

### 113. On the Transformations Preserving the Canonical Form of the Equations of Motion

By Takashi KASUGA

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University  
(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1953)

**Introduction.** In this paper, we shall prove that any transformation preserving the canonical form of the equations of motion can be composed of a canonical transformation and a transformation of the form  $Q_i = \rho q_i$ ,  $P_i = p_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$  where  $\rho \neq 0$  is a constant. (For the precise formulation, see section 3, 4.)

For the sake of completeness, we shall prove first some lemmas on matrices which will be used later.

1. We shall call a real regular matrix  $A$  of degree  $2n$ , a *real quasi-symplectic matrix* (we abbreviate it as r.q.s.m.) with a multiplier  $\rho$ , if

$$\rho \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_{i+n} - x_{i+n} y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x'_i y'_{i+n} - x'_{i+n} y'_i) \tag{1}$$

for two arbitrary vectors  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ ,  $(y_1, \dots, y_{2n})$ , where  $\rho$  is a real number and

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'_1 \\ \vdots \\ x'_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} y'_1 \\ \vdots \\ y'_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

A r.q.s.m. with the multiplier 1 is called a *real symplectic matrix* (we abbreviate it as r.s.m.). A real regular matrix  $A$  of degree  $2n$  is a r.q.s.m. with a multiplier  $\rho$  if and only if

$$\rho J = A^* J A \tag{2}$$

where  $A^*$  is the transposed of  $A$  and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_n \\ -E_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (E_n \text{ is the unit matrix of degree } n).$$

From (2), a multiplier of a r.q.s.m. is a non-vanishing real number.

A real matrix  $B$  of degree  $2n$  is called an *infinitesimal real symplectic matrix* (we abbreviate it as i.r.s.m.), if

$$JB + B^* J = 0. \tag{3}$$

If we write a real matrix  $B$  of degree  $2n$  in the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4$  are matrices of degree  $n$ , then  $B$  is an i.r.s.m. if and only if

$$B_4 = -B_1^*, \quad B_3 = B_3^*, \quad B_2 = B_2^*. \tag{4}$$

2. **Lemma 1.** Let  $A(t)$ ,  $B(t)$  be real matrices of degree  $2n$  de-



where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are diagonal matrices of degree  $n$ , the condition  $B'X = XB''$  gives

$$X_2 = X_1 \quad B_1 X_1 = X_1 B_1.$$

From the second of these formulas, we can conclude easily that  $X_1$  is a matrix of the form  $\alpha E_n$ , since  $B_1$  is an arbitrary real matrix of degree  $n$ . Then by the first of the above formulas, we have  $X = \alpha E_{2n}$  where  $\alpha$  is a complex number q.e.d.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a regular real matrix of degree  $2n$ . If  $XBX^{-1}$  is an i.r.s.m. for every i.r.s.m.  $B$  of degree  $2n$ , then  $X$  is a r.q.s.m.*

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be any i.r.s.m. of degree  $2n$  and let  $K$  denote  $X^* J X$ . Then

$$K B K^{-1} = X^* J (X B X^{-1}) J^{-1} (X^*)^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

By the assumption,  $XBX^{-1}$  is an i.r.s.m. Hence by (3)

$$J(X B X^{-1}) = - (X B X^{-1})^* J = - (X^*)^{-1} B^* X^* J.$$

Putting this in (5), we have

$$K B K^{-1} = - B^*.$$

On the other hand by (3)

$$J B J^{-1} = - B^*.$$

Hence if we put  $L = J^{-1} K = J^{-1} X^* J X$ , we have

$$L B = B L \quad \text{for any i.r.s.m. } B \text{ of degree } 2n.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2,  $L$  is of the form  $\alpha E_{2n}$  where  $\alpha$  is a real number as  $L$  is a real matrix. Then  $X^* J X = \alpha J$  q.e.d.

3. We shall call a connected open set in  $R^n$  a domain in  $R^n$ .

In the following, we denote by  $G$  a domain in  $R^{2n+1}(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n, t)$  and by  $G_t$ , the set of the points  $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  of  $R^{2n}$  such that  $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n, t) \in G$ .  $G_t$  is open in  $R^{2n}$  for any  $t$ .

