r) > kr^{\circ})] \geq 1 - 2
ho$$
 for any  $k > 0.$ 

(iii) Next we shall prove that there exists an integral function of order  $\rho(0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2})$ , such that

$$\overline{\lambda}[E(\log m(r) > r^{\rho-\varepsilon})] < 1-2\rho, \quad (0 < \varepsilon < \rho(1-2\rho).$$

Since  $0 < \epsilon < \rho(1-2\rho)$ ,

$$ho \! - \! \epsilon \! > \! 2 
ho^2 \! > \! 0$$
 ,  $\quad \! rac{1\!-\!2 
ho}{2 
ho} \! > \! rac{arepsilon}{
ho \! - \! arepsilon}$ 

We choose  $\delta$ , such that  $\frac{1-2\rho}{2\rho} > \delta > \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho-\varepsilon}$ , then

$$\frac{\delta}{1+\delta} < 1-2\rho, \quad \frac{1}{\rho}(1+\delta)(\rho-\varepsilon) = 1+s \quad (s>0). \tag{17}$$

Let

$$n_{i+1} = \left[ e^{n_i^{\frac{s}{2}}} \right] \quad (i=1,2,\ldots),$$
 (18)

where [x] is the integral part of x and we choose  $n_1$  so large that  $1 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $P_i$  be a point on the curve  $y=x^k$   $(k=\frac{1}{\rho}>1)$ , whose  $x=n_i$ . We connect  $P_i$ ,  $P_{i+1}$  by a rectilenear segment  $L_i$ , whose equation is

$$y = a_i x - \beta_i , \qquad (19)$$

where

$$\alpha_{i} = \frac{n_{i+1}^{k} - n_{i}^{k}}{n_{i+1} - n_{i}} \sim n_{i+1}^{k-1}, \quad \beta_{i} = \frac{n_{i+1}^{k} n_{i} - n_{i}^{k} n_{i+1}}{n_{i+1} - n_{i}}, \quad (20)$$

so that

$$\frac{\beta_i}{a_i} = n_i - \gamma_i \quad (\gamma_i > 0), \tag{21}$$

$$\eta_{i} = \frac{n_{i}^{k}(n_{i+1} - n_{i})}{n_{i+1}^{k} - n_{i}^{k}} \sim \frac{n_{i}^{k}}{n_{i+1}^{k-1}} \to 0 \quad (i \to \infty).$$
(22)

By (19),  $n_i \leq x \leq n_{i+1}$  is mapped on  $n_i^k \leq y \leq n_{i+1}^k$ . Let

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{a_n} \right), \qquad (23)$$

where

The curve, which is composed of  $L_i$  (i=1, 2, ...) is called the curve of roots of f(z) in Besicovitch's paper.

 $a_n = a_i n - \beta_i$   $(n_i \leq n \leq n_{i+1}).$ 

Since  $L_i$  lies above the curve  $y = x^k$ ,

No. 5.] Wiman's Theorem on Integral Functions of Order  $<\frac{1}{2}$ .

$$a_n \ge n^k$$
 (n=1, 2, ...),  $a_{n_i} = n_i^k$  (i=1, 2, ...),

so that the convergence exponent of  $a_n$  is  $\frac{1}{k} = \rho$ , hence f(z) is an integral function of order  $\rho$  and

$$m(r) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{a_n} \right| .$$
 (24)

We shall prove that

$$\overline{\lambda}\left[E(\log m(r)>r^{
ho-arepsilon})
ight]\leqrac{\delta}{1+\delta}<1\!-\!2
ho.$$

Let  $n_i^k \leq r \leq n_{i+1}^k$ , then

$$r = a_i \tau - \beta_i \quad (n_i \leq \tau \leq n_{i+1}),$$

so that

$$\left|1-rac{r}{a_n}
ight|=\left|rac{n- au}{n-rac{eta_i}{a_i}}
ight|=\left|rac{n- au}{n-n_i+ au_i}
ight| \quad (n_i{\leq}n{\leq}n_{i+1}).$$

