## 22. A Limit Theorem for Sums of a Certain Kind of Random Variables

By Saburô UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidô University, Sapporo (Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1965)

Let  $X=(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a fixed probability space, i.e. a totally finite measure space X with a measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(X)=1$ . We consider a sequence of random variables

$$\varphi_{m}^{(h)}(x) \quad (m=1, 2, \cdots; h \ge 2)$$

on X which are defined by the conditions:

1) Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_h$  be the set of *h*-th roots of unity. The functions  $\varphi_p^{(h)}(x)$  with prime-number indices p assume the values  $\rho_k(1 \le k \le h)$  with equal probability 1/h and they are (stochastically) independent.

2) For general  $m \ge 1$  the functions  $\varphi_m^{(h)}(x)$  are completely multiplicative with respect to m, i.e.

$$\varphi_{ij}^{(h)}(x) = \varphi_{ij}^{(h)}(x)\varphi_{j}^{(h)}(x)$$

for any positive integers *i*, *j*: in particular  $\varphi_{1}^{(h)}(x) = 1$  with probability 1.

Apparently, the functions  $\varphi_m^{(h)}(x)$   $(m=1, 2, \cdots)$  are not independent.

We write

$$s_{n}^{(h)}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \varphi_{m}^{(h)}(x) \qquad (n=1, 2, \cdots).$$

Our aim in this note is to prove the following Theorem. We have for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s_n^{(2)}(x)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log n)^{\frac{7}{4}+\epsilon}} = 0$$

with probability 1 and for  $h \ge 3$ 

(2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s_n^{(h)}(x)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log n)^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}} = 0$$

with probability 1.

According to P. Erdös (Some unsolved problems. Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci., vol. 6 ser. A (1961), pp. 221-254; especially, pp. 251-252), A. Wintner proved that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{s_n^{(2)}(x)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}=0$$

with probability 1, and Erdös himself has improved this result to

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s_n^{(2)}(x)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log n)^c} = 0$$

S. UCHIYAMA

for some constant c>0. We do not claim, of course, that the results stated in our theorem are the best possible of their kind. It may be conjectured that for every  $h \ge 2$  we have with probability 1

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{Res}^{(h)}(x)}{n^{1/2}}=+\infty.$$

We note that the conjecture for h=2 is due to Erdös (cf. the above cited paper by him).

1. We have

$$s_{n}^{(h)}(x) = \sum_{j \leq n}^{(h)} \left[ \left( \frac{n}{j} \right)^{1/h} \right] \varphi_{j}^{(h)}(x)$$

almost everywhere on X (i.e. with probability 1), where the summation  $\sum^{(h)}$  is extended over *h*-th power-free integers only: an integer j is said to be *h*-th power-free if  $d^{h} | j, d > 0$ , implies d=1. For, every positive integer m can be uniquely written in the form m= $d^{h}j$  with some positive integers d, j, j being *h*-th power-free. Note that if  $m=d^{h}j$  then  $\varphi_{m}^{(h)}(x)=\varphi_{j}^{(h)}(x)$  almost everywhere on X. Also, if we denote by  $\overline{\varphi}_{m}(x)$  the complex conjugate of  $\varphi_{m}(x)$ , then  $\overline{\varphi}_{m}^{(h)}(x)=(\varphi_{m}^{(h)}(x))^{h-1}=\varphi_{m}^{(h)}(x) \qquad (n=m^{h-1})$ 

almost everywhere on X. It is easy to see that the functions  $\varphi_{j}^{(h)}(x)$  with *h*-th power-free indices *j* form an orthonormal system in X.

Lemma 1. Let  $0 \leq m < n$ . Then we have

$$\int_{x} \left| \sum_{m < i \leq n} \varphi^{(2)}_{i}(x) \right|^{2} d\mu = O((n^{1/2} - m^{1/2})^{2} \log (m+1)) + O(n \log (n/(m+1))) + O(n) \quad (n > 1).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\int_{X} \left| \sum_{m < i \leq n} \varphi_{i}^{(2)}(x) \right|^{2} d\mu = \sum_{j \leq n}^{(2)} \left( \left[ \left( \frac{n}{j} \right)^{1/2} \right] - \left[ \left( \frac{m}{j} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right)^{2},$$

where the sum on the right-hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{j \le m}^{(2)} \left( \left[ \left( \frac{n}{j} \right)^{1/2} \right] - \left[ \left( \frac{m}{j} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right)^2 + \sum_{m < j \le n}^{(2)} \left[ \left( \frac{n}{j} \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 = \sum_1 + \sum_2, \quad \text{say.}$$

