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1. Let $D$ be a domain in the $z$-plane, $\Gamma$ its boundary, $E$ a totally disconnected compact set on $\Gamma$ and $z_{0}$ a point of $E$ such that $U\left(z_{0}\right) \cap(\Gamma-E) \neq \varnothing$ for any neighborhood $U\left(z_{0}\right)$ of $z_{0}$. We consider a normal exhaustion $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of the complementary domain $F$ of $E$ with respect to the extended $z$-plane and the graph $0<u<R, 0<v<2 \pi$ associated with this exhaustion in Noshiro's sense [3], where $R$ is the length of this graph and may be infinite. The niveau curve $u(z)=r(0<r<R)$ on $F$ consists of a finite number of closed analytic curves $\gamma_{r}^{(i)}(i=1,2, \cdots, m(r))$ and we set

$$
\Lambda(r)=\max _{1 \leq i \leqq m(r)} \int_{\gamma_{r}^{(i)}} d v .
$$

Now suppose that there exists an exhaustion $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ with the graph satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow R}(R-r) \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d r}{\Lambda(r)}=\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \exp \left(2 \pi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d r}{\Lambda(r)}\right) d r$ diverges, so that the complementary domain $F$ of $E$ belongs to the class $\mathrm{O}_{A B}^{0}$ (see Kuroda [1]), i.e., $E$ belongs to the class $N_{\mathfrak{B}}^{0}$ in the sense of Noshiro [4]. Therefore, for any single-valued meromorphic function $w=f(z)$ in $D$, the set $\Omega=C_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)-C_{\Gamma-E}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ is empty or open and each value $\alpha$ belonging to $\Omega-R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ is an asymptotic value of $w=f(z)$ at $z_{0}$ or there is a sequence of points $\zeta_{n} \in E$ tending to $z_{0}$ such that $\alpha$ is an asymptotic value of $f(z)$ at each $\zeta_{n}$. Further $\Omega-R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ is an at most countable union of sets of the class $N_{B}$. (These three facts have been proved by Noshiro in his recent paper [4].) We shall restrict our consideration to the case where $E$ is contained in a single boundary component $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\Gamma$. Then we have

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\Omega$ is not empty. If $E$ is contained in a single boundary component $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\Gamma$ and there exists an exhaustion $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ with the graph satisfying (1), then $w=f(z)$ takes on every value, with two possible exceptions, belonging to any component $\Omega_{n}$ of $\Omega$, infinitely often in the intersection of any neighborhood of $z_{0}$ and $D$.

In the special case where $D$ is simply connected, we have
Theorem 2. Suppose that $D$ is simply connected and $w=f(z)$ is regular in the intersection of some neighborhood of $z_{0}$ and $D$. Then, under the same assumptions as in Theorem $1, w=f(z)$ takes on every finite value, with one possible exception, belonging to any component $\Omega_{n}$ of $\Omega$ infinitely often in the intersection of any neighborhood of $z_{0}$ and $D$.

Remark 1. If $E$ is of logarithmic capacity zero, then there exists an exhaustion $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ with the graph, its length being infinite. Hence the condition (1) is satisfied and we see that Theorems 1 and 2 are extensions of Noshiro's theorem [2].
2. We assume that $E$ contains at least two points. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that an exceptional value $w_{0}$ in $\Omega_{n}$, if exists, is finite. Inside $\Omega_{n}$ we draw a simple closed analytic curve $C$ which does not pass through the point at infinity and encloses $w_{0}$ and whose interior consists of only interior points of $\Omega_{n}$. We select a positive number $\eta$ less than the diameter of $\Gamma_{0}$ such that $f(z) \neq w_{0}$ in the common part of $D$ and $(K):\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\eta$ and the closure $M_{\eta}$ of the union $\cup C_{D}(f, \zeta)$ for all $\zeta$ belonging to the intersection of $\Gamma-E$ with $(\bar{K})$ lies outside $C$. We draw in $(K)$ a simple closed analytic curve $\gamma$ which encloses $z_{0}$ and does not pass through any point of $E$. Since $w_{0}$ is either an asymptotic value of $w=f(z)$ at $z_{0}$ or there exists a sequence $z_{n}^{\prime} \in E$ tending to $z_{0}$ such that $w_{0}$ is an asymptotic value at each $z_{n}^{\prime}$, it is possible to find a point $z_{0}^{\prime}$ (may be $z_{0}$ ) belonging to $E \cap(\gamma),(\gamma)$ being the interior of $\gamma$, such that $w_{0}$ is an asymptotic value of $w=f(z)$ at $z_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $\Lambda$ be the asymptotic path with the asymptotic value $w_{0}$ at $z_{0}^{\prime}$. We may assume that the image of $\Lambda$ under $w=f(z)$ is a curve lying completely inside $C$. Considering the open set of points $z$ in the intersection of $D$ and ( $\gamma$ ) such that $w=f(z)$ lies inside $C$, we denote by $\Delta$ its component containing the path $\Lambda$. As is easily seen, the boundary of $\Delta$ consists of a finite number of arcs on $\gamma$, at most a countable number of analytic curves (relative boundary) inside $D \cap(\gamma)$, and a closed subset $E_{0}$ of $E$.

