## 3. Certain Generators of Non-hyperelliptic Fields of Algebraic Functions of Genus≥3

By Satoshi ARIMA

Department of Mathematics, Musashi Institute of Technology, Tokyo (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1960)

Let  $\Omega$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and Ka field of algebraic functions of one variable over  $\Omega$  whose genus will be denoted by G. We shall denote the elements of K by letters like  $x_i, x, y, u, u', v$ ; the divisors by  $E_i$ , prime divisors by P, the divisor classes of  $E_i$  by  $\overline{E_i}$ . The divisor classes of degree 0 form a group, which becomes the Jacobian variety of K when  $\Omega$  is the field C of complex numbers. We shall consider the elements of this group whose orders are finite and divide 2. They will be called *two-division points* of K. They form a group  $\mathfrak{g}$  isomorphic to the direct sum of 2G cyclic groups of order 2, so that there are  $2^{2G}$  two-division points  $\overline{E_i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2^{2G}$ , of K (cf. [1, p. 176, Th. 16 and Cor. to Th. 16] and [2, p. 79]). Let  $E_i$  be arbitrary representatives of  $\overline{E_i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2^{2G}$ , and  $x_i$  an element of K such that  $(x_i) = E_i^2$ . Now we consider the subfield

$$k = \Omega(x_1, \cdots, x_{2^{2G}})$$

of K. We shall show in Theorem 1 that K=k (i.e. that K is generated by the functions  $x_i$  determined by two-division points  $\overline{E}_i$  if K is not hyperelliptic and  $G \ge 3$ , and in Theorem 2 that [K:k]=1, 2 or 4 if K is hyperelliptic.

The above notations will be used throughout the paper. The genus of k will be denoted by g. We put [K:k] = n.

LEMMA. If n>1 and  $G \ge 2$ , then g=0 and  $n \le 2 + \frac{1}{G-3/2}$ .

PROOF. We use Riemann-Hurwitz's formula:

(1) 
$$2G-2=n(2g-2)+\sum_{p}(e_{p}-1),$$

where P runs over the prime divisors of K and  $e_P$  is the ramification index of P. We recall first, that G > g since  $G \ge 2$ , and that the number of 2-division points of k is  $2^{2g}$ . Denote by  $(x_i)_K$  and  $(x_i)_k$  the divisors of  $x_i$  in K and k respectively. We have

$$(x_i)_K = E_i^2 = \operatorname{Con}_{k/K}(x_i)_k.$$

Now every divisor  $(x_i)_k$  is either a square of another divisor:  $(x_i)_k = e_i^2$  or not a square of any divisor:  $(x_i)_k = e_i$ ; but we can show here that at most  $2^{2q}$  divisors  $(x_i)_k$  are squares of other divisors; in fact, if  $(x_i)_k = e_i^2$ , then  $e_i$  represents a 2-division point of k, and it follows from

$$E_i^2 = \operatorname{Con}_{k/K}(e_i^2) \text{ and } E_i = \operatorname{Con}_{k/K}(e_i) \text{ that}^{*}$$
  
 $i \neq h \Rightarrow E_i + E_h \text{ in } K \Rightarrow e_i + e_h \text{ in } k,$ 

so that these  $e_i$  represent distinct 2-division points of k and hence the number of divisors  $(x_i)_k$  which are squares of other divisors, is at most  $2^{2g}$ .

This being so, we see that at least  $2^{2q}-2^{2q}$  divisors  $(x_i)_k$  are not squares of any divisors of k; we use, from now on, suffix j and h to denote these  $x_i$ ,  $e_i$  and  $E_i$ :

$$(2) \qquad (x_j)_k = e_j, \quad E_j^2 = \operatorname{Con}_{k/K}(e_j).$$

Call b the least common multiple of the denominators of the  $e_j$ 's, and put

(3) 
$$e_j = \frac{a_j}{b}$$
, deg  $b = \deg a_j = m$ .

