

**48. On Propagation of Regularity in Space-variables
for the Solutions of Differential Equations
with Constant Coefficients**

By Hitoshi KUMANO-GO

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 12, 1966)

Introduction. Let $P(D_t, D_x)$ be a differential operator with constant coefficients for which the plane: $t = 0$ is characteristic. In the note [4] K. Shinkai and the author characterized this operator P through the Gevrey class $G(\alpha)$ ($-\infty \leq \alpha < 1$), with respect to space-variables, in which null solutions¹⁾ of $Pu=0$ are able to exist.

In this note we are concerned with the converse problem: 'Is it possible to construct a null solution such that its derivative of some order has the discontinuity with respect to space-variables at some point (t_0, x_0) ($t_0 > 0$)?' Here we give a negative answer for this problem in the sense of Theorem 1. For example, the solutions of the wave equation $(\partial^2/\partial t^2 - \Delta_x)u=0$ have the form $u(t, x)=f(t)+g(x)$. Hence, if a solution of $(\partial^2/\partial t^2 - \Delta_x)u=0$ is analytic in x for negative t , then, necessarily, it is analytic in x for positive t . But, in order to generalize this phenomena, it is necessary to discuss the propagation of regularity, which has been studied by F. John [3], B. Malgrange [5], L. Hörmander [2], and J. Boman [1], with respect to only the space-variables. We shall use L^1 -estimates according to J. Boman. The details will be published in the *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*.

§1. Notations and preliminary lemmas. Let $(t, x)=(t, x_1, \dots, x_\nu)$ be a point in the Euclidean $(1+\nu)$ -space $R^{1+\nu}$, $\xi=(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\nu)$ be a point in the dual space E^ν of R^ν , and $\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu)$ be a real vector whose elements are non-negative integers. We shall use notations:

$$\begin{aligned} (D_t, D_x) &= (D_t, D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_\nu}) = (-i\partial/\partial t, -i\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, -i\partial/\partial x_\nu), \\ |\alpha| &= \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\nu, \alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_\nu!, \quad x \cdot \xi = x_1\xi_1 + \dots + x_\nu\xi_\nu, \\ D_x^\alpha &= D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots D_{x_\nu}^{\alpha_\nu}, \quad \xi^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_\nu^{\alpha_\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

For a function $v(x) \in C_0^\infty(R^\nu)$ we define the Fourier transform $\tilde{v}(\xi)$ by

$$\tilde{v}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^\nu}} \int_{R^\nu} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} v(x) dx$$

1) A C^∞ -solution u of $Pu=0$ is called a null solution, if $u \equiv 0$ for $t \leq 0$ and $u \neq 0$ for $t > 0$.

and for a function $u(t, x) \in C_0(R^{1+\nu})$ define the partial Fourier transform $\tilde{u}(t, \xi)$ by

$$\tilde{u}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^\nu}} \int_{R^\nu} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(t, x) dx.$$

Lemma 1. Let $P(\lambda, \xi)$ be a differential polynomial of the form
 (1)
$$P(\lambda, \xi) = Q_m(\xi)\lambda^m + Q_{m-1}(\xi)\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + Q_0(\xi)$$

$$(m \geq 1, Q_m(\xi) \neq 0).$$

Then, for any real number a, b and positive function $\gamma(\xi)$ we have

(2)
$$\int_{E^\nu} \gamma(\xi)^{a-bt_0} |Q_m(\xi)\tilde{u}(t_0, \xi)| d\xi$$

$$\leq T_0^{m-1} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{E^\nu} \gamma(\xi)^{a-bt} P(D_t, \xi) \tilde{u}(t, \xi) d\xi dt$$

$$(T_0 > 0, t_0 \in (0, T_0), u \in C_0^\infty \text{ in } (0, T_0) \times R^\nu).$$