Let $r_{0}$ be a fixed positive number such that for $r_{0} \leq r<R$ all the level curve $\gamma_{r}$ does not intersect $\gamma$ and does the asymptotic path $\Lambda$. We take the component $\gamma_{r}^{0}$ of $\gamma_{r}$ (one of $\gamma_{r}^{(i)}(i=1,2, \cdots, m(r))$ enclosing $z_{0}^{\prime}$ and denote $\theta_{r}^{0}$ the common part of $\gamma_{r}^{0}$ and the domain $\Delta ; \Theta_{r}^{0}$ consists of only a finite number of cross-cuts because we have taken $\eta$ less than the diameter of $\Gamma_{0}$. Denote by $\Delta(r)$ the common part of $\Delta$ and the exterior of $\gamma_{r}$, by $A(r)$ the area of the Riemannian image of the open set $\Delta(r)$ under the function $w=f(z)$ and by $L^{\circ}(r)$ the total length of the image of $\theta_{r}^{\circ}$. Then, using the local parameter
$\zeta=u+i v$, we have

$$
L^{0}(r)=\int_{\theta_{r}^{0}}\left|f^{\prime}\right| d v .
$$

Denote by $\delta>0$ the distance of $C$ from the image of $\Lambda$. Then, a geometric consideration gives $L^{0}(r) \geqq 2 \delta$ for $r_{0} \leq r<R$ and by Schwarzś inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \delta^{2} \leqq L^{0}(r)^{2} \leqq \Lambda(r) \int_{\theta_{r}^{0}}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d v \leqq \Lambda(r) \int_{u=r}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d v \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u=r}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d v=\frac{d A(r)}{d r} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) and (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \delta^{2} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d r}{\Lambda(r)} \leq A(r)-A(0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that our condition (1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow R} A(r)=\infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we shall prove that the regularly exhaustibility condition in Ahlfors' sense is satisfied. Denoting by $L(r)$ the total length of the image of $\Theta_{r}$, the common part of $\gamma_{r}$ and $\Delta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(r)^{2} \leqq 2 \pi \frac{d A(r)}{d r} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, contrary, suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow R} L(r) / A(r) \geq \sigma>0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (6) and (7) we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \pi}(R-r)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{r}^{R} d r \leq \int_{r}^{R} \frac{d A(r)}{A(r)^{2}}=\frac{1}{A(r)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $A(R)=\infty$ by (5). Using (4), we have thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \sigma^{2} \delta^{2}}{\pi}(R-r) \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d r}{\Lambda(r)} \leqq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts our condition (1) and the regularly exhaustibility condition must hold.
3. Now it is easy to prove our theorem. Indeed, we need only to follow Noshiro's arguments [2]. For completeness, we shall give proofs in the below. Because of Noshiro's theorem, it is enough for us to prove the theorem under the condition that $E$ contains at least two points.