Let  $M$  denote a one to one mapping

$$(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n, t) \rightarrow (Q_1, \dots, Q_n, P_1, \dots, P_n, t) \quad (6)$$

of  $G$  onto some domain in  $R^{2n+1}$  such that  $Q_i(q_j, p_j, t)$ ,  $P_i(q_j, p_j, t)$  are of class  $C^2$  and the Jacobian  $\partial(Q_i, P_j) / \partial(q_k, p_m) \neq 0$  on  $G$ . For such  $M$  we denote by  $M_t$  the one to one mapping

$$(q_i, p_i) \rightarrow \left\{ Q_i(q_j, p_j, t), P_i(q_j, p_j, t) \right\}$$

depending on  $t$  of  $G_t$  onto some open set in  $R^{2n}$  (if  $G_t \neq \emptyset$ ).

We shall call  $M$  a pseudo-canonical transformation containing the time (we abbreviate it as p.c.t.t.) with a multiplier  $\rho$ , if  $M_t$  satisfies the condition

$$\rho \sum_{i=1}^{2n} [dp_i dq_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} [dP_i dQ_i] \quad \text{on } G_t \quad (7)$$

for every  $t$  such that  $G_t \neq \emptyset$  where  $\rho (\neq 0)$  is a constant independent of  $q_i, p_i, t$ . (Here [ ] means Cartan's exterior product.) We shall call a p.c.t.t. with the multiplier 1, a canonical transformation con-

*taining the time* (we abbreviate it as c.t.t.).

We denote by  $M(\rho)$  the special p.c.t.t. with a multiplier  $\rho$

$$(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n, t) \rightarrow (\rho q_1, \dots, \rho q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n, t).$$

Then we can easily prove the following :

**Lemma 4.** *Any p.c.t.t.  $M$  with a multiplier  $\rho$  can be represented as  $M(\rho)M'$  where  $M'$  is a c.t.t.*

We call a system of differential equations

$$\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \quad \frac{dp_i}{dt} = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (8)$$

a canonical system with a Hamiltonian  $H(q_i, p_i, t)$ , when  $H(q_i, p_i, t)$  is defined and of class  $C^1$  and  $\partial H/\partial q_i, \partial H/\partial p_i \ i=1, \dots, n$  are of class  $C^1$  on a domain in  $R^{2n+1}$ .

Let  $M$  be a mapping of the domain  $G$  as defined in (6) and the Hamiltonian  $H(q_i, p_i, t)$  of (8) be defined in a neighbourhood of a point  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0) \in G$ . If  $M$  transforms all the integral curves of (8) in a neighbourhood of  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0)$  into integral curves of another canonical system

$$\frac{dQ_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial P_i} \quad \frac{\partial P_i}{dt} = - \frac{\partial K}{\partial Q_i} \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (9)$$

with a Hamiltonian  $K(Q_i, P_i, t)$  defined in a neighbourhood of  $\{Q_i(q_j^0, p_j^0, t^0), P_i(q_j^0, p_j^0, t^0), t^0\}$ , then we say that  $M$  preserves the canonical form of (8) and transforms (8) into (9), in a neighbourhood of  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0)$ .

If  $M$  preserves the canonical form of every canonical system with a Hamiltonian defined on a domain  $G' \subset G$ , in a neighbourhood of every point belonging to  $G'$ , then we say that  $M$  preserves the canonical form (in  $G$ ).

It is well-known that a c.t.t. and  $M(\rho)$  both preserve the canonical form<sup>1)</sup>. Hence by Lemma 4, a p.c.t.t. preserves the canonical form. We shall prove the converse of this proposition in the following.

4. Let  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0)$  be any point in the domain  $G$  and the Hamiltonian  $H(q_i, p_i, t)$  of (8) be defined in a neighbourhood of  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0)$ . If  $(u_i, v_i)$  belongs to a neighbourhood in  $R^{2n}$  of  $(q_i^0, p_i^0)$ , then we have a unique solution of (8),  $q_i = \varphi_i(t, u_j, v_j) \ p_i = \psi_i(t, u_j, v_j) \ i=1, \dots, n$  defined for  $t$  in a neighbourhood of  $t^0$  such that  $u_i = \varphi_i(t^0, u_j, v_j) \ v_i = \psi_i(t^0, u_j, v_j) \ i=1, \dots, n$ . We call such  $\varphi_i, \psi_i$  the characteristic functions of (8) at  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0)$ .

