## 22. A Note on the Singular Homotopy Type of Spaces

By Yoshiro INOUE

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1957)

Our purpose of this paper is to generalize a result of H. Suzuki [4] concerning the homotopy type of a space and its loop space.

I. Let M be a c.s.s. complex (complete semi-simplicial complex),  $\Pi$  be an abelian group, and  $n \ge 2$  be an integer. Let  $\Re^{n+1} \in H^{n+1}(M, \Pi)$ be a cohomology class, and  $X = K(M, \Pi; \Re^{n+1})$  be the c.s.s. complex defined in the paper [2], §1. Let G be an abelian group. Denote by A the normalized cochain group  $C_N^*(X, G)$  of X. As was shown in the paper [2], §5, there is a filtration  $A = \smile A^r$ , such that the term  $E_2^{p,q}$  of the spectral sequence  $\{E_r\}$  derived from this filtration is canonically isomorphic with  $H^p(M, H^q(\Pi, n; G))$ . In the sequel, we assume that M operates trivially on  $\Pi$  and G. Let  $p_r^* : H^r(M, G) \rightarrow$   $H^r(A^1, G)$  be the homomorphism induced by the projection  $p: X \rightarrow M$ and let  $\delta_{r-1} : H^{r-1}(\Pi, n; G) \rightarrow H^r(A^1, G)$  be the coboundary homomorphism. Then, the transgression  $t_{r-1}^* : \delta_{r-1}^{-1}$  (image  $p_r^*) \rightarrow H^r(M, G)/(\text{kernel } p_r^*)$  is defined by  $t_{r-1}^* = p_r^{*-1}\delta_{r-1}$ . Especially,  $t_n^*$  is a homomorphism of  $H^n(\Pi, n; G)$  [3].

Lemma 1. Let I be the identity automorphism  $\in$  Hom  $(\Pi, \Pi)$ , and  $t_n^*: Hom (\Pi, \Pi) \approx H^n(\Pi, n; \Pi) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(M, \Pi)$  be the transgression. Then,  $\Re^{n+1} = t_n^*(I).$ 

II. Let X be a simply connected space, E the space of paths in X starting at a fixed point  $x_0 \in X$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the loop space  $\subseteq E$ . Let  $p_r^*: H^r(X, G) \to H^r(E, \mathcal{Q}; G)$  be induced by the projection  $p: E \to X$  and  $\delta_r: H^r(\mathcal{Q}, G) \to H^{r+1}(E, \mathcal{Q}; G)$  be the coboundary homomorphism. Then, the suspension  $S_r: H^{r+1}(X, G) \to H^r(\mathcal{Q}, G)$  is defined by  $S_r = \delta_r^{-1} p_r^*$ . If X is p-connected,  $S_r$  is an isomorphism (into or onto) for  $0 < r < 2 \times p$ .

Let X be a simply connected space,  $X_{(n)}$  be the *n*-combined space of X (§1, [1]). Since  $X_{(n)}$  is obtained by attaching cells to X, we may assume that

 $X \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_{(n+1)} \subseteq X_{(n)} \subseteq \cdots$ .

Furthermore, we may assume that  $X_{(n+1)}$  is a fibre space over  $X_{(n)}$ .<sup>1)</sup> The minimal complex  $M_{(n+1)}$  of  $X_{(n+1)}$  is simplicial isomorphic to the c.s.s. complex  $K(M_{(n)}, \pi_{n+1}(X); \Re^{n+2}(X))$  (§ 2, [1]).

The loop space  $\Omega(X_{(n)})$  of  $X_{(n)}$  is the (n-1)-combined space of

<sup>1)</sup> There is a fibre space E over  $X_{(n)}$  such that E has the same homotopy type with  $X_{(n+1)}$  and the projection  $p: E \to X_{(n)}$  is equivalent to the inclusion  $X_{(n+1)} \subseteq X_{(n)}$  [1].

the loop space  $\mathcal{Q}(X)$  of X.

Lemma 2. In the diagram:

$$\begin{split} H^n(\pi_n(\mathcal{Q}(X_{(n)})), n; G) & \stackrel{\iota^*}{\longrightarrow} H^{n+1}(\mathcal{Q}(X_{(n)}); G) \\ & \uparrow \overline{s} & \uparrow s \\ H^{n+1}(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+1; G) & \stackrel{\iota^*}{\longrightarrow} H^{n+2}(X_{(n)}; G), \end{split}$$

the relation

No. 2]

$$t^*\overline{S} = -St^*$$

holds, where  $S, \overline{S}^{2}$  are the suspensions, and  $t^*, \overline{t^*}$  are the transgressions.

III. Let X be simply connected,  $\mathcal{Q}(X)$  be the loop space of X and  $\mathfrak{R}^n, \overline{\mathfrak{R}}^n$  be the *n*-generalized Eilenberg-MacLane invariants of X and  $\mathcal{Q}(X)$ , respectively (§ 3, [2]). Let  $S_{n+1}: H^{n+2}(X_{(n)}, \pi_{n+1}(X)) \rightarrow$  $H^{n+1}(\mathcal{Q}(X_{(n)}), \pi_{n+1}(X))$  be the suspension. Then, by Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$S_{n+1}\mathfrak{R}^{n+2} = -\,\overline{\mathfrak{R}}^{n+1}$$

By this relation, the following theorem readily follows from Theorem 4.2.

Theorem. Let X and Y be two p-connected spaces  $(p \ge 1)$  and let q be an integer such that  $p \le q \le 2p-1$ . If  $\Omega(X)$  and  $\Omega(Y)$  have the same singular q-homotopy type, then X and Y have the same singular (q+1)-homotopy type.

Corollary. Let X and Y be two  $A_n^{n-1}$  polyhedra  $(n \ge 1)$ . If  $\mathcal{Q}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(Y)$  have the same singular (2n-2)-homotopy type, then X and Y have the same homotopy type.

## References

- H. Cartan et J. P. Serre: Espaces fibres et groupes d'homotopie I, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 288-290 (1952).
- [2] Y. Inoue: A complete system of invariants of singular homotopy type (to appear).
- [3] J. P. Serre: Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications, Ann. Math., 54, 425-505 (1951).
- [4] H. Suzuki: On the Eilenberg-MacLane invariants of loop spaces, Jour. Math. Soc. Japan, 8, 93-101 (1956).

<sup>2)</sup> Let  $p: X_{(n+1)} \to X_{(n)}$  be the projection, and  $F = p^{-1}(x_0)$  be a fibre. Then,  $\overline{S}$  is the suspension in the fibre space  $(E_F, q, F)$ , where  $E_F$  is a space of paths in F starting at a fixed point  $\in F$ .