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1. Introduction. In this short note we shall discuss a simplified version of our abstract vanishing cycle theory ${ }^{1)}$ including the unequalcharacteristic case. This theory provides, roughly speaking, abstract analogues of parabolic substitutions which the solutions of differential equations of Picard-Fuchs type undergo around the simplest type of singular points and it can be applied to construct an algebraic theory of modular functions with levels for all characteristics. ${ }^{22}$ This we shall discuss separately ${ }^{3)}$ in the case of elliptic modular functions.
2. Starting point. Suppose that $R$ is a discrete valuation ring. In order to be able to apply Hensel's lemma ${ }^{4)}$ we shall assume that $R$ is complete. Let $K$ be the quotient field and $k$ the residue field. We fix a natural homomorphism of $R$ to $k$ and call its extensions specializations at the center of $R .{ }^{5)}$ Let $C$ be a non-singular curve defined over $K$ and let $C^{\prime}$ be its specialization at the center of $R$. We shall assume that $C^{\prime}$ is absolutely irreducible. We shall also assume that $C^{\prime}$ has at most one singularity and that the singularity is an ordinary double point. We note that ordinary singular points are, in a sense which can be made precise easily, generic singularities. At any rate, we shall denote this possible singular point by $Q$. If $g$ is the genus of $C$, the genus of $C^{\prime}$ is either $g$ or $g-1$ according as $Q$ is absent or not. Pick a divisor $\mathfrak{r}$ of $C$ of degree $d$ greater than $2 g-2$ rational over $K$ such that the specialization $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ at the center of $R$ is free from $Q$. This is always possible and, in fact, we can even assume that $\mathfrak{r}$ is positive. Let $J$ be the Jacobian variety of $C$ constructed by Chow's method ${ }^{6)}$ with reference to $x$. Then the specialization $J^{\prime}$ of $J$ at the center of $R$ is either the Jacobian variety of $C^{\prime}$ constructed by Chow's method or a completion of the Rosenlicht variety $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ of $C^{\prime}$ constructed by Chow's method ${ }^{7)}$ with reference to $r^{\prime}$. Moreover, the image points of $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ being taken as neutral elements of $J$ and $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$, the group law of $J$ is specialized to the group law of $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ at the center of $R$. We proved this compatibility only in the geometric case. ${ }^{8)}$ However the proof can be taken over verbatim to the present case. We also note that the Rosenlicht variety $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ is a commutative group variety which contains the group variety $G_{m}$ of