We denote by  $S(t, u_i, v_i)$  the functional matrix of the mapping  $T_i : (u_i, v_i) \rightarrow \{\varphi_i(t, u_j, v_j), \psi_i(t, u_j, v_j)\}$

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial u_j} & \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial v_j} & & \\ \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial u_j} & \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial v_j} & & \end{array} \right).$$

By the assumption that  $\partial H/\partial p_i, \partial H/\partial q_i$  are of class  $C^1$ , we can easily prove the following<sup>2)</sup>:

**Lemma 5.**

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}\right)_0 = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_i \partial q_j}\right)_0 & \left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}\right)_0 \\ \hline -\left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_i \partial q_j}\right)_0 & -\left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_i \partial p_j}\right)_0 \end{array} \right) \quad (10)$$

where  $(\ )_0$  means the value of a function for  $t=t^0, u_i=q_i^0, v_i=p_i^0$  or for  $t=t^1, q_i=q_i^1, p_i=p_i^1$  according to its arguments.

Let  $M$  be a mapping of  $G$  as defined in (6). Now we assume that  $M$  preserves the canonical form. Then, in a neighbourhood of  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0)$ ,  $M$  transforms (8) into another canonical system (9) with a Hamiltonian  $K(Q_i, P_i, t)$  defined in a neighbourhood of  $\{Q_i(q_j^0, p_j^0, t^0), P_i(q_j^0, p_j^0, t^0)\}$ . We put  $Q_i^0=Q_i(q_j^0, p_j^0, t^0)$   $P_i^0=P_i(q_j^0, p_j^0, t^0)$ .

If  $(U_i, V_i)$  belongs to a neighbourhood in  $K^{2n}$  of  $(Q_i^0, P_i^0)$  and  $t$  belongs to a neighbourhood of  $t^0$ , then we can define the characteristic functions of (9) at  $(Q_i^0, P_i^0, t^0)$

$$Q_i = \Phi_i(t, U_j, V_j) \quad P_i = \Psi_i(t, U_j, V_j) \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

as they are defined for (8) before.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}(t, U_i, V_i)$  the functional matrix of the mapping  $\mathfrak{X}_t: (U_i, V_i) \rightarrow \{\Phi_i(t, U_j, V_j), \Psi_i(t, U_j, V_j)\}$ . Then by Lemma 5

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}}{\partial t}\right)_0 = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial P_i \partial Q_j}\right)_0 & \left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial P_i \partial P_j}\right)_0 \\ \hline -\left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial Q_i \partial Q_j}\right)_0 & -\left(\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial Q_i \partial P_j}\right)_0 \end{array} \right) \quad (11)$$

where  $(\ )_0$  denotes the value of a function for  $t=t^0, U_i=Q_i^0, V_i=P_i^0$  or for  $t=t^1, Q_i=Q_i^1, P_i=P_i^1$  according to its arguments.

From the assumption that  $M$  transforms (8) into (9) in a neighbourhood of  $(q_i^0, p_i^0, t^0)$ , it follows easily that

$$M_t T_i M_{i^0}^{-1}(U_i, V_i) = \mathfrak{X}_t(U_i, V_i) \quad (12)$$

for any  $(U_i, V_i, t)$  in a neighbourhood of  $(Q_i^0, P_i^0, t^0)$ .

Let us denote by  $N(t, q_i, p_i)$  the functional matrix of the mapping  $M_t: (q_i, p_i) \rightarrow \{Q_i(q_j, p_j, t), P_i(q_j, p_j, t)\}$ . Then by (12)

$$N(t, q_i, p_i) S(t, q_i^0, p_i^0) \left\{ N(t^0, q_i^0, p_i^0) \right\}^{-1} = \mathfrak{S}(t, Q_i^0, P_i^0) \quad (13)$$

for any  $t$  in a neighbourhood of  $t^0$ , where

$$q_i = \varphi_i(t, q_j^0, p_j^0) \quad p_i = \psi_i(t, q_j^0, p_j^0).$$

If we differentiate both sides of (13) with respect to  $t$  and put  $t=t^0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial t}\right)_0 (N)_0^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\right)_0 \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial q_i}\right)_0 (N)_0^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}\right)_0 \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial p_i}\right)_0 (N)_0^{-1} \\ + (N)_0 \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}\right)_0 (N)_0^{-1} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}}{\partial t}\right)_0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