Proof. For a function $f(t) \in C_0^\infty$ in $(0, T_0)$, a complex number λ and real numbers μ, η , we set $g(t) = e^{\mu - i\lambda t} f(t)$, then $D_t g(t) = e^{\mu - i\lambda t} (D_t - \lambda) f(t)$. Then, we have

$$e^{\mu - \eta t_0 + (\Im_m \lambda + \eta)t_0} |f(t_0)| = |g(t_0)|$$

$$\leq \text{Min} \left\{ \int_0^{t_0} |D_t g(t)| dt, \int_0^{t_0} |D_t g(t)| dt \right\}$$

$$\leq \text{Min} \left\{ \int_0^{t_0} e^{\mu - \eta t + (\Im_m \lambda + \eta)t} |(D_t - \lambda) f(t)| dt, \int_{t_0}^{x_0} e^{\mu - \eta t + (\Im_m \lambda + \eta)t} |(D_t - \lambda) f(t)| dt \right\},$$

where $\Im_m \lambda$ denotes the imaginary part of λ .

Considering two cases $(\Im_m \lambda + \eta) \geq 0$ and $(\Im_m \lambda + \eta) < 0$, we have

(3)
$$e^{\mu - \eta t_0} |f(t_0)| \leq \int_0^{x_0} e^{\mu - \eta t} |(D_t - \lambda) f(t)| dt.$$

If we write

$$P(D_t, \xi) \tilde{u}(t, \xi) = Q_m(\xi) \prod_{j=1}^m (D_t - \lambda_j(\xi)) \tilde{u}(t, \xi)$$

and set $\mu = a \log \gamma(\xi)$ and $\eta = b \log \gamma(\xi)$, we get (2) by the repeated application of (3).

Lemma 2. Let $Q(\xi)$ be a differential polynomial (of order $s \geq 0$) with the principal part $Q^{(0)}(\xi)$, and let E be a bounded domain in R^ν with the diameter $d = d(E)$. Then we have

(4)
$$\int_{E^\nu} |\tilde{v}(\xi)| d\xi \leq A_{Q,d} \int_{E^\nu} |Q(\xi) \tilde{v}(\xi)| d\xi, v \in C_0^\infty(E)$$

where $A_{Q,d} = \left(\frac{4d}{\pi}\right)^s (\text{Max}_{|\xi|=1} Q^{(0)}(\xi))^{-1}$.

Proof. After the orthogonal transformation we may assume

$$Q(\xi) = q_s \xi_1^s + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} q_j(\xi) \xi_1^j$$

where q_s is a complex constant such that $|q_s| = \text{Max}_{|\xi|=1} |Q^{(0)}(\xi)|$ and $q_j(\xi) (0 \leq j \leq s-1)$ are polynomials in $\tilde{\xi} = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_\nu)$. Let $h(x_1)$ be a function of class C_0^∞ in $(r, r+d)$ for some real r . Then, for any complex number τ , we have

$$\int_{E^1} |(\xi_1 - \tau) \tilde{h}(\xi_1)| d\xi_1 \geq R \int_{E^1} |\tilde{h}(\xi_1)| d\xi_1 - R \int_{|\xi_1 - \tau| \leq R} |\tilde{h}(\xi_1)| d\xi_1$$

where

$$\tilde{h}(\xi_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{E^1} e^{-i x_1 \xi_1} h(x_1) dx_1.$$

On the other hand

$$|\tilde{h}(\xi_1)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{E^1} |h(x_1)| dx_1 \leq \frac{d}{2\pi} \int_{E^1} |\tilde{h}(\xi_1)| d\xi_1.$$

