## 1. Fourier Series. V. A Divergence Theorem

By Shin-ichi IZUMI

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidô University, Sapporo, Japan (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1957)

1. In the Mathematical Reviews [1], the following theorem is reviewed.<sup>1)</sup>

**Theorem A.** If f(x) is integrable and f(x)=0 in a closed set E in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ , then the Fourier series of f(x) converges to zero in each density point of E, when

$$(1)$$
  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(\delta_k, f) < \infty$ ,

 $(\delta_k)$  being intervals contiguous to E and  $\omega(\delta, f)$  denoting the oscillation of f in the interval  $\delta$ .

K. Tandori [2] proved that, in the above theorem, the condition (1) can not be omitted; that is, there are a closed set E and a continuous function f(x) such that f(x)=0 in E, x=0 is the density point of E and the Fourier series of f(x) diverges at x=0.

We shall here prove that Theorem A is false,<sup>2)</sup> that is,

**Theorem 1.** There are a closed set E of positive measure, with x=0 as a density point and an integrable function f(x) such that f(x)=0 in E and the Fourier series of f(x) diverges at x=0 and that the condition (1) is satisfied.

But Theorem A holds true when the integrability of f(x) is replaced by its continuity. More generally,

**Theorem 2.** If f(x) is an integrable function such that f(x)=0 in a closed set E in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ , and

$$(2)$$
  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(\overline{\delta}_k, f) < \infty,$ 

where  $\overline{\delta}_k$  denotes the closure of  $\delta_k$ , a contiguous interval of E. Then the Fourier series of f(x) converges to zero in each density point of E.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $(n_k)$  be an increasing sequence of integers such that  $n_k > n_{k-1}^2$ . Let  $(\delta_j)$  be a sequence of open intervals such that

 $\delta_j \subset (\pi/(2j+1), \pi/2j)$   $(j=n_k, n_k+1, \cdots, n_k^2)$ 

and the length of  $\delta_j$  is  $O(1/j^3)$ . We put  $E = (-\pi, \pi) - \vee \delta_j$ . We define f(x) such that

<sup>1)</sup> The author could not refer the original paper.

<sup>2)</sup> We consider  $\omega$  ( $\delta_k$ , f) as the oscillation of the open interval  $\delta_k$ 

S. IZUMI

$$egin{array}{ll} f(x) &= 1/\delta_j \, j \log^2 j & ext{ in } \delta_j \ &= 0 & ext{ otherwise in } (-\pi,\pi). \end{array}$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) dx \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j \log^2 j} < \infty,$$

and hence f(x) is integrable. Since  $\sum \omega(\delta_k, f) = 0$ , the condition (1) is satisfied. Evidently x=0 is a density point of E, and f(x)=0 in E.

Now the *n*th partial sum of the Fourier series of f(x) at x=0 is

$$s_{n}(0, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(x)}{x} \sin nx \, dx + o(1)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j} \int_{\delta_{j}} \frac{f(x)}{x} \sin nx \, dx + o(1)$   
=  $\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n_{k} \le j \le n_{k}^{2}} \int_{\delta_{j}} \frac{f(x)}{x} \sin nx \, dx + J + o(1)$   
=  $I + J + o(1),$ 

say, then we have

$$egin{aligned} &I \geqq A \ n_k \sum \int_{\mathcal{S}_j} f(x) \ dx = A \ n_k \sum_{j=n_k}^{n_k^2} rac{1}{j \log^2 j} \ & \geqq A \ n_k \Big[ rac{-1}{\log j} \Big]_{j=n_k}^{n_k^2} \geqq A \ n_k rac{1}{\log n_k} o \infty. \ & \delta_j = (a_j, b_j). \quad ext{If} \ \delta_j \subset (\pi/2n_{k-1}^2, \pi), \ ext{then} \end{aligned}$$

$$igg| \int_{\delta_j} rac{f(x)}{x} \sin n_k \, x \, dx igg| \leq rac{A}{a_j} rac{1}{\delta_j \log^2 j \cdot j} rac{1}{n_k} \ \leq A rac{n_{k-1}^2 \cdot n_{k-0}^6}{n_k n_{k-1} \log^2 n_{k-1}} \leq rac{A \, n_{k-1}^{-7}}{n_k \log^2 n_{k-1}},$$

and hence

Let

$$\left|\sum_{\substack{\delta_j \subseteq (\pi/2n_k-1,\pi)\\\delta_j}} \int_{\delta_j} \frac{f(x)}{x} \sin n_k x \, dx\right| \leq A \frac{n_{k-1}^9}{n_k \log^2 n_{k-1}},$$

which is o(1), when

$$n_k > n_{k-1}^{9}$$

Furthermore

$$igg| \sum_{\delta_j \subset (0, \pi/n_{k+1})} \int_{\delta_j} rac{f(x)}{x} \sin n_k x \, dx igg| \leq n_k \sum \int_{\delta_j} rac{1}{\delta_j j \log^2 j} \ \leq n_k \sum_{j \geq n_{k+1}} rac{1}{j \log^2 j} \leq rac{A \, n_k}{\log n_{k+1}}$$

which is bounded when

(3)

$$n_{k+1} > e^{n_k}$$
.

If we take  $(n_k)$  such that (3) holds, then the Fourier series of f(x) diverges at x=0.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Proof is almost evident. We can suppose that x=0 is a density point of E. Then

$$s_n(0, f) = rac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} rac{f(x)}{x} \sin nx \, dx + o(1)$$
  
=  $rac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\delta_k} rac{f(x)}{x} \sin nx \, dx + o(1),$ 

where  $(\delta_k)$  are contiguous intervals of E in a neighbourhood  $\Delta$  of x=0. Let  $\delta_k=(a_k, b_k)$ . Then

$$\left|\int_{\delta_k} \frac{f(x)}{x} \sin nx \, dx\right| \leq \sum \frac{\delta_k}{a_k} \max_{t \in \delta_k} f(t).$$

By the condition (2),

$$A = \sum \max_{t \in \delta_k} |f(t)| < \infty.$$

When  $\varDelta$  is taken sufficiently small,

$$b_k - a_k < arepsilon b_k$$
 where  $arepsilon$  is sufficiently small. Hence $rac{b_k}{a_k} < rac{1}{1-arepsilon},$ 

and then

$$rac{\delta_k}{a_k} \!=\! rac{b_k\!-\!a_k}{a_k} \!=\! rac{b_k\!-\!a_k}{b_k} rac{b_k}{a_k} \!<\! rac{arepsilon}{1\!-\!arepsilon}.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}|s_n(0,f)| \leq \frac{A\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  may be taken as small as we please, we get our theorem. From above proof, we get the following

**Theorem 3.** In Theorem 2, the condition (2) may be replaced by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\delta_k} \int_{\delta_k} |f(t)| dt < \infty.$$

## References

- A. G. Džwarsejšvilli: Math. Reviews, 14, 635 (1953); Zentralblatt für Math., 41, 33 (1952).
- [2] K. Tandori: Acta de Szeged, 15 (1954).