Proof of Theorem 1. Contrary to our assertion, we suppose that there are three exceptional values $w_{0}, w_{1}$, and $w_{2}$ in $\Omega_{n}$, where it does not bring any loss of generality if we assume these three values are finite. Inside $\Omega_{n}$ we draw a simple closed analytic curve $C$ which encloses $w_{0}, w_{1}$ and passes through $w_{2}$ but not through the point at infinity and whose interior consists of only interior points
of $\Omega_{n}$. We select a positive number $\eta$ less than the diameter of $\Gamma_{0}$ such that $f(z) \neq w_{0}, w_{1}$, and $w_{2}$ in the common part of $D$ and $(K):\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\eta$ and the closure $M_{\eta}$ lies outside $C$. We determine $\gamma, \Lambda$, and $\Delta$ by the same way as in § 2 and for them we take $r_{0}$. We shall show that $\Delta$ is simply-connected. Note that the boundary of $\Delta$ does not contain any closed analytic curve, since any analytic curve in the boundary of $\Delta$ is transformed by $w=f(z)$ into a curve lying on the simple closed curve $C$ passing through the exceptional value $w_{2}$. Further, the boundary of the bounded domain $\Delta$ consists of a single continuum, since $E$ is contained in a single component $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\Gamma$. Thus it is concluded that $\Delta$ is simply connected. Now it is clear that the open set $\Delta(r), r_{0} \leq r<R$, consists of simply connected components, because $\Theta_{r}$ does not contain any loop-cut. We denote these components by $\Delta^{(i)}(r)(i=1,2, \cdots, p(r))$. Denote by $\Phi^{(i)}(r)$ the Riemannian image of $\Delta^{(i)}(r)$ under $w=f(z)(i=1,2, \cdots, p(r))$. If we denote by $\Phi_{0}$ the domain obtained by excluding the two points $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ from the interior of $C$, then, by hypothesis, $\Phi^{(i)}(r)$ is a finite covering surface of the base surface $\Phi_{0}(i=1,2, \cdots, p(r))$. By Ahlfors' principal theorem on covering surfaces, we have
(10) $\quad S^{(i)}(r) \leqq h L^{(i)}(r) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, p(r))$,
where $S^{(i)}(r)$ denotes the average number of sheets of $\Phi^{(i)}(r)$, i.e., $S^{(i)}(r)$ denotes the ratio between the area of $\Phi^{(i)}(r)$ and the area of $\Phi_{0}, L^{(i)}(r)$ the length of the boundary of $\Phi^{(i)}(r)$ relative to $\Phi_{0}$, and $h$ is a constant dependent only upon $\Phi_{0}$. From (10)
that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{p(r)} S^{(i)}(r) \leqq h \sum_{i=1}^{p(r)} L^{(i)}(r) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{0}$ denotes the total length of the image of the arcs of $\gamma$ included in the boundary of $\Delta$. Accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow R} \frac{L(r)}{S(r)} \geqq \frac{1}{h}>0, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

while we have showed in $\S 2$ that the regularly exhaustibility condition holds. Contradiction. Our theorem must be true.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that there are two finite exceptional values $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ within $\Omega_{n}$, and let $C$ be any simple closed analytic curve in $\Omega_{n}$, which surrounds $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ and whose interior consists of only interior points of $\Omega_{n}$. Let $\Delta$ be the domain defined in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then, we can easily see that $\Delta$ is also simply connected, for if $\Delta$ were not simply connected, the boundary of $\Delta$ would contain at least one closed analytic contour $q$ such that $q$ is a loop-cut of $D$. Hence $w=f(z)$ would take inside $q$ a value lying outside the simple closed curve
$C$, while $w=f(z)$ is regular both inside and on $q$, and the image of $q$ by $w=f(z)$ would lie on $C$. This is a contradition. Repeating the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, we complete the proof.
4. In this section we shall give an example of $E$ satisfying the condition (1) by means of Cantor sets. We prove.

Theorem 3. Let $E$ be a Cantor set on the interval $I_{0}:[-1 / 2$, $1 / 2]$ on the real axis of the $z$-plane with successive ratios $\xi_{n}, 0<\xi_{n}=2 l_{n}<2 / 3$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \xi_{p}^{-1}}{2^{p}}\right)\left(\sum_{p=1}^{n} \log \xi_{p}^{-1}\right)=\infty, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists an exhaustion $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of the complementary domain $F$ of $E$, the graph associated with which satisfies the condition (1).

