## 79. Generalizations of M-spaces. II

By Takesi ISIWATA

## (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., May 12, 1969)

In the previous paper [4] we obtained a characterization of M'-spaces as a generalization of M-spaces and Morita's paracompactification of M'-spaces. In this paper we shall give necessary and sufficient conditions for an M'-space to be M-space and show that the product space of M'-spaces need not be an M'-space and that the property of being M'-space is not necessarily invariant under a perfect mapping (see [2] or [4] for terminologies and notations).

1. Relation between M'. and M-spaces.

A space X is a *cb-space* (resp. *weak cb-space*) if given a decreasing sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of closed sets (resp. regular-closed sets) of X with empty intersection, there exists a sequence  $\{Z_n\}$  of zero sets with empty intersection such that  $F_n \subset Z_n$  for each n where a subset F is regular-closed if cl (int F)=F.

Lemma 1.1. The following results has been obtained in ([5], [6]).

1) X is a cb-space if and only if X is both countably paracompact and week cb.

2) For a pseudocompact space X the followings are equivalent:
i) X is a cb-space, ii) X is countably compact and iii) X is countably paracompact.

3) A countably compact space is a cb-space.

4) A pseudocompact space is a weak cb-space.

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 1.2.** If  $\{U_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of open sets of X such that  $\cap \overline{U}_n = \emptyset$ , then

1) there exists a locally finite discrete collection  $\{V_n\}$  of open sets of X such that  $\bar{V}_n \subset U_n$  and  $\bar{V}_n \cap \bar{V}_m = \emptyset$   $(n \neq m)$ ,

2) there exists a non-negative continuous function f on X such that f=0 on  $X-\cup V_n$ ,  $0 \le f \le n$  on  $V_n$  and  $f(x_n)=n$  for some point  $x_n$  of  $V_n$ , and

3)  $\{Z_n; Z_n = \{x; f(x) \ge n\}\}$  is a decreasing sequence of zero sets of X with empty intersection.

Theorem 1.3. An M'-space is a weak cb-space.

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be an SZ-mapping from an M'-space X onto a metric space Y and  $\{\mathfrak{B}_i; i \in N\}$  be a normal sequence of open covering of Y such that  $\{\mathrm{St}(y, \mathfrak{B}_i); i \in N\}$  is a basis of neighborhoods at each point y

of Y. Let us put  $\mathfrak{U}_i = \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{B}_i$   $(i \in N)$ . Then  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i ; i \in N\}$  satisfies the condition  $(\mathbf{M}')$  (cf. Theorem 6.1 in [7]). Now suppose that X is not weak cb, then there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{F_i\}$  of regular-closed sets of X with empty intersection such that any sequence  $\{Z_i\}$  of zero sets of X with  $F_i \subset Z_i$  has a non-empty intersection. Since  $\overline{\varphi(F_i)}$  is a zero set of Y, so is  $\varphi^{-1}\overline{\varphi(F_i)}$ .  $F_i \subset \varphi^{-1}\overline{\varphi(F_i)}$  and there is a point  $x_0$  such that  $x_0 \in \cap \varphi^{-1}\overline{\varphi(F_i)}$  by the assumption.  $y_0 = \varphi(x_0) \in \overline{\varphi(F_i)}$  and  $\mathrm{St}(y_0, \mathfrak{B}_i) \cap \varphi(F_i) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $U_i = \mathrm{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i) \cap \mathrm{int} F_i \neq \emptyset$  because each  $F_i$  is regular-closed. Since  $\cap F_i = \emptyset$ , we have  $\cap \overline{U}_i = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2 there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{Z_i\}$  of zero sets such that  $Z_i \subset \bigcup\{V_m; m \geq i\} \subset \mathrm{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i)$  and  $\bigcap Z_i = \emptyset$ . On the other hand  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i; i \in N\}$  satisfies the condition  $(\mathbf{M}')$  and we have  $\bigcap Z_i \neq \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction, that is, X is a weak cb-space.

