

28. On the Riesz Logarithmic Summability of the Conjugate Derived Fourier Series. I

By Masakiti KINUKAWA

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Z. SUTUNA, M.J.A., March 12, 1955)

1. Let $f(x)$ be an integrable function with period 2π and its Fourier series be

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) \sim a_0/2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x).$$

We call the series

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(t),$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A'_n(t)$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nA_n(x)$$

conjugate series, derived series and conjugate derived series of (1.1), respectively.

The infinite series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable by Riesz's logarithmic mean of order α , or simply summable (R, \log, α) , to sum s , provided that

$$R_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{(\log \omega)^\alpha} \sum_{n < \omega} (\log \omega/n)^\alpha a_n$$

tends to a limit s , as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

The summability by Riesz's logarithmic means of the Fourier series was treated by Hardy [1], Takahashi [3], and Wang [4], [5], [6]. Wang has proved the Riesz summability analogue of Bosanquet's theorem concerning Cesàro summability of Fourier series. This theorem was extended to the derived Fourier series by Matsuyama [2]. In this paper we shall prove the analogue for the conjugate derived Fourier series and some related theorems.

We shall introduce some notations. Let us put

$$g_0(t) = g(t),$$

$$g_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^\pi \left(\log \frac{u}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{g(u)}{u} du \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

Then $g_\alpha(t) / \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\alpha$ is called the Riesz logarithmic mean of $g(t)$ of order α . If the Riesz logarithmic mean of $g(t) - s$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow 0$, then we write

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(t) = s \quad (R, \log, \alpha).$$

We denote by $g_\alpha^\beta(t)$ the β th integral of $g_\alpha(t)$, that is,

$$g_\alpha^\beta(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\beta-1} g_\alpha(u) du,$$

$$g_\alpha^0(t) = g_\alpha(t).$$

2. In what follows we put

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2s \cos t\},$$

$$g(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{\varphi(u)}{u^2} du$$

and suppose that $\varphi(t)/t$ is integrable in $(0, \infty)$. Then our theorems are stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *If*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(t) = 0 \quad (R, \log, \alpha),$$

then the conjugate derived Fourier series of $f(t)$ is summable $(R, \log, \alpha+2)$ to s at the point x , where $\alpha \geq 0$.

Theorem 2. *If we suppose*

$$\int_0^t g_\alpha(u) du = g_\alpha^1(t) = o\left[t \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\alpha\right]$$

and

$$\int_t^\pi \frac{|g_\alpha(u+t) - g_\alpha(u)|}{u} du = o\left[\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\alpha+2}\right],$$

then the conjugate derived Fourier series of $f(t)$ is summable $(R, \log, \alpha+2)$ to s at the point x , where $\alpha \geq 0$.

Theorem 3. *If the conjugate derived Fourier series is summable (R, \log, α) , then we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(t) = 0 \quad (R, \log, \alpha+1+\varepsilon),$$

where $\alpha \geq 2$ and ε is a positive number.

3. We start by some lemmas which need for the proof of our theorems.¹⁾

Lemma 1. *Let us put*

$$S_\alpha(t) = \int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{u}\right)^\alpha \sin ut du$$

for $\alpha > -1$. Then we have the following relations:

$$(3.1) \quad S_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{for } t > 0 \text{ and } \alpha > -1, \\ O[(\log t)^\alpha/t] & \text{for } t \geq 2, \alpha \geq 0, \\ O[(\log t)^{\alpha-1}/t] & \text{for } t \geq 2, 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2) \quad S'_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} O[(\log t)^\alpha/t^2] & \text{for } t \geq 2, \alpha \geq 1, \\ O(1/t^{1+\alpha}) & \text{for } t \geq 2, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.3) \quad S''_\alpha(t) = O[(\log t)^\alpha/t^3] \quad \text{for } t \geq 2, \alpha \geq 1,$$

$$(3.4) \quad S_\alpha(0) = 0 \quad (\alpha > -1),$$

1) Cf. Matsuyama [2] and Wang [4], [6], [7].

