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1. Introduction. Recently L. Gårding and B. Malgrange [2,3] have introduced the notions of partial hypoellipticity, partial ellipticity and conditional ellipticity. J. Friberg [1] and L. Hörmander [6] proved the fact that the solutions of $P(D) u=0$ is hypoanalytic of type $\sigma$ in a fixed direction when $P(\zeta)$ is a polynomial of finite type $\sigma$ in the same direction. J. Friberg also expected in his paper [1] that if $P(D)$ is partially hypoelliptic of type $\sigma$ in some indepentent variables then the operator $P(D)$ have conditionally hypoelliptic properties in the same variables. (An operator $P(D)$ will be said to have a conditionally hypoelliptic property of type $\sigma$ in $x^{\prime}$ if any solution $u \in A_{1\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)} \cap C^{\infty}$ of $P(D) u=f\left(f \in A_{1(x)}\right)$ belongs to $A_{\sigma(x)}$. See Def. 2.2.) The object of this note is to give a proof of above fact. The method is based on the idea of Gårding and Malgrange [2]. As the proof is somewhat mazy, details will be published later in the Osaka Mathematical Journal. I should like to thank Prof. M. Nagumo for his kind criticism during the preparation of this paper.
2. Algebraic considerations. Let $P(D)$ be a linear partial differential operator with constant coefficients operating on functions $u(x)$ defined in some open set $\Omega \subset R_{x^{\prime}}^{m} \times R_{x^{\prime \prime}}^{n}\left(x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{m}^{\prime}\right.\right.$, $\left.x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) x^{\prime} \in R^{m}, x^{\prime \prime} \in R^{n}$ ). By $\alpha$ we shall denote a multi-integer ( $\alpha^{1^{\prime}}, \cdots, \alpha^{m^{\prime}}, \alpha^{1^{\prime \prime}}, \cdots, \alpha^{n^{\prime \prime}}$ ) where $\alpha^{i^{\prime}}$ and $\alpha^{j^{\prime \prime}}$ are non-negative integers, the length of $\alpha$ is denoted by $|\alpha|=\alpha^{1^{\prime}}+\cdots+\alpha^{n^{\prime \prime}}$. Defining $D_{x^{\prime} j}$ $=-\sqrt{-1} \partial / \partial x_{j}^{\prime}, D_{x^{\prime \prime} j}=-\sqrt{-1} \partial / \partial x_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ we set $D^{\alpha}=D_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha \prime} \cdot D_{x^{\prime \prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}=D_{x_{1}^{\prime}}^{\alpha 1^{\prime}} \cdots D_{x^{\prime}{ }_{m}}^{\alpha m^{\prime}}$. $D_{x^{\prime \prime} 1}^{\alpha 1 \prime \prime} \ldots D_{x^{\prime \prime} n}^{\alpha n^{\prime \prime}}$. By $P(\zeta)$ we mean the characteristic polynomial belonging to $P(D)$, and $V(P)$ denotes the algebraic variety in $C^{m} \times C^{n}$ defined by $\{\zeta ; P(\zeta)=0\} \subset C^{m} \times C^{n}$.

Definition 2.1. The operator $P(D)$ (or $P(\zeta)$ ) is said to be partially hypoelliptic of type $\sigma$ in $x^{\prime}$ if the following condition is satisfied.

There exist positive constants $C_{0}$ and $\sigma$ (depending only on $P$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R e \zeta^{\prime}\right| \leqq C_{0}\left(1+\left|I m \zeta^{\prime}\right|+\left|\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{\sigma} \quad(\zeta \in V(P)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently there exist positive constants $C_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma$ for sufficiently large $A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R e \zeta^{\prime}\right| \leqq C_{0}^{\prime}\left(\left|I m \zeta^{\prime}\right|+\left|\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{\bullet} \quad\left(\zeta \in V(P) \text { and }\left|R e \zeta^{\prime}\right|>A\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. As in the proof of Lemma 3.9 in Hörmander [5],
the best possible choice of above $\sigma$ is always a rational number, therefore we may assume here $\sigma=r / s(\geqq 1)$ with mutually prime positive integer $r$ and $s$.