**Lemma 1.4.** If X is countably paracompact and F is a relatively pseudocompact closed subset of X, then F is countably compact.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\{x_n; n \in N\}$  is a sequence of points of F which has no accumulation points.  $A_n = \{x_m; m \ge n\}$  is closed and  $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset$ . By the countable paracompactness there is a decreasing sequence  $\{U_n\}$  of open sets such that  $\bigcap \overline{U}_n = \emptyset$  and  $x_n \in A_n \subset U_n$ . Using (3) of Lemma 3.2 there exists a continuous function f on X such that  $f(x_n) = n$  which contradicts the relatively pseudocompactness of F. Thus F must be countably compact.

Since an almost realcompact weak cb-space is realcompact (Theorem 1.2 in [1]), we have

Corollary 1.5. If an M'-space is almost realcompact, then it is realcompact.

From Theorem 1.4, Corollary 1.5 and Corollary 1.3 in [4], it is easy to see that the following theorem is a generalization of (2) of Lemma 1.1.

Theorem 1.6. If X is an M'-space, then the followings are equivalent:

- 1) X is an M-space.
- 2) X is a cb-space.

3) X is countably paracompact.

**Proof.** 2) $\leftrightarrow$ 3) follows from Theorem 1.3 and 1) of Lemma 1.1.

1) $\rightarrow$ 2). Let  $\varphi$  be a quasi-perfect mapping from X onto a metric space Y and let  $\{F_n\}$  be a decreasing sequence of closed sets of X with empty intersection. If  $\bigcap \varphi(F_n) = \emptyset$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{Z'_n\}$  of zero sets of Y with  $\bigcap Z'_n = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\{Z_n; Z_n = \varphi^{-1}(Z'_n)\}$  is a sequence of zero sets of X such that  $\bigcap Z_n = \emptyset$ . If  $y_0 \in \bigcap \varphi(F_n)$ , then  $F_n \cap \varphi^{-1}(y_0) \neq \emptyset$  for each n. Since  $\varphi^{-1}(y_0)$  is countably compact, and  $\{F_n\}$  is decreasing, we have  $\bigcap F_n \neq \emptyset$  which is impossible.

2) $\rightarrow$ 1). Let  $\varphi$  be an SZ-mapping from X onto a metric space Y. By Lemma 1.4 it is sufficient to show that  $\varphi$  is closed. Let F be a closed subset of X and  $y_0 \in \overline{\varphi(F)} - \varphi(F)$ . Since Y is a metric space, there is a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  which converges to  $y_0$  and  $y_n \in \varphi(F)$ .  $B_n$  $=\{y_m; m \ge n\} \cup \{y_0\}$  is a zero set of Y and  $\{A_n = F \cap \varphi^{-1}(B_n)\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed sets of X with  $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset$ . Since X is a cb-space, there exists a sequence  $\{Z_n\}$  of zero sets of X such that  $A_n$  $\subset Z_n$  and  $\bigcap Z_n = \emptyset$ .  $\varphi$  being a Z-mapping, we have  $y_0 \in \overline{\varphi(A_n)} \subset \varphi(Z_n)$ . This shows that  $\varphi_0^{-1}(y_0) \cap Z_n \neq \emptyset$ .  $\varphi^{-1}(y_0)$  being countably compact, we have  $\bigcap Z_n \neq \emptyset$  which is a contradiction.

Corollary 1.7. A pseudocompact M-space is countably compact. This follows from Theorem 1.6 and 2) of Lemma 1.1.

2. Examples. The following example shows that there exists an *M*-space X such that some subspace W of  $\mu X$ , containing X, is not necessarily an *M*-space.

**Example 2.1.** Let A be a space  $\{1/n; n \in N\} \cup \{0\}$  with usual topology and  $\omega_1$  the first uncountable ordinal and  $a_n = 1/2n$   $(n \in N)$ .

1)  $X = A \times W(\omega_1)$  is countably compact [2] and hence an *M*-space.

2)  $W = A \times W(\omega_1 + 1) - \{(a_n, \omega_1); n \in N\} - \{(0, \omega_1)\}$  is pseudocompact but not countably compact. Thus W is an  $M_{\delta}$ -space but not an M-space by Corollary 1.7.

3)  $X \subset W \subset \mu X = \nu X = \beta X$  is obvious.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $\varphi$  is an SZ-mapping from an M'-space X onto a topologically complete space Y, then Y is a paracompact M-space.