considering that  $q_i^0 = \varphi_i(t^0, q_j^0, p_j^0)$ ,  $p_i^0 = \psi_i(t^0, q_j^0, p_j^0)$  and  $(\partial\varphi_i/\partial t)_0 = (\partial H/\partial p_i)_0$ ,  $(\partial\psi_i/\partial t)_0 = -(\partial H/\partial q_i)_0$ ,  $(S)_0 = E_{2n}$ .

In (14), the right side  $(\partial\mathfrak{S}/\partial t)_0$  is always an i.r.s.m. by (11), (4).

If we take  $-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i q_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_i$  as  $H$ , then  $(\partial H/\partial q_i)_0 = -a_i$ ,  $(\partial H/\partial p_i)_0 = b_i$  and  $(\partial S/\partial t)_0 = 0$  by (10). Hence by (14)

$$\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial t}\right)_0 (N)_0^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial q_i}\right)_0 (N)_0^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial p_i}\right)_0 (N)_0^{-1} = \left(\frac{\partial\mathfrak{S}}{\partial t}\right)_0$$

where  $a_i, b_i$  are arbitrary real numbers. Hence  $(\partial N/\partial t)_0 (N)_0^{-1}$ ,  $(\partial N/\partial q_i)_0 (N)_0^{-1}$ ,  $(\partial N/\partial p_i)_0 (N)_0^{-1}$  are i.r.s.m. From this by (14),  $(N)_0 (\partial S/\partial t)_0 (N)_0^{-1}$  is always an i.r.s.m. and by (10) if we take a suitable quadratic form in  $p_i, q_i$  as  $H$ , we can turn  $(\partial S/\partial t)_0$  into an arbitrary i.r.s.m. Hence by Lemma 3,  $(N)_0$  is a r.q.s.m.

Thus we have proved that  $N(q_i, p_i, t)$  is a r.q.s.m. and  $(\partial N/\partial t)N^{-1}$ ,  $(\partial N/\partial p_i)N^{-1}$ ,  $(\partial N/\partial q_i)N^{-1}$  are i.r.s.m. for any point  $(q_i, p_i, t) \in G$ . From this we can prove easily that  $(dN/ds)N^{-1}$  is an i.r.s.m. along any curve  $q_i = q_i(s)$ ,  $p_i = p_i(s)$ ,  $t = t(s)$   $s_0 \leq s \leq s_1$  in  $G$  with continuous  $q'_i(s)$ ,  $p'_i(s)$ ,  $t'(s)$ . On the other hand  $N$  is a r.q.s.m. for any  $(q_i, p_i, t) \in G$ . Hence by Lemma 1,  $N$  is a r.q.s.m. with the same multiplier along any such curve.

Since  $G$  is a domain, we can join any two of its points by a polygonal line. Therefore  $N(q_i, p_i, t)$  is a r.q.s.m. with the same multiplier  $\rho$  for any  $(q_i, p_i, t) \in G$ . This means by (1), (7) that  $M$  is a p.c.t.t. Thus we have proved the following:

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a one to one mapping  $(q_i, p_i, t) \rightarrow (Q_i, P_i, t)$  of a domain  $G$  in  $R^{2n+1}$  onto some domain in  $R^{2n+1}$  with  $Q_i(q_j, p_j, t)$ ,  $P_i(q_j, p_j, t)$  of class  $C^2$  and with the Jacobian  $\partial(Q_i, P_j)/\partial(q_k, p_m) \neq 0$  on  $G$ .  $M$  preserves the canonical form in  $G$  if and only if  $M$  is a pseudo-canonical transformation containing the time.*

By this theorem and Lemma 4, we have determined the form of the transformations preserving the canonical form of the equations of motion.

## References

- 1) Cf. Handbuch der Physik, **5**, 97-100 (1927) (Julius Springer, Berlin).
- 2) Cf. E. Kamke, Differentialgleichungen Reller Funktionen (1930), § 18, Satz 1.