[^0]the multiplicative group of the universal domain over $k$ as a subgroup with the Jacobian variety of $C^{\prime}$ as the corresponding factor group. We are assuming here that $C^{\prime}$ does have a singular point. It might be unnecessary to remind that $J$ is defined over $K$ while $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ and $G_{m}$ are defined over $k$.
3. Invariant and vanishing points. Let $n$ be a natural number not divisible by the characteristic of $k$. Let $\Omega$ be the group of points of order $n$ on $J$. Then $K(\Omega)$ is a finite separable normal, i.e. a finite Galois extension of $K$ not trivial in general. Similarly, if $\Omega^{\prime}$ is the group of points of $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ of order $n$, then $k\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ is a finite Galois extension of $k$. Moreover, in the specialization of $\Omega$ at the center of $R$ every member of $\Omega^{\prime}$ appears with multiplicity one. The reason for this is the same as in the geometric case: If we consider the graph $\Gamma$ in the product $J \times J$ of the endomorphism $u \rightarrow n \cdot u$ of $J$, the specialization $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ at the center of $R$ contains the closure of the graph $\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ in the product $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0} \times\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ of the endomorphism $u^{\prime} \rightarrow n \cdot u^{\prime}$ of $\left(J^{\prime}\right)_{0}$ as a simple component. Moreover, if we project other components of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ to the first factor of the product, we get a subset of the singular locus of $J^{\prime}$. Thus the positivity and the unicity of the multiplicity of every member of $\Omega^{\prime}$ in the specialization of $\Omega$ at the center of $R$ follows from the intersection-theory. Therefore $\Omega$ contains a subgroup $\Omega_{i}$ which is specialized isomorphically onto $\Omega^{\prime}$ at the center of $R$. According to Hensel's lemma, the group $\Omega_{i}$ is uniquely determined and $K\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ is an unramified finite Galois extension of $K$. In case $C^{\prime}$ is non-singular, i. e., in case $J^{\prime}$ is the Jacobian variety of $C^{\prime}$, we have $\Omega_{i}=\Omega$, hence $K(\Omega)$ is unramified over $K$. If we exclude this trivial case, then $\Omega^{\prime}$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order $n$ which comes from $G_{m}$. Therefore $\Omega_{i}$ contains a subgroup $\Omega_{v}$ which is specialized isomorphically onto that cyclic group at the center of $R$. This $\Omega_{v}$ is also uniquely determined and we call $\Omega_{v}$ the group of vanishing points of order $n$. The set-theoretic complement of $\Omega_{i}$ in $\Omega$ is the set of "non-invariant points" of order $n$. We note that our terminology comes from the Lefschetz vanishing cycle theory. ${ }^{9)}$ In fact vanishing points of order $n$ are obtained by the $n$-th division of period along vanishing cycle while invariant points of order $n$ are obtained by the $n$-th division of periods along locally invariant $2 g-1$ cycles.
4. A pairing theorem. Assume in general that $K$ is an arbitrary field. We assume that $n$ is a natural number not divisible by the characteristic of $K$ and $\Omega$ is the group of points of $J$ of order $n$. Following Weil, to each pair ( $s, t$ ) of elements of $\Omega$ we can associate an $n$-th root of unity $e(s, t)$ so that we get a skew-symmetric pairing of $\Omega$ to itself. ${ }^{10)}$ The definition implies that $e(s, t)$ is contained in $K(s, t)$. In fact, let $M$ be a generic point of $C$ over $K(\Omega)$ and let $\varphi$
be the canonical function of $C$ normalized by $\varphi(M)=0$. Then $\varphi$ is defined over $K(M)$, hence over $K(\Omega, M)$. Let $M_{1}, \cdots, M_{g-1}$ be independent generic points of $C$ over $K(\Omega, M)$ and let $\Theta$ be the locus of the point $\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \varphi\left(M_{i}\right)$ of $J$ over $K(\Omega, M)$. Then $e_{\theta, n}(s, t)=e(s, t)$ is contained in $K(s, t, M)$. However, since $K(s, t, M)$ is regular over $K(s, t)$, we see that $e(s, t)$ is contained in $K(s, t)$ as asserted. Therefore $K(\Omega)$ always contains the field of $n$-th roots of unity. On the other hand, if $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $K(\Omega)$ over $K$, the definition of $e(s, t)$ implies $e(\sigma s, \sigma t)=\sigma e(s, t)$. We know that $\Omega$ is a vector space of dimension $2 g$ over integers modulo $n$ while the multiplicative group of $n$-th roots of unity is a vector space of dimension one over integers modulo $n$. Therefore the automorphism $\sigma$ induces linear transformations $M(\sigma)$ and $m(\sigma)$ of these vector spaces and the above relation implies
$$
\text { det. } M(\sigma) \equiv m(\sigma)^{g} \quad \bmod n \text {. }
$$

In particular, if $K$ contains the field of $n$-th roots of unity, the linear transformation $M(\sigma)$ is unimodular in the sense det. $M(\sigma) \equiv 1 \bmod n$. The proof is not quite trivial, but, if we make use of the connectedness of the symplectic group, ${ }^{11)}$ it is immediate. The above remarks will play a rôle in our later papers. Now we shall assume again that $K$ is complete with respect to a real discrete valuation and we shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. The two groups $\Omega_{i}$ and $\Omega_{v}$ are the groups of annihilators of each other in $\Omega$ (with respect to the skew-symmetric pairing).