Now

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_{1} \leq \sum_{j \leq m} \left( rac{n^{1/2} - m^{1/2}}{j^{1/2}} \!+\! 1 
ight)^2 \ &= &(n^{1/2} \!-\! m^{1/2})^2 \log{(m\!+\!1)} \!+\! O(n); \ &\sum_{2} \leq &\sum_{m < j \leq n} rac{n}{j} \!=\! n \log{rac{n}{m\!+\!1}} \!+\! O(n). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 2. Suppose  $h \ge 3$  and let  $0 \le m < n$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}} \left| \sum_{m < i \leq n} \varphi_i^{(h)}(x) \right|^2 d\mu = O(n).$$

*Proof.* The integral in the lemma equals

$$\sum_{j\leq n}^{(h)} \left( \left[ \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)^{1/h} \right] - \left[ \left(\frac{m}{j}\right)^{1/h} \right] \right)^2,$$

which does not exceed trivially

$$\sum_{j \leq n} \frac{n^{2/h}}{j^{2/h}} = n^{2/h} \frac{n^{1-(2/h)}}{1-(2/h)} + O(n^{2/h}) = O(n).$$

We denote by  $L^2(X)$ , as usual, the class of measurable functions f(x) defined on X such that  $|f(x)|^2$  is integrable over X. Also,  $\overline{f}(x)$  denotes the function conjugate to f(x): thus,  $f(x)\overline{f}(x)=|f(x)|^2$ .

Lemma 3. Let  $f_i(x)$   $(i=1, 2, \dots)$  be a sequence of real or complex valued functions belonging to the class  $L^2(X)$  and satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\int_{x}f_{i}(x)\overline{f}_{j}(x)d\mu \geq 0$$

for any indices  $i \neq j$ . Then if we define

$$F_n(x) = \sup_{1 \le m \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x) \right|,$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} F_n^2(x) d\mu \leq A \log^2 n \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \right|^2 d\mu \qquad (n > 1)$$

with some absolute constant A>0.

*Proof.* Let  $2^{r-1} < n \le 2^r$   $(r \ge 1)$ . We put  $c_i = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le n, = 0$  for  $n+1 \le i \le 2^r$ , and write for  $l, 0 \le l \le r$ ,

$$egin{aligned} F_{k,l}(x) &= \left| \sum_{i=(k-1)2^{r-l}=l+1}^{k2^{r-l}} c_i f_i(x) 
ight| \qquad (1 \leq k \leq 2^l), \ M_l(x) &= \sup_{1 \leq k \leq 2^l} F_{k,l}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Considering the dyadic development of an integer  $m, 1 \leq m \leq n$ , we easily find that

$$F_n(x) \leq \sum_{l=0}^r M_l(x),$$

and therefore

$$\int_{x} F_{n}^{2}(x) d\mu \leq (r+1) \sum_{l=0}^{r} \int_{x} M_{l}^{2}(x) d\mu,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{X}} M^2_l(x) d\mu &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{2^l} \int_{\mathcal{X}} F^2_{k,l}(x) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \right|^2 d\mu. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} F_n^2(x) d\mu \leq (r+1)^2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \right|^2 d\mu.$$

Since  $(r+1)^2 \leq (3/\log 2)^2 \log^2 n$   $(n \geq 2)$ , our lemma is proved.

2. We are now ready to prove the theorem. First we shall demonstrate the assertion (1).

No. 2]

S. UCHIYAMA

Lemma 4. Put  $n_k = [\exp k^{\alpha}](k=1, 2, \cdots)$ , where  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ , is a constant. Then if  $c > (1+\alpha)/(2\alpha)$  we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{s_{n_k}^{(2)}(x)}{n_k^{1/2} (\log n_k)^c} = 0$$

almost everywhere on X.

Proof. By Lemma 1 we have

$$\int_{x} |s_{n_{k}}^{(2)}(x)|^{2} d\mu = O(n_{k} \log n_{k}),$$

so that

$$\int_{x} \left( \frac{s_{n_{k}}^{(2)}(x)}{n_{k}^{1/2} (\log n_{k})^{c}} \right)^{2} d\mu = O((\log n_{k})^{1-2c}) = O(k^{\omega(1-2c)}),$$

where, by assumption,  $\alpha(1-2c) < -1$ . Hence

and the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{s_{n_k}^{(2)}(x)}{n_k^{1/2} (\log n_k)^c} \right)^2$$

converges almost everywhere on X. The result follows from this at once.