Definition 2.2. A function $u(x) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is said to be hypoanalytic of type $\sigma$ in $\Omega$ (we denote it $u(x) \in A_{\sigma(x)}(\Omega)$ ) if for every compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ there exists a positive constant $C$ depending on $K$ and $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Max}_{x \in K} .\left|D^{p} u(x)\right| \leqq C^{p+1}(p!)^{\sigma} \quad p=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid, where $\left|D^{p} u(x)\right|^{2}=\sum_{|\alpha|=p} \frac{p!}{\alpha^{\prime}!\alpha^{\prime \prime}!}\left|D_{x^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}} D_{x^{\prime \prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} u\right|^{2}$.
Lemma 2.1. $P\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\left(\zeta^{\prime} \in C^{m}\right)$ is hypoelliptic of type $\sigma$ : i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R e \zeta^{\prime}\right| \leqq C\left(1+\left|\operatorname{Im} \zeta^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\sigma} \quad\left(\zeta^{\prime} \in V(p)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha|>0}\left|P^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2|\alpha| / \sigma} \leqq C^{\prime} \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0}\left|P^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \quad\left(\xi^{\prime} \in R^{m}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha|>0}\left|P^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2|\alpha| / \sigma} \leqq C^{\prime \prime}\left|P\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \quad\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|>A^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P(\zeta)=P\left(\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a polynomial in $C^{m} \times C^{n}, P$ can be written as a finite sum;

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)=P_{0}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{|r|>0} P_{r}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{r} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\left(\gamma^{1}, \cdots, \gamma^{n}\right)$ with non negative integer $\gamma^{i}$. Then the following theorem is established.

Theorem 2.1. $P(\zeta)$ is partially hypoelliptic of type $\sigma$ in $x^{\prime}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha+r| \geqq 0}\left|P_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2|\alpha+\gamma| / \sigma} \leqq C_{1}\left(\left|P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}+1\right) \quad\left(\xi^{\prime} \in R^{m}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. If $P(\zeta)$ is partially hypoelliptic of type $\sigma$ in $x^{\prime}$ then by virtue of (2.1) $P_{0}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\left(=P\left(\zeta^{\prime}, 0\right)\right.$ ) is hypoelliptic of type $\sigma$ as a polynomial in $\zeta^{\prime}$. Hence the following inequality is valid.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha|>0}\left|P_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha| / \sigma} \leqq C_{2}\left|P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \quad\left(\xi^{\prime} \in R^{m},\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|>A^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified that (2.6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\alpha+r|>0\\| \alpha \mid \geq 0}}\left|P_{r}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha+r| / \sigma} \leqq C_{1}^{\prime}\left|P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \quad\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|>A^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{|\alpha+\gamma|>0 \\|\alpha| \geq 0}}\left|P_{r}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2 r}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2 s|\alpha+\gamma|} \leqq C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\left|P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2 r}+1\right) \quad\left(\xi^{\prime} \in R^{m}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Writing $\quad \zeta^{\prime}=\xi^{\prime}+i \eta^{\prime} \quad\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \in R^{m} \quad i=\sqrt{-1}\right)$
(2.5) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(\zeta)=P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{|\alpha|>0} C_{\alpha} P_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left(i \eta^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}+\sum_{|r|>0} \sum_{|\alpha|>0} C_{\alpha} P_{r}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left(i \eta^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{r}  \tag{2.8}\\
\left(C=\max _{0 \leq|\alpha| \leq \rho} C_{\alpha}, \rho=\text { degree of } P\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\eta^{\prime}=\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{1 / \sigma} \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}, \tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime}=\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{1 / \sigma} t \cdot \tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime}$ where $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \in R^{m}, \tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime} \in C^{n}\left(\left|\tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime}\right|=1\right), t \in C^{1}$ and $t \cdot \tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime}=\left(t \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, t \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then (2.8) is transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
P(\zeta)=P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) & +\sum_{|\alpha|>0} C_{\alpha} P_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}  \tag{2.9}\\
& +\sum_{|r|>0} \sum_{|\alpha| \geqq 0} C_{\alpha} P_{r}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha+\gamma| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\tilde{\zeta}_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\gamma} t^{|\gamma|}
\end{align*}
$$