(3.5) $S_0(t) = (1 - \cos t)/t,$

(3.6) $[tS_\alpha(t)]' = \alpha S_{\alpha-1}(t) \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0,$

(3.7) $S_{r+s+1}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(r+s+2)}{\Gamma(r+1)\Gamma(s+1)} \int_0^1 S_s(ut) \left(\log \frac{1}{u}\right)^r du$
 for $r > -1, s > -1.$

Lemma 2.

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty S_\alpha(u) \sin xu \, du = \left(\log \frac{1}{x}\right)^\alpha \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1,$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq 1.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1. The (R, \log, β) means of the conjugate derived Fourier series is denoted by

$$R_\beta(\omega) = \frac{1}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \sum_{n < \omega} \left(\log \frac{\omega}{n}\right)^\beta n A_n(x),$$

and the Fourier series of $\varphi(t)$ becomes

$$\varphi(t) \sim \sum_{n=0}^\infty A_n(x) \cos nt - s \cos t.$$

Since $S'_\beta(t)$ and $S''_\beta(t)$ ($\beta \geq 1$) are integrable in $(0, \infty)$, by Young's theorem, we get

$$\int_0^\infty S'_\beta(\omega t) \varphi(t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n \int_0^\infty S'_\beta(\omega t) \cos nt \, dt - s \int_0^\infty S'_\beta(\omega t) \cos t \, dt,$$

where the n th term of the right side series is

$$\int_0^\infty S'_\beta(\omega t) \cos nt \, dt = \left[\frac{1}{\omega} S(\omega t) \cos nt \right]_0^\infty + \frac{n}{\omega} \int_0^\infty S_\beta(\omega t) \sin nt \, dt$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{n}{\omega^2} \left(\log \frac{\omega}{n}\right)^\beta & \text{for } \frac{n}{\omega} < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \frac{n}{\omega} \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 2. Hence

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty S'_\beta(\omega t) \varphi(t) dt = -\frac{1}{\omega^2} \left(\log \frac{\omega}{1}\right)^\beta s + \sum_{n < \omega} A_n(x) n \left(\log \frac{\omega}{n}\right)^\beta \frac{1}{\omega^2}.$$

Therefore

$$R_\beta(\omega) - s = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\omega^2}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^\infty S'_\beta(\omega t) \varphi(t) dt$$

(4.1) $= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} [\beta S_{\beta-1}(\omega t) - S_\beta(\omega t)] dt,$

by Lemma 1, (3.6). If we put $\varphi(t)/t = \xi(t)$, then, by integration by parts, we get

$$\frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_p^\infty \xi(t) S_{\beta-1}(\omega t) dt$$

$$= \frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \left[\xi^1(t) S_{\beta-1}(\omega t) \right]_p^\infty - \frac{\omega^2}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_p^\infty \xi^1(t) S'_{\beta-1}(\omega t) dt$$

$$= R_1 + R_2,$$

where p is a sufficiently large but fixed number. Assuming $\beta \geq 2$, and by (3.2) and $\int_0^t \varphi(u)/u \, du = O(1)$, we get

$$R_1 = \frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \left[O \left\{ \frac{(\log \omega t)^{\beta-1}}{\omega t} \right\} \right]_p^\infty = O \left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{\log \omega} \right)$$

and

$$R_2 = O \left\{ \frac{\omega^2}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_p^\infty \frac{(\log \omega t)^{\beta-1}}{\omega^2 t^2} dt \right\} = O \left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{\log \omega} \right).$$

Similarly we get

$$\frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_p^\infty \xi(t) S_\beta(\omega t) dt = O(1/p).$$

On the other hand we have, by integration by parts and by (3.1), (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^p \xi(t) S_{\beta-1}(\omega t) dt \\ &= \frac{-\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \left[g(t) t S_{\beta-1}(\omega t) \right]_0^p + \frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} (\beta-1) \int_0^p g(t) S_{\beta-2}(\omega t) dt \\ &= O[g(p)/\log \omega] + o(1) + \frac{\omega(\beta-1)}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^\pi g(t) S_{\beta-2}(\omega t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We also get

$$\frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^p \xi(t) S_\beta(\omega t) dt = O[g(p)] + o(1) + \frac{\omega\beta}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^\pi g(t) S_{\beta-1}(\omega t) dt.$$

Summing up above estimations, we see that

$$(4.2) \quad R_\beta(\omega) - s = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\omega}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^\pi g(t) [\beta(\beta-1) S_{\beta-2}(\omega t) - \beta S_{\beta-1}(\omega t)] dt + o(1),$$

for sufficiently large p and $\beta \geq 2$.