Hence, setting $R = \pi/(2d)$, we have

$$\int_{E^1} |(\xi_1 - \tau) \tilde{h}(\xi_1)| d\xi_1 \geq \frac{\pi}{4d} \int_{E^1} |\tilde{h}(\xi_1)| d\xi_1,$$

so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E^\nu} |Q(\xi) \tilde{v}(\xi)| d\xi &= \int_{E^{\nu-1}} \left\{ \int_{E^1} |q_s \prod_{j=1}^s (\xi_1 - \tau_j(\xi)) \tilde{v}(\xi_1, \xi)| d\xi_1 \right\} d\xi \\ &\geq |q_s| \left(\frac{\pi}{4d} \right)^s \int_{E^\nu} |\tilde{v}(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{E} be a bounded domain in R^ν . Then, for $k = -(\nu+1), \dots, 0, 1, \dots$, we have*

$$(5) \quad \int_{E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^k |\tilde{v}(\xi)| d\xi \leq A_{\nu, \mathcal{E}} 2^k \text{Max}_{|\beta| \leq k + \nu + 1} |D_x^\beta v|, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $A_{\nu, \mathcal{E}} = 2(2/\pi)^{\nu/2} \text{meas}(\mathcal{E}) \int_{E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^{-(\nu+1)} d\xi$ and $\text{meas}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the measure of \mathcal{E} .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^k |\tilde{v}(\xi)| d\xi &\leq \left(\int_{E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^{-(\nu+1)} d\xi \right) \sup_{\xi \in E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^{k + \nu + 1} |\tilde{v}(\xi)|, \\ (1 + |\xi|)^{k + \nu + 1} |\tilde{v}(\xi)| &\leq 2^{k + \nu + 1} \text{Max}_{|\beta| \leq k + \nu + 1} |\xi^\beta \tilde{v}(\xi)| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\xi^\beta \tilde{v}(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^\nu} \int_{E^\nu} |D_x^\beta v(x)| dx.$$

Hence, we get easily (5). Q.E.D.

§ 2. Propagation of regularity. Let \mathcal{E} be a bounded domain in R^ν and set $\Omega_{T_0} = (0, T_0) \times \mathcal{E}$ ($T_0 > 0$).

Theorem 1. *Let $u(t, x)$ be a classical solution of $P(D_t, D_x)u(t, x) = f(t, x)$ for $f \in C(\Omega_{T_0})$.*

Assume that f is infinitely differentiable in x for any fixed $t \in (0, T_0)$ and the mapping

$$(6) \quad f: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow f(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})$$

is continuous,²⁾ furthermore assume that, for some constant $\delta > 0$, $D_x^j u$ ($j = 0, \dots, m - 1$) are infinitely differentiable function of x in

2) We call the mapping $f: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow f(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})$ is continuous, if, for any fixed compact set K of \mathcal{E} , α and $t_0 \in (0, T_0)$, $D_x^\alpha f(t, x) \rightarrow D_x^\alpha f(t_0, x)$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ uniformly on K .

$((0, \delta) \times \mathcal{E}) \cup ((0, T_0) \times \mathcal{E}_\delta)^3$ and the mappings

$$(7) \quad D^j u: \begin{cases} (0, \delta) \ni t \rightarrow D^j u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}) \\ (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow D^j u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}_\delta) \end{cases} \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m-1)$$

are continuous.

Then, $D^j u(t, x)$ ($j=0, 1, \dots, m$) are infinitely differentiable functions of x in Ω_{T_0} and the mappings

$$(8) \quad D^j u: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow D^j u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}) \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m)$$

are continuous.

Proof. We fix T, T', T'' , and δ' such that $0 < T < T' < T'' < T_0$ and $0 < \delta' < \delta$. Take a function $\Psi(t, x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{T_0})$ such that $\Psi \equiv 1$ in $(\delta', T'') \times (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_{\delta'})$ where $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_{\delta'} = \{x; x \in \mathcal{E}, x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\delta'}\}$.