Now first of all fix the length of $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}(=\varepsilon)$ suitably (for example; $\left.\left|\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Min}\left\{\left(C_{0}\right)^{-1},\left(\bar{C} C_{2}\right)^{-1}, 1\right\}\right)$ then according to (2.7) there exist constants $C_{3}, C_{3}^{\prime}$ such that
(2.10) $\quad C_{3}\left|P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq\left.\left|P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{|\alpha|>0} C_{\alpha} P_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\left|\leqq C_{3}^{\prime}\right| P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \mid$ ( $\left.\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|>A^{\prime}\right)$.
Thus according to the condition (2.1)', if $t \in C^{1}$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) & +\sum_{|\alpha|>0} C_{\alpha} P_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}  \tag{2.11}\\
& +\sum_{|r|>0} \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} C_{\alpha} P_{r}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha+\tau| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\gamma} t^{|r|}=0
\end{align*}
$$

then $|t|>C_{4}$ for some positive $C_{4}$ uniformly in $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \in R^{m}\left(\left|\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right|=\varepsilon\right)$, $\tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime} \in C^{n}\left(\left|\tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime}\right|=1\right)$ and $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|>A^{\prime}$. This shows that every solution $\tau$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\rho}+\sum_{k=1}^{\rho} \sum_{|r|=\hbar}\left\{\frac{\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} C_{\alpha} P_{r}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha+\gamma| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}}{\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} C_{\alpha} P_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}}\right\}\left(\tilde{\xi}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\tau} \tau^{\rho-k}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies $|\tau|<1 / C_{4}$ uniformly.
This shows that every coefficient of $\tau^{k}(k=0, \cdots, \rho-1)$ is uniformly bounded. By virtue of uniformity in $\tilde{\zeta}^{\prime \prime}$, and (2.10)

$$
\left\{\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} P_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{|\alpha+\gamma| / \sigma}\left(i \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right\} /\left|P_{0}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

is uniformly bounded in $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\left(\left|\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right|=\varepsilon\right)$ and $\xi^{\prime}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|>A\right)$.
Finally from the uniformity in $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\left(\left|\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\right|=\varepsilon\right)$ the result follows.
It is easily verified by the well-known method that (2.6) implies (2.1) (cf. p. 28, [1]).
3. A priori estimates. In this section we introduce a new norm (similar as introduced in [1]) which depend on the operator $P(D)$ and $\delta$ with $0<\delta \leqq 1$.

Let $K$ be any given relatively compact subset in $\Omega \subset R^{m} \times R^{n}$ with $\bar{K} \subset \Omega$. We then define the norm of $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u, K|_{\delta}^{2}=\sum_{|r| \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha_{i}, k}\left\|Q_{r}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}(D) \cdots Q_{r}^{(\alpha r)}(D) \cdot D^{k} u, K\right\|^{2} \delta^{2 \sigma k-2 \Sigma\left|\alpha_{i}\right|} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{\gamma}(D)=P_{r}\left(D_{x^{\prime}}\right) D_{x^{\prime \prime}}^{r}$ and $\|f, K\|$ denotes the usual $L^{2}$ norm of $f$ on $K$.

The sum is to be taken over all index sets $\alpha_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $0<\left|\alpha_{1}\right| \leqq\left|\alpha_{2}\right| \leqq \cdots \leqq\left|\alpha_{r}\right| \leqq \rho(\rho=\operatorname{deg} P)$ and over all integers $k$ with $0=k<s \cdot \min \left|\alpha_{i}\right|=s\left|\alpha_{1}\right|$.
By the definition, the exponent of $\delta$ is always negative and the highest order derivatives of $u$ contained in $|u, K|_{\delta}^{2}$ is smaller than $r \cdot \rho-(r-s)$. Therefore the following inequalities are valid.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{5} \sum_{0 \leqq k<s \cdot \rho}\left\|D^{k} u, K\right\|^{2} \leqq|u, K|_{1}^{2} \leqq C_{6} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r \cdot \rho-(r-s)}\left\|D^{\alpha} u, K\right\|^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C_{5}, C_{6}$ which do not depend on $u$ and $\delta$.
(3.3) $\quad|u, K|_{1} \leqq|u, K|_{\delta}=|u, K|_{1} \cdot \delta^{-r \cdot \rho}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $K_{0}, K_{1}$ be relatively compact subdomains in $\Omega$ with

$$
K_{0} \subset K_{1} \subset \bar{K}_{1} \subset \Omega \text { and dist. }\left(\partial K_{0}, \partial K_{1}\right)=\delta>0
$$