If  $j \neq h$ , we have  $a_j \neq a_h$  but  $a_j \sim a_h$ . Denote by M the totality of prime divisors of k which appear in some  $a_j$  with odd exponents, and let l be the number of divisors belonging to M. Then we have (4)  $l \geq 2(G-g)+1$ .

In fact, suppose that  $a_j$  and  $a_h$   $(j \neq h)$  have the same factors up to their square factors:

$$a_{j} = (p_{j_{1}} \cdots p_{j_{r}}) (q_{j_{r+1}} \cdots q_{j_{s}})^{2},$$
  
$$a_{h} = (p_{j_{1}} \cdots p_{j_{r}}) (q_{h_{r+1}} \cdots q_{h_{s}})^{2}, r+2s = m_{j_{1}}$$

then we have

No. 1]

$$\left(\frac{q_{j_{r+1}}\cdots q_{j_{\delta}}}{q_{h_{r+1}}\cdots q_{h_{\delta}}}\right)^2 = \frac{a_j}{a_h} = \frac{e_j}{e_h} \sim 1.$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$j \neq h \Rightarrow \operatorname{Con}_{k/K}(e_j/e_h)^{\frac{1}{2}} = E_j/E_h + 1 \Rightarrow \frac{q_{j_{r+1}} \cdots q_{j_s}}{q_{h_{r+1}} \cdots q_{h_s}} = \frac{e_j}{e_h} + 1$$
 in  $k$ ,

so that, if we fix  $a_j$ , these  $q_{j_{r+1}} \cdots q_{j_s}/q_{h_{r+1}} \cdots q_{h_s}$  represent distinct 2division points of k and the number of these 2-division points does not exceed  $2^{2g}$ . Thus we see that, for a given  $a_j$ , the number of  $a_h$ 's which coincide with  $a_j$  up to their square factors is at most  $2^{2g}$ . Therefore, if we classify all the  $a_j$ 's by bringing those  $a_j$ 's which have the same factors up to their square factors into the same class, then the number of the classes is at least  $(2^{2g}-2^{2g})/2^{2g}=2^{2(G-g)}-1$ . Now from the meanings of l and m, we have clearly  $2^{2(G-g)}-1 \leq {l \choose m} + {l \choose m+2} + \cdots \leq 2^{l-1}$ . So

we get  $2^{2(G-g)} \leq 2^{l-1}$ . The formula (4) is thereby proved.

Now if a prime divisor  $p \in M$  appears in b with an even exponent, then it follows clearly from (2) and (3) that p is ramified in K; if p occurs in  $a_j$  and b both with odd exponents, then p occurs in the denominator of the reduced expression of another  $e_h$  with an odd exponent

<sup>\*)</sup>  $\sim$  denotes the linear equivalence relation between two divisors.

(since b is the common multiple of the denominators of the reduced expressions of  $e_j$ 's), and so p is also ramified in K. Every  $p \in M$  is therefore ramified in K.

We shall now show that

(5) 
$$\sum_{P\mid p} (e_P - 1) \ge n/2$$

for *l* prime divisors  $p \in M$ . To show this, write  $\operatorname{Con}_{k/K}(p) = (P_1^{u_1} \cdots P_h^{u_h})^2$ ,  $e_{P_i} = 2u_i$ , then we have  $n = [K:k] = 2u_1 + \cdots + 2u_h \ge 2h$  since the constant field  $\Omega$  is algebraically closed, and so we have  $n/2 \le n-h \le (2u_1-1) + \cdots + (2u_h-1) = \sum_{P|n} (e_P-1)$ , which proves (5).

We have from (1), (4) and (5) that

(6)  $2G-2 \ge n(2g-2)+n/2\{2(G-g)+1\}.$ 

If  $g \ge 1$ , then it follows from (6) that  $2G-2 \ge 2(2g-2)+2(G-g)+1$ and g=0 which is a contradiction. Hence we must have g=0. By (6), we get therefore  $2(G-1)\ge n(G-3/2)$ ; as  $G\ge 2$ , we have  $n\le 2+\frac{1}{G-3/2}$ . q.e.d.