Since  $\frac{r}{a_n} < 1$  for  $n > n_{i+1}$ , we have by putting  $m = [\tau]$ , if  $\tau \leq n_{i+1} - 1$ 

$$\begin{split} m(r) &\leq \prod_{n < n_{i}} \left| \frac{a_{n} - r}{a_{n}} \right| \frac{m}{\prod_{n_{i}} (\tau - n) \prod_{m+1}^{n_{i+1}} (n - \tau)} \prod_{n_{i}}^{n_{i+1}} (n - n_{i} + \eta_{i})}{\leq n_{i+1}^{kn_{i}} \frac{\Gamma(\tau - n_{i} + 1)\Gamma(n_{i+1} - \tau + 1)\Gamma(\eta_{i})}{\Gamma(\tau - m)\Gamma(m + 1 - \tau)\Gamma(n_{i+1} - n_{i} + 1 + \eta_{i})} \end{split}$$

Since  $\Gamma(z)$  has a pole of the first order at z=0, we have from (22),

$$\Gamma(\gamma_i) \leq \text{const.} \ \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \leq \text{const.} \ n_{i+1}^{k-1},$$

so that

$$\begin{split} m(r) &\leq \text{const.} \; n_{i+1}^{k'n_i} \frac{\Gamma(\tau - n_i + 1)\Gamma(n_{i+1} - \tau + 1)}{\Gamma(n_{i+1} - n_i + 1 + \gamma_i)} \\ &\leq \text{const.} \; n_{i+1}^{k'n_i} \frac{\Gamma(\tau - n_i + 1)\Gamma(n_{i+1} - \tau + 1)}{\Gamma(n_{i+1} - n_i + 1)} \quad (k' = k + 1) \end{split}$$

If  $n_i \leq \tau \leq n_i+1$ , or  $n_{i+1}-1 \leq \tau \leq n_{i+1}$ , then we have easily

$$m(r) \leq \text{const. } n_{i+1}^{k'n_i}$$
 . (25)

If  $n_i+1 \leq \tau \leq n_{i+1}-1$ , then by Stirling's formula,

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\tau) &= \frac{\Gamma(\tau - n_i + 1)\Gamma(n_{i+1} - \tau + 1)}{\Gamma(n_{i+1} - n_i + 1)} \\ &\leq & \text{const.} \sqrt{\frac{(\tau - n_i)(n_{i+1} - \tau)}{n_{i+1} - n_i}} \Big(\frac{\tau - n_i}{e}\Big)^{\tau - n_i} \Big(\frac{n_{i+1} - \tau}{e}\Big)^{n_{i+1} - \tau} \Big/ \Big(\frac{n_{i+1} - n_i}{e}\Big)^{n_{i+1} - n_i} \\ &\leq & \text{const.} \sqrt{n_{i+1}} \frac{(\tau - n_i)^{\tau - n_i}(n_{i+1} - \tau)^{n_{i+1} - \tau}}{(n_{i+1} - n_i)^{n_{i+1} - n_i}} \,. \end{split}$$

Since  $(\tau - n_i)^{\tau - n_i} (n_{i+1} - \tau)^{n_{i+1} - \tau}$  attains its maximum at  $\tau_0 = \frac{n_i + n_{i+1}}{2}$  and

123

.

[Vol. 26,

its value at  $\tau_0$  is  $\left(\frac{n_{i+1}-n_i}{2}\right)^{n_{i+1}-n_i}$ , we have

$$p( au) \leq \operatorname{const.} \sqrt{n_{i+1}} / 2^{n_{i+1}-n_i} \leq \operatorname{const.}$$
 ,

so that for  $n_i^k \leq r \leq n_{i+1}^k$  ,

$$m(r) \leq \text{const. } n_{i+1}^{k'n_i}$$
, (26)

or

or 
$$\log m(r) \leq \text{const. } n_i \log n_{i+1} \leq \text{const. } n_i^{1+\frac{s}{2}} < n_i^{1+s}$$
  
