## 173. On a Conjecture of K. S. Williams

By Saburô UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Shinshû University, Matsumoto (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1970)

1. Let p be a rational prime and n a positive integer  $\geq 2$ . We denote by  $a_n(p)$  the least positive integral value of a which makes the polynomial  $x^n + x + a$  irreducible (mod p). In a recent paper [3] K. S. Williams conjectured that for all  $n \geq 2$  one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf a_n(p) = 1,$$

and showed (among others) that (1) is true for n=2 and 3. In the present note we shall prove that (1) is true for n=4, 6, 9, 10 and for all primes  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . However, it is immediately clear that (1) is not true for some (in fact, infinitely many) values of n. Indeed, the polynomial  $x^n + x + 1$  is irreducible in  $Z[x]^{*}$  if and only if n=2 or  $n \not\equiv 2$ (mod 3), and for  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} x^n + x + 1$  has the obvious factor  $x^2 + x + 1$ (cf. [2]). Thus, we can show that for n=5

(2)  $\liminf_{p \to \infty} a_{s}(p) = 3$ and for n = 8

(3)  $\liminf a_{s}(p) = 2.$ 

2. Our foundation is on the following important theorem due to F. G. Frobenius [1].

**Theorem.** Let f(x) be a square-free polynomial (i.e. a polynomial with non-zero discriminant) of degree  $n \ge 1$  in Z[x], and let  $d_1, \dots, d_r$   $(r\ge 1)$  be positive integers with  $d_1 + \dots + d_r = n$ . Then, if the Galois group of f(x), as a permutation group on n letters, contains a permutation which is decomposed as the product of r cycles of length  $d_1, \dots, d_r$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that we have

(4)  $f(x) \equiv f_1(x) \cdots f_r(x) \pmod{p}$ , where  $f_1(x), \cdots, f_r(x)$  are polynomials of Z[x], each irreducible (mod p), of degree  $d_1, \cdots, d_r$ , respectively.

In fact, it is proved in [1] that the Dirichlet density of prime numbers p for which (4) holds equals the number of permutations in the Galois group of f(x) that have r cycles of length  $d_1, \dots, d_r$ , divided by the order of the group.

By virtue of this theorem, a simple and well-known argument on the reduction (mod p) of the Galois group of f(x) will show that the

<sup>\*)</sup> We denote by Z, as usual, the ring of rational integers.

## S. UCHIYAMA

existence of infinitely many primes p satisfying (4) is equivalent to the existence of at least one such prime p (not dividing the discriminant of f(x)).

The following result is a particular case of the above theorem.

**Corollary.** Let f(x) be a polynomial of degree  $n \ge 1$  in x with coefficients in Z. If the Galois group of f(x) contains a cycle of length equal to n, then there are infinitely many primes p for which f(x) is irreducible (mod p) (so that f(x) is necessarily irreducible in Z[x]).

Another interesting consequence of the theorem of Frobenius is that if f(x) is an irreducible polynomial of degree  $n \ge 2$  in Z[x], then there exists an infinity of primes p such that the congruence

(5)  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solution x in Z. On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that for an arbitrary non-constant polynomial f(x) in Z[x] there are infinitely many primes p for which the congruence (5) has solutions x in Z.

3. Now, we shall apply the corollary to the theorem of Fobenius, to the special polynomials  $x^n + x + a$ ,  $a \in Z$ . The polynomial  $x^n + x + 1$ is irreducible in Z[x] for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Hence, if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  is prime, then there are infinitely many primes p for which  $x^n + x + 1$  is irreducible  $(\mod p)$ . In order to obtain the other results enunciated in § 1, it will suffice to find the least positive value of a and an appropriate prime number p such that  $x^n + x + a$  is irreducible  $(\mod p)$ . Thus, the polynomial  $x^n + x + 1$  is irreducible  $(\mod 2)$  for n=2, 3, 4, 6, 9 and 10. Hence, (1) holds true for these values of n. Next, we see that the polynomial  $x^5 + x + 3$  is irreducible  $(\mod 7)$ , so that (2) holds. Finally, the polynomial  $x^8 + x + 2$  is irreducible  $(\mod 3)$  and (3) holds.

Also, we can argue for the case of n=6 in the following way. We find that

$$x^{6} + x + 1 \equiv (x+2)(x^{2}+2x+2)(x^{3}+2x^{2}+x+1) \pmod{3}$$

and

$$x^{6} + x + 1 \equiv (x+2)(x^{5} + 5x^{4} + 4x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 2x + 4) \pmod{7}$$

the factors on the right-hand side being irreducible to the respective moduli. It follows from this that the Galois group of  $x^6+x+1$  is the symmetric group of degree 6. (Here, use was made of the elementary fact that, if a transitive permutation group on n letters contains a transposition and a cycle of length n-1, then the group coincides with the symmetric group of degree n.) Thus, the density of prime numbers p for which  $x^6+x+1$  is irreducible (mod p) is equal to 1/6.

Our method could of course be extended to treat the case of n > 10, unless we avoided the incleasing complication with n in the reduction of the relevant polynomials with various moduli (except for primes  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ).

Added in proof (September 21, 1970). It is not difficult to see that the polynomial  $x^n + x + a, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  for a=3 and  $n \ge 2$  (for a=2 and even  $n \ge 2$ ). We thus have, in particular,

$$\liminf_{p\to\infty}a_n(p)=3$$

for every odd prime  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

## References

- F. G. Frobenius: Über Beziehungen zwischen den Primidealen eines algebraischen Körpers und den Substitutionen seiner Gruppe. Sitzungsberichte Kön. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 689-703 (1896).
- [2] E. S. Selmer: On the irreducibility of certain trinomials. Math. Scand., 4, 287-302 (1956).
- [3] K. S. Williams: On two conjectures of Chowla. Canad. Math. Bull., 12, 545-565 (1969).