Then there exists a $\varphi(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(K_{1}\right)$ with properties; $\varphi(x) \geqq 0$ on $K_{1}$, $\varphi(x)=1$ on $K_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{\alpha} \varphi(x)\right| \leqq \widetilde{C} \tilde{\delta}^{-\mid \alpha]}\left(x \in K_{1},|\alpha| \leqq r \cdot \rho\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. If $R_{i}(\xi)$ is a polynomial with constant coefficients then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{1}(D) \cdots R_{r}(D) v(x)\right\|^{2}=r^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\|R_{i}(D)^{r} v(x)\right\|^{2} \quad\left(v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $P(D)$ be a partially hypoelliptic operator of type $\sigma$ in $x^{\prime}$ and $K_{0}, K_{1}$ be relatively compact subdomains of $\Omega$ with $K_{0} \subset K_{1} \subset \bar{K}_{1} \subset \Omega$ such that dist. $\left(\partial K_{0}, \partial K_{1}\right)=\delta \quad(0<\delta \leqq 1)$.

Then there exists a constant $C_{7}$ (independent of $u$ and $\delta$ ), such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{\sigma}\left|D u, K_{0}\right|_{\delta} & \leqq C_{7}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{r-s+1}\left|D_{x^{\prime}}^{k} u, K_{1}\right|_{\delta} \delta^{k}\right.  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left.+\sum_{0 \leqq|\alpha| \leq \rho(r-1)}\left\|D^{\alpha} P(D) u, K_{1}\right\| \delta^{-\rho(r-1)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
(Outline of Proof.) The quantity that we are going to estimate is

We can split the above sum into two parts so that in the first part $k+1<s\left|\alpha_{1}\right|$, while in the second $k+1=s\left|\alpha_{1}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The 1st part } \leqq C_{8}\left|u, K_{0}\right|_{\delta}^{2} \leqq C_{8}\left|u, K_{1}\right|_{\delta}^{2} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the second each term is estimated as follows (if we set $v=\varphi \cdot u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(K_{1}\right)$ and using Lemma 3.2).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Q_{r}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}(D) \cdots Q_{r}^{(\alpha r)}(D) \cdot D^{s, \alpha_{1} \mid} u, K_{0}\right\|^{2} \delta^{2 s s\left|\alpha_{1}\right|-2 \Sigma\left|\alpha_{i}\right|}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad \leqq r^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\|Q_{r}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}(D)^{r} D^{s\left|\alpha_{1}\right|} v, K_{1}\right\|^{2} \delta^{-2 r\left|\alpha_{i}\right|-\left|\alpha_{1}\right| \mid}
\end{align*}
$$

The right hand side of (3.9) is composed of the terms of two different types,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|Q_{r}^{(\alpha)}(D)^{r} D^{s|\alpha|} v\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.10}\\
\left\|Q_{r}^{(\alpha)}(D)^{r} D^{s k} v\right\|^{2} \delta^{-2 r(|\alpha|-k)} \quad(|\alpha|>k) . \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then after some calculations we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{r}^{(\alpha)}(D)^{r} D^{s k} v\right\|^{2} \delta^{-2 r(|\alpha|-k)} \leqq C_{9}\left|u, K_{1}\right|_{\delta}^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{r}^{(\alpha)}(D)^{r} D^{s|\alpha|} v\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{s|\alpha|}\binom{s|\alpha|}{k} \int\left|Q_{r}^{(\alpha)}(\xi)\right|^{2 r}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2(s|\alpha|-k)}\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2 k}|v(\xi)|^{2} d \xi \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every term in (3.10)' with $k \geqq 1$ is estimated by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{20}\left|D u, K_{0}\right|_{\delta}^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{10}\left\{\left|u, K_{1}\right|_{\partial}^{2}+\left|D_{x^{\prime \prime}} u, K_{1}\right|_{\delta}^{2} \delta^{2}\right\} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we shall estimate the quantity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left|Q_{r}^{(\alpha)}(\xi)\right|^{2 r}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2 s|\alpha|}|\hat{v}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi  \tag{3.13}\\
& \leqq C \int\left|P_{r}^{\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{r-\alpha^{\prime \prime}}\right|^{2 r}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2 s|\alpha|}|\hat{v}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi
\end{align*}
$$