 $= n_i^{\frac{1}{\rho}(1+\delta)(\rho-\mathfrak{g})} = n_i^{k(1+\delta)(\rho-\mathfrak{g})}$ .  
Hence  $\log m(r) \leq r^{\rho-\mathfrak{g}}$  for  $n_i^{k(1+\delta)} \leq r \leq n_{i+1}^k$ , (27)  
so that  $E = E(\log m(r) > r^{\rho-\mathfrak{g}})$ 

so that

is contained in 
$$\{I_i\}$$
, where  $I_i = [n_i^k, n_i^{k(1+\delta)}]$ . Now  

$$\sum_{\nu=1} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{dr}{r} = k \partial (\log n_i + \log n_{i-1} + \dots + \log n_i) \leq k \partial (\log n_i + (i-1)\log n_{i-1}).$$
Since  $n_i \geq n_{i-1}^2$ , we have  $i = O(\log \log n_i)$ , so that  

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^i \int_{I_\nu} \frac{dr}{r} \leq k \partial (\log n_i + O(\log n_{i-1})^2) \leq k \partial (\log n_i + O(\log \log n_i)^2)$$

$$\leq k \partial (1+7) \log n_i , \quad (7 \to 0 \text{ with } i \to \infty) .$$
Hence if  $n_i^k \leq r \leq n_i^{k(1+\delta)}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\log r} &\int_{\mathbb{B}^{(1,r)}} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \frac{1}{\log r} \sum_{\nu=1}^{i-1} \int_{I_{\nu}} \frac{dr}{r} + \frac{1}{\log r} \int_{n_{i}^{k}}^{r} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \frac{k\delta(1+\gamma)\log n_{i-1}}{\log r} \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{\log n_{i}^{k}}{\log r}\right) \leq \frac{k\delta(1+\gamma)\log n_{i-1}}{k\log n_{i}} + \left(1 - \frac{\log n_{i}^{k}}{\log n_{i}^{k(1+\delta)}}\right) = o(1) + \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \end{split}$$

From this we have

$$\overline{\lambda}(E) \!=\! \overline{\lim_{r o \infty}} \frac{1}{\log r} \! \int_{\mathbb{B}^{(1, r)}} \! \frac{dr}{r} \! \leq \! \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \! < \! 1 \! - \! 2 
ho \; .$$

## 5. Some remarks.

1. Let f(z) be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  and D be a domain, which contains z=0 and  $z=\infty$  lies on its boundary  $\Lambda$  and  $\log |f(z)| \leq k \log r \ (|z|=r, k>0)$  on  $\Lambda$ . We define  $\overline{\theta}(r)$  for D as in §3. Let C: |z|=a be a circle contained in D and we choose a constant K>0, such that  $\log |f(z)| - k \log |z| - K < 0$  on C and  $\log M(a) + k \log a + K > 0$ . Let  $z_0$  be a point of *D*, such that  $\log |f(z_0)| - k \log |z_0| - K > 0$  ( $|z_0| = r_0 > a$ ). Since  $\log M(r)$  is a convex function of  $\log r$  and  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{\log r} = \infty$ ,  $\log M(r) - k \log r > 0$  for large r > 0, hence by (6),

$$0 < \log |f(z_0)| - k \log |z_0| - K \leq (\log M(r) - k \log r + K) u_r(z_0)$$
  
$$\leq \text{const.} (\log M(r) - k \log r + K) e^{-\pi \int_{2r_0}^{\frac{r}{r_0(r)}} \frac{dr}{r_0(r)}} (r > 4r_0).$$

From this we have

No. 5.] Wiman's Theorem on Integral Functions of Order  $<\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let f(z) be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  and  $\log |f(z)| \leq k \log r$  (k>0) on the boundary  $\Lambda$  of an infinite domain D, then

$$\overline{\lambda}(\varDelta) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{2\pi}{\log r} \int_{1}^{r} \frac{dr}{r \overline{\theta}(r)} \leq 2
ho \, .$$

**Theorem 3.** Let f(z) be an integral function of order  $\rho(0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2})$ , then