This theorem can be proved directly by examining the specialization of the theta divisor $\Theta$. However, even in the geometric case, the proof along this line is not simple. A shorter proof can be obtained, as in the geometric case, by using another definition of $e(s, t)$, which is as follows: Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ be two divisors of $C$ of degree zero representing $s$ and $t$. Then $n \cdot a$ and $n \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ are divisors of functions $f$ and $h$ on $C$. If $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are taken to have no point in common, we have

$$
e(s, t)=h(\mathfrak{a}): f(\mathfrak{b}) .^{12)}
$$

Now, if $s$ and $t$ are elements of $\Omega_{i}$, they are specialized to simple points $s^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime}$ of $J^{\prime}$ over any specialization of $\Omega_{i}$ at the center of $R$. Let $e(s, t)^{\prime}$ be the specialization of $e(s, t)$ over the specialization $(s, t) \rightarrow\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ at the center of $R$. If we pick $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ suitably, in the specialization ( $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ ) of ( $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ ) over the specialization $(s, t, e(s, t)) \rightarrow$ ( $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, e(s, t)^{\prime}$ ) at the center of $R$ both $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ come to be free from $Q$ and have no point in common. The construction is similar as in the geometric case, hence we shall not go into detail. Consider the non-singular model $C^{*}$ of $C^{\prime}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{*}$ be the unique transforms of $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ on $C^{*}$. Then $n \cdot \mathfrak{a}^{*}$ and $n \cdot \mathfrak{b}^{*}$ are divisors of functions $f^{*}$
and $h^{*}$ on $C^{*}$ and we have

$$
e(s, t)^{\prime}=h^{*}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{*}\right): f^{*}\left(\mathfrak{b}^{*}\right)
$$

However, if $t$ belongs not only to $\Omega_{i}$ but also to $\Omega_{v}$, then $\mathfrak{b}^{*}$ itself is a divisor of a function $h^{* *}$ on $C^{*}$ and we can assume that $h^{*}$ is just the $n$-th power of $h^{* *}$. This implies $e(s, t)^{\prime}=1$. Since $n$ is not divisible by the characteristic of $k$, we get $e(s, t)=1$. We note that $\Omega_{i}$ is a direct product of $2 g-1$ cyclic groups of order $n$ while $\Omega_{v}$ is a cyclic group of order $n$. Since the whole group $\Omega$ is the direct product of $2 g$ cyclic groups of order $n$, we see that $\Omega_{i}$ and $\Omega_{v}$ are mutually the groups of all annihilators. This proves the theorem.
5. Parabolic substitutions. Now we shall apply the pairing theorem to determine how the inertia group of $K(\Omega)$ over $K$ operates on $\Omega$. The result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2. Suppose that $K\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ contains the field of $n$-th roots of unity. Then an element $s$ of $\Omega$ and its conjugate $s^{\prime}$ over $K\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ differ only by an element of $\Omega_{v}$.

Let $t$ be an arbitrary element of $\Omega_{i}$. Then by definition $e\left(s^{\prime}, t\right)$ is the conjugate of $e(s, t)$ over $K\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, whence $e\left(s^{\prime}, t\right)$ coincides with $e(s, t)$. This implies $e\left(s^{\prime}-s, t\right)=1$ for all $t$ in $\Omega_{i}$, hence by the pairing theorem $s^{\prime}-s$ is an element of $\Omega_{v}$. This is what we wanted to prove.

As a consequence $K(\Omega)$ is tamely ramified over $K$. In order to make the content of Theorem 2 much clearer, assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Then we have $K\left(\Omega_{i}\right)=K$ and $K$ contains the field of $n$-th roots of unity. Therefore, if we take a base of $\Omega$ so that the second axis is along $\Omega_{v}$ while the second up to the last axes are along $\Omega_{i}$, the Galois group of $K(\Omega)$ over $K$ operates on $\Omega$ as follows:
$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}1 & m & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1\end{array}\right) \bmod n$.

In particular the Galois group of $K(\Omega)$ over $K$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive group of integers modulo $n$.
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