Proof. Defining the Cantor set $E$, we repeat successively to exclude an open segment from the center of another segment and there remain $2^{n}$ segments of equal length $\prod_{p=1}^{n} l_{p}$ after we repeat $n$ times, beginning with the interval $I_{0}$. We denote by $I_{n, k}(n=1,2, \cdots$; $k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}$ ) these segments and by $C_{n, k}(n=1,2, \cdots ; k=1$, $2, \cdots, 2^{n}$ ) the circles $\left|z-z_{n, k}\right|=\left(\prod_{p=1}^{n-1} l_{p}\right)\left(1-l_{n}\right) / 2$, where $z_{n, k}$ are the middle points of $I_{n, k}$. Supposing that $C_{n, k}$ encloses $C_{n+1,: k-1}$ and $C_{n+1}, k$, we see that these two circles touch outside each other, and denote by $S_{n, k}\left(n=1,2, \cdots ; k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}\right)$ the ring domains bounded by $C_{n, k}$ and $C_{n+1, k-1} \cup C_{n+1, k}$. The harmonic modulus $\mu_{n}$ of $S_{n, k}$ is greater than $\log \left(2 \xi_{n}^{-1} / 3\right)$. We define an exhaustion $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of $F$ as follows. The outside of the circle $|z|=2$ is taken as $F_{0}$ and the common part of the outsides of all the $C_{n, k}\left(k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}\right)$ is taken as $F_{n}$. Then, for each $n$, the open set $F_{n+1}-\bar{F}_{n}$ consists of ring domains $S_{n, k}\left(k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}\right)$, so that its harmonic modulus $\sigma_{n}$ is equal to $\mu_{n} / 2^{n}$. Hence the length $R$ of the graph associated with this $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ is

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{p}=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{p}}{2^{p}}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}=\mu_{0}$ is the harmonic modulus of the ring domain $F_{1}-\bar{F}_{0}$. It is easily seen that

$$
\Lambda(r)=\frac{2 \pi}{2^{n}} \quad \text { if } \quad \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sigma_{p}<r \leqq \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \sigma_{p} .
$$

Hence, if $r=\sum_{p=0}^{n} \sigma_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R-r=\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{p}}{2^{p}} \geqq \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \xi_{p}^{-1}}{2^{p}}+\frac{\log (2 / 3)}{2^{n}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{d r}{\Lambda(r)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{p=0}^{n} 2^{p} \sigma_{p}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{p=0}^{n} \mu_{p}>\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \log \xi_{p}^{-1}+\frac{n}{2 \pi} \log (2 / 3) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it is enough for us to show that the condition (1) holds
when $R<\infty$. Then $(\log (2 / 3))^{2} n / 2^{n+1} \pi \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\left|(\log (2 / 3))\left(1 / 2^{n+1} \pi\right) \sum_{p=1}^{n} \log \xi_{p}^{-1}\right| \leqq|\log (2 / 3)| R / 2 \pi=O(1)
$$

Further we see from (13) that $n / \sum_{p=1}^{n} \log \xi_{p}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, from (14) and (15),

$$
(R-r) \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d r}{\Lambda(r)} \geqq\left(\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \xi_{p}^{-1}}{2^{p}}\right)\left(\sum_{p=1}^{n} \log \xi_{p}^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}(1-o(1))\right)+O(1)
$$

so that, by making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the condition (1) holds.
Example. If successive ratios $\xi_{n}$ satisfy
(16) $\quad \xi_{n+1}=O\left(\xi_{n}^{\lambda}\right)$ with $\lambda>\sqrt{2}$ and $n=1,2, \cdots$, then they satisfy (13).

Remark 2. It is well-known that a Cantor set $E$ is of logarithmic capacity zero if and only if

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \xi_{p}^{-1}}{2^{p}}=\infty
$$

Hence we see that there exist ones of positive logarithmic capacity among Cantor sets satisfying (16) for $\lambda, 2>\lambda>\sqrt{2}$.
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