94. Some Trigonometrical Series. XV

By Shin-ichi IZUMI

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., July 12, 1955)

1. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood [1] have proved the following

Theorem 1. Let $p \ge 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\alpha p > 1$. If f(x) belongs to the Lip (α, p) class, then f(x) is equivalent to a function belonging to the Lip $(\alpha-1/p)$ class.

This was generalized in the following form [2]:

Theorem 2. Let $p \ge 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\alpha p > 1$. If f(x) belongs to the Lip (α, p) class, then

(1) $f(x) - s_n(x) = O(1/n^{\alpha - 1/p})$

uniformly almost everywhere, where $s_n(x)$ is the nth partial sum of the Fourier series of f(x).

If is well known that (1) implies that f(x) is equivalent to a function of the Lip $(\alpha - 1/p)$ class.

In the proof of Theorem 2 in [2], Theorem 1 is used. We shall prove here Theorem 2, without using Theorem 1, but using the idea in [1]. From this proof we get the following

Theorem 3. Let $p \ge 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\alpha p < 1$. If f(x) belongs to the Lip (α, p) class, then

(2) $s_n(x) - f(x) = O(n^{1/p-\alpha})$

uniformly almost everywhere.

It is known that if f(x) belongs to the L^p class $(p \ge 1)$, then $s_n(x) = o(n^{1/p})$

and the exponent 1/p is the best possible one. Estimation of the integral mean of the left side of (2) was given by E.S. Quade [3].

2. We shall prove Theorem 2. Let f(x) be a function in the Lip (α, p) class, and let

$$p_x(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-1) - 2f(x)$$

and $s_n(x)$ be the *n*th partial sum of the Fourier series of f(t) at t=x. Then (cf. [4])

$$egin{aligned} &|s_n(x)-f(x)| &\leq \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} rac{arphi_x(t)-arphi_x(t+\pi/n)}{t^2}\,dt \ &+rac{A}{n}\int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} rac{ertarphi_x(t)ert}{t^2}\,dt+2n\int_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2\pi/n}ertarphi_x(t)ert\,dt+O(1/n^a). \end{aligned}$$

If we prove that

S. Izumi

(3)
$$\int_{0}^{t} |\varphi_{x}(u)| du = O(t^{1+\alpha-1/p})$$

and

(4)
$$\int_{t}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_{x}(t+u) - \varphi_{x}(u)|}{u} du = O(t^{a-1/p})$$

uniformly almost everywhere, then we get (1) and hence f(x) belongs to the Lip $(\alpha - 1/p)$ class. Thus we get Theorems 1 and 2.

Proof of (4) is easy. For, putting 1/p+1/q=1,

$$\int_{t}^{\pi} |\varphi_{x}(u+t) - \varphi_{x}(u)| \frac{du}{u} \leq \left(\int_{t}^{\pi} |\varphi_{x}(u+t) - \varphi_{x}(u)|^{p} du\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{t}^{\pi} u^{-q} du\right)^{1/q}$$
$$\leq 2 \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(u+t) - f(u)|^{p} du\right)^{1/p} t^{-(q-1)/q} \leq A t^{\alpha-1/p}.$$

3. It remains to prove (3), that is,

Lemma. Let $p \ge 1$, 0 < a < 1, and $\alpha p > 1$. If f(x) belongs to the Lip (α, p) class, then

(5)
$$\int_{0}^{h} |f(x+u)-f(x)| \, du = O(h^{1+\alpha-1/p})$$

uniformly almost everywhere.

This is weaker than Theorem 1.

In order to prove this lemma, we can suppose that f(x) is of power series type, since the conjugate function of f(x) belongs also to the Lip (α, p) class [1]. Let F(z) be the regular function in the unit circle with f(x) as the boundary function. Then, by the Cauchy theorem,

$$\begin{split} F'(re^{ix}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(t) e^{it}}{(e^{it} - re^{ix})^2} dt = \frac{e^{-ix}}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(x+t) e^{it}}{(e^{it} - r)^2} dt \\ &= \frac{e^{-ix}}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it}}{(e^{it} - r)^2} (f(x+t) - f(x)) dt. \end{split}$$

By the assumption (cf. [1])

$$(6) \qquad \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |F'(re^{tx})|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|e^{tt} - r|^{2}} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} \\ \leq A \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{t^{x}}{|e^{tt} - r|^{2}} dt \leq A \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{a}}{(1-r)^{2} + t^{2}} dt \leq A(1-r)^{-1+a}.$$

In order to prove (5), it suffices to prove that

(7)
$$\int_{0}^{h} |F(re^{i(x+u)}) - F(re^{ix})| \, du \leq Ah^{1+\alpha-1/p}$$

uniformly for all r < 1. Let C_0 and C_2 be the circular arcs with radius r and r-h, respectively and with end points of arguments x and x+u; C_1 and C_3 be the segments:

 $C_1 \!=\! [(r\!-\!h)e^{i(x+u)}, re^{i(x+u)}], \quad C_3 \!=\! [(r\!-\!h)e^{ix}, re^{ix}].$ Then the left side of (6) is

[Vol. 31,

400

No. 7]

$$\int_{0}^{h} du \left| \int_{C_{0}} F'(z) dz \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{h} du \left| \int_{C_{i}} F'(z) dz \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{3} I_{i}.$$

Now, taking r sufficiently near 1,

$$egin{aligned} &I_1 & \leq \int_{r-h}^h d
ho h^{1/q} \Big(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |F'(
ho e^{iu})|^p \, du \, \Big)^{1/p} \ & \leq A h^{1/q} \int_{r-h}^r rac{d
ho}{(1-
ho)^{1-lpha}} & \leq A h^{1+lpha-1/p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{h} du \int_{x}^{x-u} |F'((r-h)e^{iv})| dv$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{h} u^{1/q} du \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |F'((r-h)e^{iv})|^{p} dv\right)^{1/p} \leq Ah^{1+\alpha-1/p}$$

Finally, by (6),

$$\begin{split} F'(re^{ix}) &= \left| \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{F'(\rho e^{iu}) e^{iu}}{\rho e^{iu} - re^{ix}} du \right| \\ &\leq A \Big(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F'(\rho e^{iu})|^p du \Big)^{1/p} \Big(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{du}{|\rho e^{iu} - re^{ir}|^q} \Big)^{1/q} \\ &\leq A (1-\rho)^{-1+\alpha} \Big(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{du}{((\rho-r)^2 + u^2)^{q/2}} \Big)^{1/q} \\ &\leq A (1-\rho)^{-1+\alpha} (\rho-r)^{-1/p} \leq A (1-r)^{-1+\alpha-1/p}, \end{split}$$

taking
$$\rho = (1-r)/2$$
 (cf. [1]). Hence
 $I_3 \leq h \int^r |F'(\rho e^{ix})| d\rho$

$$\leq Ah \int_{r-h}^{r} (1-\rho)^{-1+\alpha-1/p} d\rho \leq Ah^{1+\alpha-1/p}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3 is easy from that of Theorem 2.

References

- G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood: A convergence criterion for Fourier series, Math. Zeits., 28 (1928).
- [2] S. Izumi: Notes on Fourier analysis XXII, Journal London Math. Soc., 24 (1952).
- [3] E. S. Quade: Trigonometrical approximation in the mean, Duke Math. Journ., 3 (1937).
- [4] A. Zygmund: Trigonometrical series, Warszawa (1935).