 $\underline{\lambda}[E(\log m(r) \ge k \log r)] \ge 1 - 2\rho \ge 0 \quad (k \ge 0) \text{ }^{5)}.$ 

Let  $\varphi(r)$  be an increasing function of r, such that  $\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\log r} = \infty$ , then for any  $0 < \rho < 1$ , there exists an integral function of order  $\rho$ , such that

$$\underline{\lambda}[E(\log m(r) \ge \varphi(r))] = 0$$

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 2, since the set  $E ext{ of } r$ , such that  $|z|=r ext{ meets } \Lambda ext{ coincides with } E=E(\log m(r)\leq k\log r)$ and  $\overline{\theta}(r)\leq 2\pi$  for  $r\in E$  and  $\overline{\theta}(r)=\infty$  otherwise. We shall prove the second part. We put  $k=\frac{1}{\rho}>1$ . Since  $\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}}\frac{\varphi(r)}{\log r}=\infty$ , we can choose positive integers  $n_i$ , such that  $1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \ldots \leq n_i \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{n_{i+1}}{n_i} \to \infty$  and  $\varphi(n_{i+1}^{\frac{k}{i+1}}) \geq (i+1)^{2}m$ 

$$\frac{\varphi(n_{i+1}^{i+1})}{\log(n_{i+1}^{\frac{k}{i+1}})} \ge (i+1)^2 n_i , 
\log(n_{i+1}^{\frac{k}{i+1}}) \\ \varphi(n_{i+1}^{\frac{k}{i+1}}) \ge k(i+1) n_i \log n_{i+1} .$$
(28)

or

With these  $n_i$ , we construct an integral function f(z) of order  $\rho$  as (23) in the proof of Theorem 1 (iii). Then for  $n_i^k \leq r \leq n_{i+1}^k$ , we have by (26), (28),

$$\begin{split} &\log m(r) \leq & \text{const. } n_i \log n_{i+1} \leq \varphi(n_{i+1}^{\frac{k}{k+1}}) \leq \varphi(n_{i+1}^{k\delta}) \qquad (0 < \delta < 1) \text{ ,} \\ &\text{so that} \qquad \log m(r) \leq \varphi(r) \quad \text{for} \quad n_{i+1}^{k\delta} \leq r \leq n_{i+1}^k \text{ .} \\ &\text{Since} \end{split}$$

$$\int_{I_i} \frac{dr}{r} = (1 - \delta) \log n_{i+1}^k, \quad I_i = [n_{i+1}^{k\delta}, n_{i+1}^k],$$

and  $\delta$  is arbitrary, we have  $\overline{\lambda}[E(\log m(r) \leq \varphi(r))] = 1$ , so that  $\underline{\lambda}[E(\log m(r) > \varphi(r))] = 0$ .

## 6. Dirichlet's problem with an unbounded boundary value.

1. Let *D* be a domain on the z-plane, which contains  $z = \infty$  on its boundary  $\Lambda$  and  $\varphi(z)$  be a given continuous function on  $\Lambda$ . In the usual Dirichlet's problem,  $\varphi(z)$  is assumed to be bounded. If  $\varphi(z)$  is unbounded, there exists, in general, no harmonic function in

<sup>5)</sup> M. Inoue l.c. 3)

M. TSUJI.

D, which assumes the value  $\varphi(z)$  on  $\Lambda$ . We consider a special case, where  $\varphi(z) = r^k$  (|z| = r, k > 0) and shall prove

Theorem 4. (i) If

$$\underline{\lambda}(\Lambda) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{\log r} \int_{1}^{r} \frac{dr}{r\overline{\theta}(r)} > 2k, \ (\alpha = \underline{1}\underline{\lambda}(\Lambda) - k > 0) ,$$

then there exists a harmonic function u(z) in D, which assumes the value  $r^k$  at regular points of  $\Lambda$  and

$$r^{k} \leq u(z) \leq ext{const.} \quad rac{1}{r^{lpha-arepsilon}} e^{\pi \int_{1}^{2r} rac{dr}{r \theta(r)}} \quad (|z|=r) \ in \ D$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