Let h=2 and put again

 $n_k = [\exp k^{\alpha}]$   $(k=1, 2, \cdots),$ 

where  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , will be determined in a moment later. Define  $G_k(x) = \sup_{\substack{n_k < n \le n_{k+1}}} |s_n^{(2)}(x) - s_{n_k}^{(2)}(x)| \qquad (k=1, 2, \cdots).$ 

Since we have  $\int \varphi_i^{(2)}(x) \varphi_j^{(2)}(x) d\mu \ge 0$  for any indices *i*, *j*, Lemma 3 is applicable to  $G_k(x)$ .

We see that  $n_{k+1}-n_k=O(k^{\alpha-1}n_k)=o(n_k)$ ,  $(n_{k+1}^{1/2}-n_k^{1/2})^2=O(k^{2\alpha-2}n_k)$ , so that by Lemma 1,

$$\int_{x} \left| \sum_{\substack{n_k < i \le n_{k+1} \\ i \le n_k \le i}} \varphi_i^{(2)}(x) \right|^2 d\mu = O(k^{2\alpha - 2} n_k \log n_k) + O(n_k).$$

It now follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\int_{x} G_{k}^{2}(x) d\mu = O(k^{2\alpha-2}n_{k}\log^{3}n_{k}) + O(n_{k}\log^{2}n_{k}).$$

Hence if c > 0 is a constant then

$$\int_{x} \left( \frac{G_{k}(x)}{n_{k}^{1/2} (\log n_{k})^{c}} \right)^{2} d\mu = O(k^{(5-2c)\omega-2}) + O(k^{(2-2c)\omega}),$$

since  $\log n_k = k^{\alpha} + o(1)$ . Choose  $\alpha = 2/3$  and suppose c > 7/4. Then  $(5-2c)\alpha - 2 = (2-2c)\alpha < -1$ , and we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{x}\left(\frac{G_{k}(x)}{n_{k}^{1/2}(\log n_{k})^{c}}\right)^{2}d\mu < \infty,$$

from which we deduce, as in the proof of Lemma 4, that

Sums of Random Variables

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{G_k(x)}{n_k^{1/2}(\log n_k)^c}=0$$

almost everywhere on X. Applying Lemma 4 with  $\alpha = 2/3$ , we thus conclude finally that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{s_n^{(2)}(x)}{n^{1/2}(\log n)^c}=0$$

almost everywhere on X, provided that c > 7/4. This proves (1).

The proof of (2) is similar to that of (1), but the argument is somewhat simpler.

Lemma 5. Suppose  $h \ge 3$  and put  $n_k = [e^k]$   $(k=1,2,\cdots)$ . Then if c > 1/2 we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{s_{n_k}^{(h)}(x)}{n_k^{1/2} (\log n_k)^c} = 0$$

almost everywhere on X.

Proof. The result follows easily from Lemma 2.

Now define for  $k=1, 2, \cdots$ 

 $H_{k}(x) = \sup_{n_{k} < n \leq n_{k+1}} |s_{n}^{(h)}(x) - s_{n_{k}}^{(h)}(x)|,$ 

where  $n_k = [e^k]$ . It is clear that Lemma 3 is also applicable to  $H_k(x)$ . By Lemmas 2 and 3 we obtain

$$_{r}H_{k}^{2}(x)d\mu=O(n_{k}\log^{2}n_{k}),$$

and therefore, if c > 0 is a constant then

$$\int_x \left(\frac{H_k(x)}{n^{1/2}_k(\log n_k)^c}\right)^2 d\mu = O(k^{2-2c}).$$

Thus, arguing just as before, we find that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{H_k(x)}{n_k^{1/2}(\log n_k)^c}=0$$

almost everywhere on X, if c > 3/2. This together with Lemma 5 implies (2).

Our proof of the theorem is now complete.

*Remark.* It will be clear from the proof that the denominators in the left-hand side of (1) and (2) may be replaced respectively by  $n^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log n)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ 

and

 $n^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log n)^{\frac{3}{2}}(\log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ 

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , without affecting the results.

No. 2]