**Proof.** As is known  $\Phi^{-1}Y$  is topologically complete and  $\mu X \subset \Phi^{-1}(Y)$  by Theorem 2.5 in [4]. Let us put  $\varphi_1 = \Phi | X$ . Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [4],  $\varphi_1$  becomes a perfect mapping from  $\mu X$  onto Y. Thus Y must be a paracompact *M*-space by Lemma 2.3 in [4].

In Theorem 2.2 we can not drop the topological completeness of Y. Such an example is given in the following and it is an example showing that an image of M'-space under a perfect mapping need not be an M'space

Example 2.3. There exists a locally compact, non-normal, countably paracompact nonweak cb-space Y which is an image of an M-space under a perfect mapping (and hence Y is not an M'-space).

The example given here is a space constructed by K. Morita ([8], § 4) (an analogous example was given in § 3 in [6]). Let  $S = W(\omega_1 + 1)$ 

 $W(\omega_1+1)-(\omega_1, \omega_1), P=\{(\alpha, \omega_1); \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and  $Q=\{(\omega_1, \beta); \beta < \omega_1\}$ . Let X be the topological sum of disjoint spaces  $S_n$  where for each  $n \in N$ , there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi_n$  of S onto  $S_n$ . Then X is non-normal, locally compact, countably paracompact *M*-space. Now we identify a point  $\varphi_{2m-1}(p)$  with  $\varphi_{2m}(p)$  for  $p \in P$  and a point  $\varphi_{2m}(q)$  with  $\varphi_{2m+1}(q)$ for  $q \in Q$ . By this identification, we have an identification space Yand the identification mapping  $\varphi; X \to Y$ . It is obvious that  $\varphi$  is perfect. Thus Y is locally compact, non-normal and countably paracompact. If Y is an M'-space, then by Theorem 1.6 Y must be an Mspace. But it is shown by K. Morita that Y is not an M-space. Thus Y is not an M'-space. To show that Y is not a weak cb-space we put  $F_n = \operatorname{cl}\left(Y - \varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(S_i)\right)\right)$ . Then  $\{F_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of regular closed-sets of Y. Similarly to Morita's example [8] it is proved that there are no sequence  $\{Z_n\}$  of zero sets of Y such that  $F_n \subset Z_n$  for each  $n \in N$  and  $\bigcap Z_n = \emptyset$ .

The following example shows that a product of M'-spaces need not be an M'-space.

Example 2.4. In [3], we proved the following theorem: Suppose that X is not pseudocompact and P and Q are disjoint non-empty subset of  $\beta X - X$ . If  $X \cup P$  and  $X \cup Q$  are countably compact, then  $A \times B$  is not an M-space where  $A = X \cup P \cup \{x^*\}$ ,  $B = X \cup Q \cup \{x^*\}$  and  $x^*$ is an arbitrary point contained in  $\beta X - vX$ . If X = N and we take both subsets P and Q such that  $\beta N - N = P \cup Q$ ,  $P \cap Q = \emptyset$  and both subspace  $N \cup P$  and  $N \cup Q$  are countably compact as in [9] (or, see [3]) then the set  $K_n$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 1 in [3] is openclosed and hence it is a zero set. Since the sequence  $\{K_n\}$  has a empty total intersection, this shows that the condition (M') does not hold and hence  $A \times B$  is not an M'-space.

## References

- [1] N. Dykes: Mappings and realcompact spaces (to appear).
- [2] L. Gillman and M. Jerison: Rings of continuous functions. Van Norstrand Princeton, N. J. (1960).
- [3] T. Isiwata: The product of M-spaces need not be an M-space. Proc. Japan Acad., 45, 154-156 (1969).
- [4] ——: Generalizations of *M*-spaces. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 45, 359-363 (1969).
- [5] J. Mack: On a class of countably paracompact spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16, 467-472 (1965).
- [6] J. E. Mack and D. G. Johnson: The Dedekind completion of C(X). Pacific Journ. Math., 20, 231-243 (1967).
- [7] K. Morita: Product of normal spaces with metric spaces. Math. Ann., 154, 365-382 (1964).
- [8] ----: Some properties of M-spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., 43, 869-872 (1967).
- [9] J. Novák: On the cartesian product of two compact spaces. Fund. Math., 40, 106-112 (1953).