Set $U = \Psi u$, then

$$(9) \quad P(D_t, D_x)U = \Psi f + f' \equiv F,$$

where

$$f' = \sum_{j+|\alpha| \neq 0} \frac{1}{j! \alpha!} D_t^j D_x^\alpha \Psi \cdot P^{(j, \alpha)}(D_t, D_x)u \left(P^{(j, \alpha)}(\lambda, \xi) = \frac{\partial^{j+|\alpha|}}{\partial \lambda^j \partial \xi^\alpha} P(\lambda, \xi) \right).$$

Since $f' \equiv 0$ in $(\delta', T'') \times (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_{\delta'})$, we see, by the assumption of Theorem 1, that $F \in C_0(\Omega_{T_0})$ and a infinitely differentiable function of x in $\Omega_{T''} = (0, T'') \times \mathcal{E}$, and that, for any α and $t_0 \in (0, T'')$,

$$(10) \quad D_x^\alpha F(t, x) \rightarrow D_x^\alpha F(t_0, x) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_0$$

uniformly in \mathcal{E} . Set $a = (n + \nu + 1)T'' / (T'' - T)$, $b = (n + \nu + 1) / (T'' - T)$.

Then we have

$$(11) \quad a - bt \leq a \text{ in } (0, T_0), \geq n \text{ in } (0, T), \leq -(\nu + 1) \text{ in } (T', T_0).$$

Approximating U by $U_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{T_0})$ and applying (2) to U_n by setting $\gamma(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|)$, we get by (11)

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^\alpha |Q_m(\xi) \tilde{U}(t_0, \xi)| d\xi \\ & \leq T_0^{m-1} \left\{ \int_0^{T''} \int_{E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^\alpha |\tilde{F}(t, \xi)| d\xi dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{T'}^{T_0} \int_{E^\nu} (1 + |\xi|)^{-(\nu+1)} |\tilde{F}(t, \xi)| d\xi dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for every $t_0 \in (0, T)$.

By Lemma 2 and 3 we have for $|\alpha| = n$

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} |D_x^\alpha U(t_0, x)| & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^\nu} \int_{E^\nu} |\widehat{D}_x^\alpha U(t, \xi)| d\xi \\ & \leq T_0^{m-1} A_{Q_m, \nu, \mathcal{E}} \left\{ 2^\alpha \int_0^{T''} \text{Max}_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{E} \\ |\beta| \leq n + \nu + 1}} |D_x^\beta F(t, x)| dt + 2^{-(\nu+1)} \int_{T'}^{T_0} \text{Max}_{x \in \mathcal{E}} |F(t, x)| dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $t_0 \in (0, T)$. Since we can take n arbitrarily large, we get, in $(\delta', T) \times (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_{\delta'})$, $u(t_0, x) = U(t_0, x)$ is a infinitely differentiable function of x . Letting $T \rightarrow T_0$, we get by (7) that $u(t, x)$ is a infinitely

3) $\mathcal{E}_\delta = \{x \in \mathcal{E}; \text{dis}(x, \partial \mathcal{E}) < \delta\}$ where $\text{dis}(x, \partial \mathcal{E})$ means the distance from x to the boundary $\partial \mathcal{E}$ of \mathcal{E} .

differentiable function of x in

$$\Omega_{\tau_0} = ((0, \delta) \times \mathcal{E}) \cup ((0, T_0) \times \mathcal{E}_\delta) \cup ((0, T_0) \times (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_\delta)).$$

In order to prove the continuity of the mappings (8), we use (13) by replacing $U(t)$ by $(U(t+h) - U(t))$. Then $P(U(t+h) - U(t)) = (F(t+h) - F(t))$. By (10) we see that (13) has meaning for $h < T'' - T'$, so that we have

$$D_x^\alpha u(t_0 + h) \rightarrow D_x^\alpha u(t_0) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in $(\delta', T) \times (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_{\delta'})$ for any fixed α . Hence, letting $T \rightarrow T_0$ we get the continuity of the mapping $u: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})$. Next, setting $u_1 = D_t u$, we have $P_1(D_t, D_x)u_1 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m Q_j(D_x)D_t^{j-1}u_1 = (f - Q_0(D_x)u) \equiv f_1$. Then u_1 and f_1 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, so that the mapping

$$D_t u = u_1: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow u_1(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})$$

is continuous, and so on we get the continuity of the mappings

$$D_t^j u: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow D_t^j u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}) \quad (j=2, \dots, m-1).$$

