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We next discuss the case where the ordinary part of $T(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $d$.

Theorem 52. Let $T(\lambda)$ and $\sigma$ be the same notations as before; let the ordinary part $R(\lambda)$ of $T(\lambda)$ be a polynomial in $\lambda$ of degree $d$; let $c$ be any finite complex number; let $n_{d}(\rho, c)$ denote the number of all the $c$-points, with due count of multiplicity, of $T(\lambda)$ in the domain $\Delta_{\rho}\{\lambda: \rho<|\lambda|<\infty\}$ with $\sigma<\rho<\infty$; let $e_{a}$ denote the coefficient of $\lambda^{d}$ in the expansion of $R(\lambda)$; let

$$
N_{a}(\rho, c)=\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{n_{a}(r, c)}{r} d r \quad(\sigma<\rho<\infty) ;
$$

let

$$
m_{a}(\rho, c)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \frac{1}{\left[T\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right), c\right]} d t(\sigma<\rho<\infty) ;
$$

and let

$$
m_{a}(\infty, c)=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} m_{a}(\rho, c)\left(=\log \sqrt{1+|c|^{2}}\right) .
$$

Then the equality
$N_{a}(\rho, c)+m_{a}(\rho, c)-m_{a}(\infty, c)+\log \left|e_{a}\right|=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \frac{\sqrt{1+\left|T\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right)\right|^{2}}}{\rho^{d}} d t$ holds for every finite value $c$ and every $\rho$ with $\sigma<\rho<\infty$; and both the left and right sides of this equality converge to $\log \left|e_{a}\right|$ as $\rho$ becomes infinite.

Proof. Suppose that $R(\lambda)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{d} e_{\mu} \lambda^{\mu},\left(e_{a} \neq 0\right)$, and consider the function $g(\lambda)$ defined by

$$
g(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda^{a}\left[T\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)-c\right]\left(0<|\lambda| \leqq \frac{1}{\rho}, \sigma<\rho<\infty\right) \\
e_{d}(\lambda=0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $g(\lambda)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{d} e_{\mu} \lambda^{a-\mu}+\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} C_{-\mu} \lambda^{a+\mu}-c \lambda^{a}$ where $C_{-1}, C_{-2}, C_{-3}, \cdots$ are the coefficients stated at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 47, and $g(\lambda)$ is regular in the closed domain $\left\{\lambda: 0 \leqq|\lambda| \leqq \frac{1}{\rho}\right\}$. If we now denote all the zeros, repeated according to the respective orders,
of $g(\lambda)$ in the domain $\left\{\lambda: 0<|\lambda|<\frac{1}{\rho}\right\}$ by $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n(\rho)}$, then all the $c$-points, repeated according to the respective orders, of $T(\lambda)$ in the domain $\{\lambda: \rho<|\lambda|<\infty\}$ are given by $a_{1}^{-1}, \alpha_{2}^{-1}, \cdots, a_{n(\rho)}^{-1}$. By making use of Jensen's theorem for $g(\lambda)$, we have
$\log |g(0)|+\log \frac{1}{\left|a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n(\rho)}\right| \rho^{n(\rho)}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|g\left(\frac{1}{\rho} e^{i t}\right)\right| d t(\sigma<\rho<\infty)$, where it is easily verified that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a}(\rho, c)=\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{n_{d}(r, c)}{r} d r \\
& \quad=\log \frac{\left|a_{1}^{-1} a_{2}^{-1} \cdots a_{n(\rho)}^{-1}\right|}{\rho^{n(\rho)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\log \left|e_{d}\right|+N_{a}(\rho, c)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|\frac{T\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right)-c}{\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right)^{d}}\right| d t \quad(\sigma<\rho<\infty)
$$

and there is no difficulty in showing from this result that the desired equality in the statement of the present theorem holds for every finite value $c$ every $\rho$ with $\sigma<\rho<\infty$. Since, in addition,

$$
\frac{\sqrt{1+\left|T\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right)\right|^{2}}}{\rho^{d}}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^{2 d}}+\left|\frac{T\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right)}{\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right)^{d}}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow\left|e_{d}\right| \quad(\rho \rightarrow \infty),
$$

it is at once obvious that both the left and right sides of that desired equality converge to $\log \left|e_{a}\right|$ as $\rho$ becomes infinite.

The proof of the theorem is thus complete.
Theorem 53. Let $T(\lambda)$ and $\sigma$ be the same notations as before; let the ordinary part of $T(\lambda)$ be a polynomial in $\lambda$ of degree $d$; and let

$$
T_{a}(\rho)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \frac{\sqrt{1+\left|T\left(\rho e^{-i t}\right)\right|^{2}}}{\rho^{a}} d t
$$

Then $T_{d}(\rho)$ is not only a monotone decreasing function of $\rho$ but also a convex function of $\log \rho$ in the interval $\sigma<\rho<\infty$.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 52, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{d}(\rho)= & \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{A} N_{a}(\rho, c) d \omega(c)+\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{A} m_{d}(\rho, c) d \omega(c) \\
& -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Lambda} \log \sqrt{1+|c|^{2}} d \omega(c)+\log \left|e_{a}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{A} m_{d}(\rho, c) d \omega(c)$ is a finite positive cons $\lrcorner$ ant irrespective of $\rho$ and $T$, and so also is $\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{A} \log \sqrt{1+|c|^{2}} d \omega(c)$. Putting

$$
S_{a}(\rho)=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{A} n_{d}(\rho, c) d \omega(c)
$$

we obtain therefore $T_{d}^{\prime}(\rho)=-\frac{S_{d}(\rho)}{\rho}<0$ for every $\rho$ with $\sigma<\rho<\infty$. Since, in addition, $T_{d}(\rho) \rightarrow \log \left|e_{a}\right|(\rho \rightarrow \infty)$, we have

$$
T_{a}(\rho)=\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{S_{a}(\rho)}{\rho} d \rho+\log \left|e_{a}\right|
$$

and $\frac{d^{2} T_{d}(\rho)}{d(\log \rho)^{2}}=-S_{d}^{\prime}(\rho) \rho$, where $S_{a}(\rho)$ is a monotone decreasing function of $\rho$ in the open interval $(\sigma, \infty)$ as will be seen from the definition of $n_{a}(\rho, c)$. Hence $\frac{d^{2} T_{a}(\rho)}{d(\log \rho)^{2}} \geqq 0$ for every $\rho \in(\sigma, \infty)$.