(ii) If 
$$\underline{\lambda}^*(\Lambda) = \lim_{\overline{r/a \to \infty}} \frac{2\pi}{\log (r/a)} \int_a^r \frac{dr}{r\overline{\theta}(r)} > 2k$$
,  
 $r^k \leq u(z) \leq \text{const. } r^k \quad in D$ .

then

*Proof.* (i) Let  $D_r^0$ ,  $\bar{\theta}(r)$ ,  $u_r(z)$  be defined as in §3. Then by (6),

$$u_r(z_0) \leq \text{const.} e^{-\pi \int_{2r_0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dr}{r\theta(r)}} \quad (|z_0| = r_0, \ r \geq 4r_0) .$$
 (29)

By the hypothesis,

$$\pi \int_{1}^{r} \frac{dr}{r\bar{\theta}(r)} \ge k_1 \log r \qquad (k_1 \ge k, \ r \ge R_0) , \qquad (30)$$

so that

$$u_{r}(z_{0}) \leq \text{const.} e^{\pi \int_{1}^{2r} \frac{dr}{r\overline{\theta}(r)}} e^{-\pi \int_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}} \frac{dr}{r\overline{\theta}(r)}} \leq \text{const.} \frac{1}{r^{k_{1}}} e^{\pi \int_{1}^{2r_{0}} \frac{dr}{r\overline{\theta}(r)}} (r \geq 4r_{0}). \quad (31)$$

Let  $\Lambda_r$  be the part of  $\Lambda$ , which lies in |z| < r and  $v_r(z)$  be a harmonic function in D, such that  $v_r(z)=0$  on  $\Lambda_r$  and  $v_r(z)=1$  on  $\Lambda - \Lambda_r$  at its regular points. Then

$$v_r(z) \leq u_r(z)$$
 in  $|z| < r$ , (32)

so that by (31) the integral

$$u(z) = k \int_0^\infty v_r(z) r^{k-1} dr$$
(33)

converges and represents a harmonic function in D. We can prove similarly as the proof of Lemma 4, that  $u(z) = r^k$  on  $\Lambda$  at its regular points. Hence a harmonic function u(z), which satisfies the condition of the theorem exists.

~

(ii). Let 
$$z_0$$
 ( $|z_0|=r_0$ ) be any point of D. Then by (31), (32), (33),

126

Wiman's Theorem on Integral Functions of Order  $<\frac{1}{2}$ .

Since by (30)

$$e^{\pi \int_{1}^{2r} rac{dr}{rar{ heta}(r)}} \ge (2r_0)^{k_1} \qquad (2r_0 \ge R_0) \;,$$

we have

No. 5.]

$$u(z_0) \leq ext{const.} \, rac{1}{r_0^{k_1-k}} e^{\pi \int_1^{2r_0} rac{dr}{r ilde{ heta}(r)}} \, .$$

Since  $k_1$  is any number, such that  $\frac{1}{2\lambda}(\Lambda) > k_1 > k$ , we have

$$u(z_0) \leq \text{const.} \frac{1}{r_0^{\alpha-\varepsilon}} e^{\pi \int_1^{2\tau} \frac{dr}{r\theta(r)}}$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

(iii). Next we shall prove that  $r^{k} \leq u(z)$  in *D*. Let  $V_{R}(z)$  be a harmonic function in  $D_{R}^{0}$ , such that  $V_{R}(z) = r^{k}$  (|z| = r) on the whole boundary of  $D_{R}^{0}$ . Then since  $r^{k}$  is subharmonic, we have

$$r^k \leq V_R(z)$$
 in  $D^0_R$ 

Let u(z) be the harmonic function constructed in (i), we have by the maximum principle,

$$r^{k} \leq V_{R}(z) \leq R^{k}u_{R}(z) + u(z)$$
 in  $D^{0}_{R}$   $(R \geq r)$ .