THEOREM 1. If K is not hyperelliptic and  $G \ge 3$ , then n=1.

PROOF. If n > 1, from  $G \ge 3$  follows by Lemma that g=0 and n=2, which implies that K is hyperelliptic; we must have therefore n=1.

COROLLARY. Let  $\overline{E}_1, \dots, \overline{E}_{2G}$  be generators of g. Then we have  $K = \mathcal{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_{2G}).$ 

PROOF. In Theorem 1, take  $E = E_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots E_{2G}^{\epsilon_{2G}}$  as representative divisors of 2-division points  $\overline{E} \neq \overline{E}_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq 2G)$  of K, where  $\varepsilon_i$  are 1 or 0; let x be a function determined by E; it follows that

 $x = \text{constant} \cdot x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_{2G}^{e_2G} \in \Omega(x_1, \cdots, x_{2G}),$ 

which shows that  $\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_{2^{2G}}) = \Omega(x_1, \dots, x_{2G})$  and proves our assertion. THEOREM 2. Let K be hyperelliptic. 1) If  $G \ge 3$ , then n=1 or 2 and in the latter case we have g=0. 2) If G=2, then n=1 or 2 or 4, and in case n=2 or 4, we have g=0.

PROOF. Assume that n > 1. If  $G \ge 3$ , we have n=2 from Lemma; if G=2, we have n=2 or n=4. And from n>1 follows g=0 also by Lemma.

REMARK. We shall show that cases n=1 and 2 for hyperelliptic K really take place. Let

 $K = C(x, y), \quad y^2 = (x - \alpha_1) \ (x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_{2G+1}),$ 

where  $\alpha_i \in C(1 \leq i \leq 2G+1)$ . Then K is hyperelliptic and of genus G. Denoting by  $Q_i$  and  $Q_\infty$  the zeros and the poles of  $x - \alpha_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq 2G+1)$ , we have  $(x - \alpha_i) = Q_i^2/Q_\infty^2$  and  $(y) = Q_1 \cdots Q_{2G+1}/Q_\infty^{2G+1}$ . The divisors  $Q_1/Q_\infty$ ,  $\cdots, Q_{2G}/Q_\infty$  determine clearly a system of generators of 2-division points of K, and 2-division points of K are represented by the divisors E of the form  $E = (Q_1/Q_\infty)^{i_1} \cdots (Q_{2G}/Q_\infty)^{i_{2G}}$  where  $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_{2G}$  are 1 or 0. The elements u of K determined by  $(u)=E^2=(x-\alpha_1)^{\epsilon_1}\cdots(x-\alpha_{2G})^{\epsilon_{2G}}$  generate the subfield C(x) of K over which K is of degree 2. Next, take an element v of K such that  $C(x, v^2)=K$  (for this, it is sufficient to set v=y-1). The divisor  $(v)Q_1/Q_\infty$  determine the same 2-division points of K as that of  $Q_1/Q_\infty$ , and 2-division points of K are also represented by the divisors E' of the form  $E'=((v)Q_1/Q_\infty)^{\epsilon_1}(Q_2/Q_\infty)^{\epsilon_2}\cdots(Q_{2G}/Q_\infty)^{\epsilon_{2G}}$ where  $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_{2G}$  are 1 or 0. The elements u' of K determined by  $(u')=E'^2=v^{2\epsilon_1}(x-\alpha_1)^{\epsilon_1}\cdots(x-\alpha_{2G})^{\epsilon_{2G}}$  generate the field  $C(x, v^2)=K$ .

We have however not succeeded in constructing an example for n=4. The author is inclined to believe that this would not take place, which could be proved in making use of more precise inequalities than (4).

## References

- C. Chevalley: Introduction to the Theory of Algebraic Functions of One Variable, New York (1951).
- [2] S. Schilling: Foundations of an abstract theory of abelian functions, Amer. J. Math., 61 (1939).