Suppose $\beta > 2$ and let $h = [\beta - 2]$, then, by h time application of integration by parts, we get

$$\int_0^\pi g(t) S_{\beta-2}(\omega t) dt = (\beta-2)(\beta-3) \cdots (\beta-2-h) \int_0^\pi S_{\beta-2-h-1}(\omega t) g_{h+1}(t) dt.$$

Using here the formula²⁾

$$g_{h+1}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(h+1-\beta+2)} \int_t^\pi \left(\log \frac{u}{t} \right)^{h-\beta+2} \frac{g_{\beta-2}(u)}{u} du,$$

and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & \int_0^\pi g(t) S_{\beta-2}(\omega t) dt \\ &= \frac{(\beta-2)(\beta-3) \cdots (\beta-2-h)}{\Gamma(h+1-\beta+2)} \int_0^\pi S_{\beta-h-3}(\omega t) dt \left\{ \int_t^\pi \left(\log \frac{u}{t} \right)^{h-\beta+2} \frac{g_{\beta-2}(u)}{u} du \right\} \\ &= \frac{(\beta-2)(\beta-3) \cdots (\beta-2-h)}{\Gamma(h+3-\beta)} \int_0^\pi \frac{g_{\beta-2}(u)}{u} du \int_0^u \left(\log \frac{u}{t} \right)^{h-\beta+2} S_{\beta-h-3}(\omega t) dt \end{aligned}$$

2) Cf. Wang [6], Lemma 3.

$$= \Gamma(\beta-1) \int_0^\pi S_0(\omega u) g_{\beta-2}(u) du.$$

By similar estimation we get

$$(4.4) \quad \int_0^\pi g(t) S_{\beta-1}(\omega t) dt = \Gamma(\beta) \int_0^\pi S_0(\omega t) g_{\beta-1}(t) dt.$$

Substituting (4.3) and (4.4) into (4.2) and using (3.5), we get the following relation

$$(4.5) \quad R_\beta(\omega) - s = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{(\log \omega)^\beta} \int_0^\pi [g_{\beta-2}(t) - g_{\beta-1}(t)] \frac{1 - \cos \omega t}{t} dt + o(1).$$

Let us now put $\beta = \alpha + 2$ ($\alpha \geq 0$), then

$$(4.6) \quad R_{\alpha+2}(\omega) - s = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+3)}{(\log \omega)^{\alpha+2}} \int_0^\pi [g_\alpha(t) - g_{\alpha+1}(t)] \frac{1 - \cos \omega t}{t} dt + o(1).$$

By the assumption of the theorem,

$$g_\alpha(t) = o\left[\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\alpha\right], \quad g_{\alpha+1}(t) = o\left[\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\alpha+1}\right],$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence, if we divide the integral (4.6) into those with the ranges $(0, 2/\omega)$ and $(2/\omega, \pi)$ and use the estimation $(1 - \cos \omega t)/t = O(\omega)$ or $= O(1/t)$, we can easily get

$$(4.7) \quad R_{\alpha+2}(\omega) - s = o(1).$$

Thus Theorem 1 is completely proved.

(To be continued)

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy: Quart. Journ. Math., **2**, 107-112 (1931).
- [2] N. Matsuyama: Tôhoku Math. Journ., **1**, 91-94 (1949).
- [3] T. Takahashi: Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, (3) **15**, 181-183 (1933).
- [4] F. T. Wang: Tôhoku Math. Journ., **40**, 142-159 (1934).
- [5] —: Ibid., **40**, 237-240 (1934).
- [6] —: Ibid., **40**, 274-292 (1934).
- [7] —: Ibid., **40**, 392-397 (1934).