Since by (31),  $R^k u_R(z) \rightarrow 0$   $(R \rightarrow \infty)$ , we have  $r^k \leq u(z)$  in D. (iv). If

$$\underline{\lambda}^{*}(\Lambda) = \lim_{\overline{r/a \to \infty}} \frac{2\pi}{\log (r/a)} \int_{a}^{r} \frac{dr}{r\overline{\theta}(r)} > 2k ,$$

then we can prove similarly as Lemma 4,

$$u(z) \leq \text{const. } r^k \quad \text{in } D.$$

Hence our theorem is proved.

2. By means of the above theorem, we can prove similarly as Theorem 1 the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Let f(z) be an integral function of finite order  $\rho > 0$  and  $\log |f(z)| \leq r^{\rho-\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) on the boundary  $\Lambda$  of an infinite domain D, then

$$\underline{\lambda}^{*}(\Lambda) = \lim_{\overline{r/a \to \infty}} \frac{2\pi}{\log (r/a)} \int_{a}^{r} \frac{dr}{r\overline{\theta}(r)} \leq 2\rho \; .$$

Compare this theorem with Theorem 2.

If f(z) is of regular growth, such that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\log\log M(r)}{\log r}=\rho,$$

then the set  $\log |f(z)| > r^{\rho-\varepsilon}$  contains an infinite domain for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As an application of Theorem 5, we shall prove the following two theorems.

**Tehorem 6.** Let f(z) be an integral function of finite order  $\rho > 0$ and  $\Lambda$  be the closed set of points, such that  $\log |f(z)| \leq r^{\rho-\epsilon} (|z|=r, \epsilon > 0)$ 

and  $\Lambda_{\theta}$  be the intersection of  $\Lambda$  with a half-line: arg  $z=\theta$ . Then  $\lambda^*(\Lambda_{\theta}) \leq 2\rho$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\log |f(z)| \leq r^{\rho-\epsilon}$  on  $\Lambda_{\theta}$ , if we apply Theorem 5 to the outside of  $\Lambda_{\theta}$ , then we have our theorem, since  $\overline{\theta}(r) = 2\pi$ , when |z| = r meets  $\Lambda_{\theta}$  and  $\overline{\theta}(r) = \infty$  otherwise.

**Theorem 7.** Let f(z) be an integral function of finite order  $\rho > 0$ and M(r) = Max. |f(z)|. Then

$$\bar{a}^* [E(\log M(r) > r^{\rho-\varepsilon})] = 1$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for any  $0 < \rho < \infty$ , there exists an integral function of order  $\rho$ , such that

$$\lambda [E(\log M(r) \! > \! r^{
ho - arepsilon})] \! < \! 1 \,, \quad 0 \! < \! arepsilon \! < \! \operatorname{Min.} \left( rac{
ho^2}{1 \! + \! 
ho} \,, \ rac{
ho}{2} 
ight) \,.$$

Proof. (i). Let

$$E = E(\log M(r) \leq r^{\rho_1}) \qquad (\rho_1 = \rho - \varepsilon) , \qquad (34)$$

then E consists of a countable number of disjoint closed intervals  $I_{\nu} = [r_{\nu}, r'_{\nu}] \ (\nu = 1, 2, ...)$  and

 $\log |f(z)| \leq r^{\rho_1} \qquad (|z|=r)$ 

in the closed ring domain  $\Delta_{\nu}: r_{
u} {\leq} |z| {\leq} r'_{
u}$  .

We construct a canal in  $\Delta_{\nu}$ , such that we take off from  $\Delta_{\nu}$  its part:  $|\arg z| < \delta$ ,  $r_{\nu} \leq |z| \leq r'_{\nu}$  and  $\Delta_{\nu}^{0}$  be the remaining closed domain and put  $\Delta = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{\nu}^{0}$  and let D be the complementary set of  $\Delta$ . Then D is a connected infinite domain and  $\log |f(z)| \leq r^{\rho_{1}}$  on its boundary  $\Lambda$ . Hence by Theorem 5

$$\lim_{\overline{r/a o \infty}} rac{2\pi}{\log{(r/a)}} \int_a^r rac{dr}{r \overline{ heta}(r)} {\leq} 2
ho \; .$$

Since  $\overline{\theta}(r) = 2\delta$  for  $r \in E$  and  $\overline{\theta}(r) = \infty$  otherwise, we have

$$\lambda^*(E) = \lim_{r \neq a \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log (r/a)} \int_{B(a, r)} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \frac{
ho}{\pi} 2\delta$$

so that for  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\underline{\lambda}^*(E) = 0$ , hence

$$\overline{\lambda}^*[E(\log M(r) > r^{
ho-arepsilon})] = 1$$
 .