Finally we write $Q_m(D_x)D_t^m u = f - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} Q_j(D_x)D_t^j u$, and by using Lemma 2 and 3 we get the continuity of the mapping

$$D_t^m u: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow D_t^m u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}).$$

This completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

Corollary. Let $u(t, x)$ be a classical solutions of $P(D_t, D_x)u(t, x) = f(t, x)$ in Ω_{τ_0} . Assume that $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_{\tau_0})$ and that, for some constant $\delta > 0$, $u \in C^\infty$ in $((0, \delta) \times \mathcal{E}) \cup ((0, T_0) \times \mathcal{E}_\delta)$. Then, we have $u \in C^\infty(\Omega_{\tau_0})$.

Proof. It is easy to see that f and u satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, so that the mappings

$$(14) \quad D_t^j u: (0, T) \ni t \rightarrow D_t^j u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}) \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m)$$

are continuous. Setting $u_m = D_t^m u$, we can write $Q_m(D_x)u_m = f - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} Q_j(D_x)D_t^j u \equiv F$ and for any β

$$D_x^\beta Q(D_x)(u_m(t+h) - u_m(t))/h = i \int_0^1 D_x^\beta D_t F(t + \theta h, x) d\theta.$$

Hence by Lemma 2 and 3 we get the existence of $D_t^{m+1} D_x^\alpha u = D_x^\alpha D_t u_m$ in Ω_{τ_0} , and the continuity of the mapping

$$D_t^{m+1} u: (0, T_0) \ni t \rightarrow D_t^{m+1} u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Writing $Q_m(D_x)D_t^{l+m} u = D_t^l f - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} Q_j(D_x)D_t^{l+j} u$, we get $u \in C^\infty(\Omega_{\tau_0})$ by repeated applications of the above discussion for $j=1, 2, \dots$. Q.E.D.

About the propagation of analyticity, using the method of J. Boman [1] and playing the same discussion as the proof of Theorem 1, we get the following without the proof.

Theorem 2. Let $u(t, x)$ be a classical solution of $P(D_t, D_x)u(t, x) = f(t, x)$ in Ω_{τ_0} . Assume f and u satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, and furthermore we assume that, for any T ($0 < T < T_0$), there exist constants M_T and C_T such that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x^\alpha f| &\leq M_T C_T^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|} \quad \text{in } \Omega_T = (0, T) \times E, \\ |D_t^j u| &\leq M_T C_T^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|} \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times E_\delta \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m-1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|D_t^j u| \leq M C^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|} \quad \text{in } (0, \delta) \times E \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m-1)$$

for some constants M, C .

Then, for any T ($0 < T < T_0$), there exist constants M'_T and C'_T such that

$$|D_t^j D_x^\alpha u| \leq M'_T C'_T^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|} \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times E \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m).$$

Corollary. Let $u(t, x)$ be a classical solution of $P(D_t, D_x)u(t, x) = f(t, x)$ in Ω_{T_0} . Assume that f is analytic in Ω_{T_0} and that, for some constant $\delta > 0$, u is analytic in $((0, \delta) \times E) \cup ((0, T_0) \times E_\delta)$. Then, u is analytic in Ω_{T_0} .

References

- [1] J. Boman: On the propagation of analyticity of solutions of differential equations with constant coefficients. *Ark. Mat.*, **5**, 271-279 (1964).
- [2] L. Hörmander: *Linear Partial Differential Operators*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1963).
- [3] F. John: Continuous dependence on data for solutions of partial differential equations with prescribed bound. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **13**, 551-585 (1960).
- [4] H. Kumano-go and K. Shinkai: The characterization of differential operators with respect to characteristic Cauchy problem. *Osaka J. Math.*, (1966) (to appear).
- [5] B. Malgrange: Sur la propagation de la régularité des solutions des équations à coefficients constants. *Bull. Math. Soc. Math. Phys. R. P. Roumaine*, **3** (53), 433-440 (1959).