## 56. On Semi-reducible Measures. II

By Tadashi ISHII

Department of Mathematics, Ehime University, Japan (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., April 12, 1956)

In this note we show that main results concerning semi-reducibility of Baire (Borel) measures, which have been proved by Marczewski and Sikorski [5] in metric spaces, and by Katětov [4, Theorem 1] and the present author [3, Theorem 4] in paracompact spaces, are valid in completely regular spaces with a complete structure.<sup>1)</sup> The case of two-valued measures has already been considered by Shirota [6], though his result is related to Q-spaces of Hewitt [1]. We use the same notations as in the previous paper [3]:  $\mathfrak{B}^*(X)=$ all of Baire subsets in a T-space X, C(X, R)=all of real-valued continuous functions on  $X, P(f)=\{x|f(x)>0, f \in C(X, R)\}, \mathfrak{P}(X)=\{P(f)|f \in C(X, R)\}.$ 

All spaces considered are completely regular spaces and all measures considered are finite measures, unless the contrary is explicitly stated.

**Lemma 1.** If any closed discrete subset in a  $T_1$ -space X has the power of (two-valued) measure  $0,^{2}$  then for any (two-valued) Baire measure  $\mu$  in X, the union of a discrete collection of open subsets  $\{G_a \mid G_a \in \mathfrak{P}(X), \mu(G_a)=0\}$  has also  $\mu$ -measure  $0.^{3}$ 

Since the proof is essentially stated in the previous paper [3, Theorem 4], we do not repeat it here.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_a \mid a \in A\}$  be a normal covering of a T-space X. Then there exists a refinement  $\mathfrak{V} = \{G_{na} \mid a \in A, n=1, 2, \cdots\}$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\{G_{na} \mid a \in A\}$  is a discrete collection with  $G_{na} \in \mathfrak{P}(X)$  for each n.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{l} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  be a normal covering of X and let  $\{\mathfrak{l}_n\}$  be a normal sequence such that  $\mathfrak{l}_1 > \mathfrak{l}_2 > \cdots > \mathfrak{l}_n > \cdots$ . Then, as Stone [7] has showed, there exists a closed covering  $\{F_{n\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A, n=1, 2, \cdots\}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- i)  $S(F_{n\alpha}, \mathfrak{U}_{n+3}) \cap S(F_{n\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{n+3}) = \phi$  if  $\alpha \neq \gamma$ ,
- ii)  $\{F_{n\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is a discrete collection for each n,

3) A collection  $\{H_{\alpha} \mid a \in A\}$  of subsets of a *T*-space is called discrete if (1) the closures  $\overline{H}_{\alpha}$  are mutually disjoint, (2)  $\bigcup_{\beta \in B} \overline{H}_{\beta}$  is closed for any subset *B* of *A*.

<sup>1)</sup> A measure  $\mu$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathfrak{B}$  containing Baire family in a *T*-space is called semi-reducible if there exists a closed subset Q such that (1)  $\mu(G)>0$  holds if G is open,  $G \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $G \frown Q \neq \phi$ , and (2)  $\mu(F)=0$  holds if F is closed,  $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $F \frown Q = \phi$ .

<sup>2)</sup> A discrete set is called to have the power of (two-valued) measure 0, if every (two-valued) measure, defined for all subsets and vanishing for all one point, vanishes identically.

$$S(F_{n\alpha},\mathfrak{U}_{n+1}) \subset U$$

Let  $\{f_{na}\}$  be continuous functions as follows:  $f_{na}(x)=1$  if  $x \in F_{na}$ ,  $f_{na}(x)=0$  if  $x \notin S(F_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4})$ ,  $0 \leq f_{na}(x) \leq 1$  otherwise. Set  $G_{na}=\{x \mid f_{na}(x)>0\}$ . Then, since  $G_{na} \subset S(F_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4})$ , we have  $\overline{G}_{na} \subset S(G_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4}) \subset S(S(F_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4}), \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4}) \subset S(F_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+3})$ . We shall show that  $F=\bigcup_{a\in B}\overline{G}_{na}$  is closed in X for any subset B of A. Suppose that  $p \in \overline{F}$ . Then every neighborhood N(p) of p meets some  $\overline{G}_{na}(\alpha \in B)$  and so meets some  $G_{na}(\alpha \in B)$ . If N(p) is contained in  $S(p, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4})$ , we have  $p \in S(G_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4}) \subset S(F_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+3})$ . This shows that every neighborhood N(p) of p contained in  $S(g_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4})$ , we have  $p \in S$   $(G_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4}) \subset S(F_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+3})$ . This shows that every neighborhood N(p) of p contained in  $S(G_{na}, \mathfrak{ll}_{n+4})$  meets only one  $G_{na}$ . Thus it holds that  $p \in \overline{G}_{na}$ , i.e.,  $p \in F$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{V} = \{G_{na} \mid \alpha \in A, n=1, 2, \cdots\}$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{ll}$  satisfying the necessary conditions.