(ii). Next we shall prove that for any  $0 < \rho < \infty$ , there exists an integral function of order  $\rho$ , such that

$$ar{\lambda}[E(\log M(r) > r^{
ho-arepsilon})] < 1$$
,  $0 < arepsilon < \operatorname{Min.}\left(rac{
ho^2}{1+
ho}, rac{
ho}{2}
ight)$ .

First suppose that  $0 < \rho < 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho}$ , then

$$ho-arepsilon > rac{
ho}{1+
ho} > 0$$
,  $rac{1}{
ho}(1+
ho)(
ho-arepsilon) = 1+s$  (s>0).

With this s>0, we construct an integral function f(z) of order  $\rho$  as (23) in the proof of Theorem 1 (iii). Let

$$n_i^{k+1} \leq r \leq n_{i+1}^{k-1} \qquad (k = \frac{1}{\rho} > 1) ,$$
 (35)

then

$$M(r) = \prod_{n < n_i} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{a_n} \right)^{n_{i+1}} \prod_n \left( 1 + \frac{r}{a_n} \right) \prod_{n > n_{i+1}} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{a_n} \right) = \prod_1 \cdot \prod_2 \cdot \prod_3 .$$
(36)

Now

$$\Pi_1 \leq (2n_{i+1})^{kn} \ . \tag{37}$$

Since  $a_n = a_i n - \beta_i$ ,  $a_{n_i} = n_i^k$ ,  $a_i \sim n_{i+1}^{k-1}$ ,

$$\log \Pi_{2} = \sum_{n_{i}}^{n_{i+1}} \log \left(1 + \frac{r}{a_{n}}\right) \leq \log \left(1 + \frac{r}{a_{n_{i}}}\right) + \int_{n_{i}}^{n_{i+1}} \log \left(1 + \frac{r}{a_{i}x - \beta_{i}}\right) dx$$

$$\leq \log \left(1 + \frac{r}{n_{i}^{k}}\right) + \int_{n_{i}}^{n_{i+1}} \frac{r}{a_{i}x - \beta_{i}} dx \leq k \log n_{i+1} + \frac{r}{a_{i}} \log \frac{n_{i+1}^{k}}{n_{i}^{k}}$$

$$\leq k \log n_{i+1} + \text{const.} \ \frac{n_{i+1}^{k-1}}{n_{i+1}^{k-1}} \log n_{i+1} \leq \text{const.} \log n_{i+1} \ . \tag{38}$$

Similarly for  $j \ge i+1$ ,

n

$$\sum_{n_j}^{j+1} \log\left(1+rac{r}{a_n}
ight) \leq \log\left(1+rac{r}{n_j^k}
ight) + rac{r}{a_j} \lograc{n_{j+1}^k}{n_j^k} \ \leq ext{const.} \ r\Big(rac{1}{n_j^k} + rac{1}{n_{j+1}^{k-1}}\log n_{j+1}\Big) \leq ext{const.} \ rac{r}{n_j^k} \ ,$$

so that

$$\log \prod_{3} \leq \text{const.} r \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_{i+\nu}^k}$$