With these results, the theorem has been proved.
We can decide by $T(\lambda)$ itself whether its ordinary part is a constant or a polynomial.

Remark A. A necessary and sufficient condition that the ordinary part $R(\lambda)$ of the function $T(\lambda)$ treated above be a constant $\xi$ (inclusive of 0 ) is that the equality

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=\rho} \frac{T(\lambda)}{\lambda-z} d \lambda=\xi \quad(\sigma<\rho<\infty)
$$

be valid for every $z$ inside the circle $|\lambda|=\rho$, positively oriented [cf. Proc. Japan Acad., 40 (7), 492-497 (1964)].

Remark B. A necessary and sufficient condition that $R(\lambda)$ be a polynomial of degree $d$ is that $\frac{T(\lambda)}{\lambda^{d}}$ tend to a non-zero finite value when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ [cf. Proc. Japan Acad., 40 (8), 654-659 (1964)].

Theorem 54. If, in Theorem 53, for any large positive number $G$ there exist a positive constant $\rho_{G}$ in a bounded open interval $(\sigma, l),(\sigma<l<\infty)$, and a set $A_{\theta\left(\rho_{G}\right)}$, with positive measure $m_{\theta}$, of angles $\theta$ such that the inequality $\left|T\left(\rho_{G} e^{-i \theta}\right)\right|>G$ holds for every $\theta \in A_{\theta\left(\rho_{G}\right)}$ and that $\inf _{G} m_{\theta}>0$, then, for uncountably many complex numbers $\{c\}$ chosen suitably, $T(\lambda)$ has a denumerably infinite number of $c$-points $b_{\mu}^{(c)}(\mu=1,2,3, \cdots)$, repeated according to the respective orders, in the domain $\Delta_{\sigma}\{\lambda: \sigma<|\lambda|<\infty\}$ such that any accumulation point of them lies on the circle $|\lambda|=\sigma$ and that the positive series $\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|b_{\mu}^{(c)}\right|-\sigma\right)$ is divergent.

Proof. Since, as we have already proved in Theorem 44, the maximum modulus $M_{T}(\rho)$ of $T(\lambda)$ on the circle $|\lambda|=\rho$ with $\sigma<\rho<\infty$ becomes infinite as $\rho$ tends to $\sigma$, and since $T(\lambda)$ is regular in the domain $\Delta_{\sigma}$ defined above, there exist for any large $G(>0)$ a positive constant $\rho_{G}$ in $(\sigma, l)$ and a set $A_{\theta\left(\rho_{G}\right)}$, with positive measure $m_{G}$, of angles $\theta$ such that the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \sqrt{1+\left|T\left(\rho_{\theta} e^{-i t}\right)\right|^{2}} d t>\frac{m_{\theta}}{2 \pi} \log \sqrt{1+G^{2}}
$$

holds, however large $G$ may be; and moreover, by hypothesis, there exists some positive constant $K$ such that $m_{G} \geqq K$, no matter how large $G$ may be. As a result, we find that $T_{a}(\rho) \rightarrow \infty(\rho \rightarrow \sigma)$. Applying this result to the equality established at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 53, it is easily showm that

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{A} N_{a}(\rho, c) d \omega(c) \rightarrow \infty \quad(\rho \rightarrow \sigma)
$$

In consequence, there exists at least one finite value $c$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{d}(\rho, c) & =\log \frac{\left|b_{1}^{(c)} b_{2}^{(c)} \cdots b_{n(\rho)}^{(c)}\right|}{\rho^{n(\rho)}} \\
& =\log \prod_{\mu=1}^{n(\rho)}\left(1+\frac{\left|b_{\mu}^{(c)}\right|-\rho}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad(\rho \rightarrow \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{1}^{(c)}, b_{2}^{(c)}, \cdots$, and $b_{n(\rho)}^{(c)}$ denote all the $c$-points, repeated according to the respective orders, of $T(\lambda)$ in the domain $\Delta_{\rho}\{\lambda: \rho<|\lambda|<\infty\}$ with $\sigma<\rho<\infty$. Since, in addition, we can choose a system of open domains $\left\{\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}\right\}_{\mu=1,2,3}, \ldots$ with $b_{\mu+1}^{(c)} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mu+1} \subset \mathfrak{D}_{\mu}$ such that $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}$ does not converge to a point when $\mu \rightarrow \infty$, the statement of the present theorem follows at once from the result just established and the regularity of $T(\lambda)$ in $\Delta_{\sigma}$.

Theorem 55. Even if the ordinary part of $T(\lambda)$ is a constant (inclusive of 0 ), the same result as that stated in Theorem 54 is also valid under the above-mentioned hypothesis concerning $m_{G}$.

Proof. By making use of the same reasoning as that applied to prove Theorem 54 and of Theorems $43,46,47,49$, and 50 , we can easily show the validity of the present theorem.