**Lemma 3.** In a space X the following conditions are equivalent: (1) any (two-valued) Baire measure in X which is locally measure 0 has total measure  $0, 4^{3}$ 

(2) any (two-valued) Baire measure in X is semi-reducible.

**Proof.**  $(1) \rightarrow (2)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a (two-valued) Baire measure and let  $Q(\mu) = \{p \mid \mu(U_p) > 0 \text{ for any neighborhood } U_p \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X) \text{ bf } p\}^{.5}$  If  $Q(\mu) = \phi$ , we have  $\mu(X) = 0$  by (1) and so  $\mu$  is obviously semi-reducible. Therefore, we can suppose that  $Q(\mu) \neq \phi$ . In the case when  $\mu$  is a two-valued measure the subset  $Q(\mu)$  contains only one point, and hence it is trivial that  $\mu$  is semi-reducible. In general case we show that  $\mu(F) = 0$  is valid for any closed subset F such that  $F \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X)$ and  $F \frown Q(\mu) = \phi$  hold. For this purpose we define a Baire measure  $\nu$  as follows:

 $\nu(B) = \mu(B \frown F)$  for any Baire subset B of X.

Then, since  $\nu$  is locally measure 0, we obtain  $\nu(X) = \mu(F) = 0$ . (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\mu$  be a (two-valued) Baire measure in X which is locally measure 0. Since  $\mu$  is semi-reducible by the hypothesis, there exists a closed subset Q such that 1)  $\mu(G) > 0$  holds if G is open,  $G \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X)$ ,  $G \frown Q \neq \phi$ , and 2)  $\mu(F) = 0$  holds if F is closed,  $F \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X)$ ,  $F \frown Q = \phi$ . But the closed subset Q must be a null set, for  $\mu$  is locally measure 0. Hence we obtain  $\mu(X) = 0$  by 2).

**Lemma 4.** If any (two-valued) Baire measure in a space X which is locally measure 0 has total measure 0, then any closed discrete subset in X has the power of (two-valued) measure 0.

**Proof.** Let  $\nu$  be a (two-valued) Borel measure in a closed discrete subset  $Y = \{p_a\} \subset X$  vanishing at each point  $p_a$ . We define a (two-valued) Baire measure  $\mu$  in X as follows:

iii)

<sup>4)</sup> A Baire measure  $\mu$  is called locally measure 0, if for any point  $p \in X$  there is a neighborhood  $U_p \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X)$  of p with  $\mu(U_p)=0$ .

<sup>5)</sup> The subset  $Q(\mu)$  is obviously closed in X.

 $\mu(B) = \nu(B \frown Y)$  for any Baire subset B of X.

Since  $\mu$  is obviously locally measure 0, we obtain  $\mu(X) = \nu(Y) = 0$ , which shows that Y has the power of (two-valued) measure 0.

**Theorem 1.** Let X be a space with a complete structure. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) any closed discrete subset of X has the power of measure 0,

(2) for any Baire measure  $\mu$  in X, the union of discrete collection of open subsets  $\{G_{\alpha} \mid G_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{P}(X), \mu(G_{\alpha})=0\}$  has also  $\mu$ -measure 0,

(3) any Baire measure in X which is locally measure 0 has total measure 0,

(4) any Baire measure in X is semi-reducible.

**Proof.**  $(1) \rightarrow (2)$ ,  $(3) \not\geq (4)$  and  $(3) \rightarrow (1)$  follow from Lemmas 1, 3 and 4 respectively. Hence we shall prove only  $(2) \rightarrow (3)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Baire measure in X which is locally measure 0, and suppose that  $\mu(X) > 0$  holds. On the other hand, let gX be a complete structure of X and let  $\{\mathfrak{U}_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the uniformity of gX. Then, by Lemma 2, there exists an open refinement  $\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda} = \{H_{n\alpha}^{\lambda} \mid \alpha \in A_{\lambda}, n=1, 2, \cdots\}$  of  $\mathfrak{ll}_{\lambda}$  such that  $\{H_{n\alpha}^{\lambda} \mid \alpha \in A_{\lambda}\}$  is a discrete collection with  $H_{n\alpha}^{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{P}(X)$ for each n, since  $\mathfrak{U}_{\lambda}$  is a normal covering. Hence by (2) we have  $\mu(H_{n\alpha}^{\lambda}) > 0$  for some n and  $\alpha$ . Moreover by the transfinite induction, we can show that it is possible to choose an  $H_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that  $\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty}H_{\lambda_i})>0$  holds for any  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$   $(i=1, 2, \cdots)$ . Let  $\Lambda$ be well ordered and let normal coverings  $\{\mathfrak{U}_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be denoted by  $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2, \cdots, \mathfrak{U}_{\lambda}, \cdots$ . For a normal covering  $\mathfrak{U}_1$ , we can take an  $H_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_1$ such that  $\mu(H_1) > 0$  holds. Now fix a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  and suppose that for any  $\nu < \lambda_0$  we can choose  $H_{\nu} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\nu}$  such that  $\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{\nu_i}) > 0$  for any  $\nu_i < \lambda_0$  $(i=1, 2, \cdots)$ . Since there exists at most countable number of  $H_{na}^{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}$ with  $\mu(H_{na}^{\lambda}) > 0$  for each  $\lambda$ , we denote them as  $\{H_i^{\lambda}\}$   $(i=1, 2, \cdots)$ . Now put  $E_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{n,a} \{ H_{na}^{\lambda} \mid \mu(H_{na}^{\lambda}) = 0 \}$ .  $\{ H_{na}^{\lambda} \}$  being a discrete open collection for any  $\lambda$  and n, it follows from (2) that  $\mu(E_{\lambda})=0$ . Since  $X = E_{\lambda} \smile (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i^{\lambda})$ , we have  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i^{\lambda}) = \mu(X)$ . If it were impossible to choose an  $H_{\lambda_0} \in \mathbb{U}_{\lambda_0}$  such that  $\mu((\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{\nu_i}) \frown H_{\lambda_0}) > 0$  for any  $\nu_i < \lambda_0$  $(i=1,2,\cdots)$ , there would exist  $\{\nu_{ij}\}$   $(j=1,2,\cdots)$  for any  $H_{i^0}$  satisfying the following conditions:

i)  $\nu_{ij} < \lambda_0$ ii)  $\mu((\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} H_{\nu_{ij}}) \frown H_i^{\lambda_0}) = 0.$ 

Then it would hold that

$$\mu((\bigcap_{i,j}H_{\nu_ij})\frown(\bigcup_iH_i^{\lambda_0}))=0.$$

This contradicts the following facts:  $\mu(\bigcap_{i,j} H_{\nu_i j}) > 0$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_i H_i^{\lambda_0}) = \mu(X)$ . Hence the induction is completed. Then  $\{H_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is obviously a Cauchy family of gX. Therefore, there exists a point  $p \in X$  such that any neighborhood  $U_p \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X)$  of p contains some  $H_{\lambda}$ . Since  $\mu(H_{\lambda}) > 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , any neighborhood  $U_p \in \mathfrak{B}^*$  of p has

positive  $\mu$ -measure. This contradicts the fact that  $\mu$  is locally measure 0. Thus we have  $\mu(X)=0$ , which completes the proof.

Concerning two-valued measures, we have the following results.

**Theorem 2.** Let X be a space with a complete structure. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) any closed discrete subset in X has the power of two-valued measure 0,

(2) for any two-valued Baire measure  $\mu$  in X, the union of discrete collection of open subsets  $\{G_a \mid G_a \in \mathfrak{P}(X), \mu(G_a)=0\}$  has also  $\mu$ -measure 0,

(3) any two-valued Baire measure in X which is locally measure 0 has total measure 0,

(4) any two-valued Baire measure in X is semi-reducible.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove only  $(2) \rightarrow (3)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a twovalued Baire measure in X which is locally measure 0, and suppose that  $\mu(X)=1$  holds. Let gX be a complete structure of X, let  $\{\mathfrak{ll}_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the uniformity of gX and let  $\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda} = \{H_{n\alpha}^{\lambda} | \alpha \in A_{\lambda}, n=1, 2, \cdots\}$  be an open refinement of  $\mathfrak{ll}_{\lambda}$  such that  $\{H_{n\alpha}^{\lambda} | \alpha \in A_{\lambda}\}$  is a discrete collection with  $H_{n\alpha}^{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{P}(X)$  for each n. Then we can choose an  $H_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}$  with  $\mu(H_{\lambda})=1$  for any  $\lambda$ . Since it is obvious that  $\{H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ has the finite intersection property,  $\{H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a Cauchy family. Therefore there is a point  $p \in X$  such that any neighborhood  $U_p \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X)$ of p contains some  $H_{\lambda}$ . Thus any neighborhood  $U_p \in \mathfrak{B}^*(X)$  of p has positive  $\mu$ -measure. This contradicts the fact that  $\mu$  is locally measure 0. Hence we have  $\mu(X)=0$ , which completes the proof.

Since a space which has the property (4) in Theorem 2 is a *Q*-space [2, Theorem 16], we have the following corollary, which has been shown by Shirota [6].

**Corollary.** Let X be a space with a complete structure. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is a Q-space,
- (2) any closed discrete subset in X is a Q-space.

**Remark.** We note that we can replace Baire measures with Borel measures and finite measures with  $\sigma$ -finite measures in theorems stated above.

## References

- E. Hewitt: Rings of real-valued continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 64, 45-99 (1948).
- [2] E. Hewitt: Linear functionals on spaces of continuous functions, Fund. Math., 37, 161-189 (1950).
- [3] T. Ishii: On semi-reducible measures, Proc. Japan Acad., 31, 648-652 (1955).
- [4] M. Katětov: Measures in fully normal spaces, Fund. Math., 38, 73-84 (1951).
- [5] E. Marczewski and R. Sikorski: Measures in non separable metric spaces, Coll. Math., 1, 133–139 (1948).
- [6] T. Shirota: A class of topological spaces, Osaka Math. J., 4, 23-40 (1952).
- [7] A. H. Stone: Paracompactness and product spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 54, 977-982 (1948).