in two cases. The first case. $\alpha=\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right),\left|\gamma-\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right|>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(3.13) \leqq C_{11} \sum_{0 \leqq r \leq r-s+1}\left|D_{x^{\prime \prime}}^{k} u, K_{1}\right|_{\delta}^{2} \delta^{2 k} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second case: $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=\gamma$. Using (2.6)" we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(3.13) & \leqq C_{1}\left\{\int|\hat{v}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi+\int\left|P(\xi)-\sum_{|r|>0} P_{r}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{r}\right|^{2 r}|\hat{v}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right.  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leqq C_{12}\left\{\sum_{0 \leq k \leq r-s+1}\left|D_{x^{\prime}}^{k} u, K_{1}\right|^{2} \delta^{2 k}+\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \rho(r-1)} \| D^{\alpha} P(D) u,\left.K_{1}\right|^{2} \delta^{-2 \rho(r-1)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

(In this proof constants $C_{s}^{\prime}$ are independent of $u$ and $\delta$.) Therefore (3.8) (3.10) (3.12) (3.14) and (3.15) show the theorem.

Corollary 3.1. Let $P(D)$ be a partially hypoelliptic operator of type $\sigma$ in $x^{\prime}, \rho$ be the degree of $P(\zeta)$, and $K$ and $L$ be arbitrary relatively compact subdomains of $\Omega$ such that $K \subset L \subset \bar{L} \subset \Omega$ and dist. $(\partial K, \partial L)=\delta(0<\delta \leqq 1)$. Then there exists a constant $C_{13}$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\delta / p)^{p^{\sigma}}\left|D^{p} u, K\right|_{\partial / p} \leqq C_{13}^{p}\left\{\sum_{p=0}^{r-s+1 \cdot p}(\delta / p)^{k}\left|D_{x^{\prime \prime}}^{k} u, L\right|_{\partial / p}\right.  \tag{3.16}\\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{k=0}^{p(r-s+1)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \rho(r-1)}\left\|D^{\alpha} P(D) \cdot D^{k} u, L\right\|(\delta / p)^{k-\rho(r-1)}\right\} p=0,1,2, \cdots
\end{align*}
$$

is valid for all $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
The constant $C_{13}$ does not depend on $p$.
Proof. By the assumptions on $K$ and $L$ there exists an increasing sequence of relatively compact domains $K_{0}, K_{1}, \cdots, K_{p}$ such that $K=K_{0} \subset K_{1} \cdots \subset K_{p}=L$ and dist. $\left(\partial K_{i}, \partial K_{i+1}\right)=\delta / p<1$.
Thus every pair $K_{i}, K_{i+1}$ satisfies the conditions imposed on $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ in Theorem 3.1. If $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ then for every $i=0,1, \cdots, D^{i} u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Successive applications of Theorem 3.1 to $K_{i}, K_{i+1}$ show the inequality (3.16).

Now Corollary 3.1 and Sobolev's lemma lead to the following
Main Theorem. Let $P(D)$ be a partially hypoelliptic operator of type $\sigma$ in $x^{\prime}$ and $u\left(\in C^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$ be a solution of $P(D) u=f\left(f \in A_{1(x)}\right)$ in $\Omega$ such that $D^{t} u \in A_{1\left(x^{\prime \prime},\right.}$ for every $k(k=0,1, \cdots, \rho r-(r-s)-1)$. Then $u$ belongs to $A_{\sigma(x)}$.
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