Since  $n_{i+1} \ge 2n_i$ ,  $n_{i+\nu} \ge 2^{\nu-1}n_{i+1}$ , we have

$$\log \Pi_{3} \leq \text{const.} \quad \frac{r}{n_{i+1}^{k}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k\nu}} \leq \text{const.} \quad \frac{r}{n_{i+1}^{k}} \leq \text{const.} \quad \frac{n_{i+1}^{k-1}}{n_{i+1}^{k}} = \text{const.} \quad \frac{1}{n_{i+1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad .$$

$$(39)$$

Hence from (37), (38), (39),

$$\begin{split} \log M(r) &\leq \operatorname{const.} n_i \log n_{i+1} \leq \operatorname{const.} n_i^{1+s/2} < n_i^{1+s} \\ &= & n_i^{(k+1)(\rho-\varepsilon)} \leq r^{\rho-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for} \quad n_i^{k+1} \leq r \leq n_{i+1}^{k-1}. \end{split}$$

so that  $E = E(\log M(\mathbf{r}) > r^{\rho-\epsilon})$  is contained in  $\{I_i\}$ , where  $I_i = [n_i^{k-1}, n_i^{k+1}]$ . Since

$$\int_{I_i} \frac{dr}{r} = \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho} \log n_i^{k+1},$$

we have similarly as the proof of Theorem 1 (iii),

$$\bar{\lambda}(E) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{1}{\log r} \int_{B(1, r)} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho} < 1.$$

Next suppose that  $1 \leq \rho < \infty$ . We choose a rational number

129

[Vol. 26,

 $\lambda = p/q$ , such that  $\lambda > \rho$ , where p, q are positive integers. Then  $\rho_1 = \rho/\lambda < 1$ . We construct an integral function  $f_1(z)$  of order  $\rho_1$ , such that

$$\overline{\lambda}[E(\log M_{1}(r) > r^{\rho_{1}-\epsilon_{1}})] < 1 , \quad 0 < \epsilon_{1} < \frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{1+\rho_{1}} = \frac{\rho^{2}}{\lambda(\lambda+\rho)} , \quad (40)$$
where  $M_{1}(r) = \underset{|z|=r}{\operatorname{Max.}} |f_{1}(z)|.$  We put  $z = w^{\lambda}$  and let
$$f(w) = \underset{\nu=0}{\overset{|z|=r}{\Pi}} (f_{1}(\omega^{\nu}z) - a) = \underset{\nu=0}{\overset{q-1}{\Pi}} (f_{1}(\omega^{\nu}w^{\lambda}) - a) \quad (\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}) ,$$

$$M(R) = \underset{|w|=R}{\operatorname{Max.}} |f(w)| \quad (|w|=R, |z|=r, r=R^{\lambda}) . \quad (41)$$

Then for a certain a f(w) is an integral function of order  $\rho^{6}$  and  $M(R) \leq [M_1(r)]^{q+1}$   $(r \geq r_0).$  (42)

Since the logarithmic density is invariant for the transformation  $r=R^{\lambda}$ , we have from (40), (42),

 $\overline{\lambda}[E(\log M(R) > (q+1)R^{\lambda \rho_1 - \lambda \varepsilon_1})] = \overline{\lambda}[E(\log M(R) > (q+1)R^{\rho - \lambda \varepsilon_1})] < 1.$  Hence for any  $0 < \eta < 1$ ,

$$\overline{\lambda}[E(\log M(R) > R^{\rho - \eta_{\lambda e_1}})] < 1 .$$
(43)

Since  $\eta_{\lambda \varepsilon_1} < \eta \xrightarrow{\rho^2}{\lambda + \rho} \xrightarrow{\rho} 1$  for  $\eta \to 1$ ,  $\lambda \to \rho$ , we have

 $\bar{\lambda}[E(\log M(R) > R^{\rho-\epsilon})] < 1$ 

for any  $\epsilon < \rho/2$ . Since

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Min.} & \left( \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho} \ , \ \frac{\rho}{2} \right) \!\!=\!\! \frac{\rho^2}{1+\rho} \quad \text{for } 0 \!<\!\!\rho \!\leq\!\! 1 \text{,} \\ & = \!\! \frac{\rho}{2} \qquad \text{for } 1 \!\leq\!\! \rho \!<\! \infty \text{,} \end{split}$$

our theorem is proved.