119.

By Jun-iti NAGATA

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh

A Note on M-Space and Topologically Complete Space

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1969)

In the previous paper [4] we have proved that every paracompact M-space with weight |A| (=the cardinality of the set A) is the perfect image of a closed subset of D(A) and a subset of N(A), where D(A) is Cantor discontinum (=the product of two points discrete spaces  $D_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ ), and N(A) is Baire's 0-dimensional space (=the product of countably many copies of the discrete space A), and also stated the following theorem without proof. (Throughout this paper we assume that A is an infinite set and that spaces are Hausdorff. As for terminologies and symbols in the present paper, see J. Nagata [3] and [4].)

**Theorem 1.** A space X with weight |A| is a paracompact M-space iff (=if and only if) it is homeomorphic to a closed subset of  $S \times P(A)$ , where S is a subspace of generalized Hilbert space H(A), and P(A) is the product of the copies  $I_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$  of the unit interval [0, 1].

The purpose of the present paper is to give a proof of Theorem 1 and extend our study to paracompact, topologically complete spaces (in the sense of E. Čech), which form an important subclass of paracompact M-spaces.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since the sufficiency of the condition is obvious, we shall prove only the necessity. There is a perfect map (=mapping) from X onto a metric space Y with weight  $\leq |A|$ . Let  $\{f_{\lambda} | \lambda \in A\}$  be a collection of continuous functions  $|X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for each point x of X and each nbd (=neighborhood) M of x, there is  $\lambda \in A$  for which  $f_{\lambda}(x)=1$ ,  $f_{\lambda}(X-M)=0$ .

Then we define a map  $h \mid X \rightarrow Y \times P(A)$  by

 $h(X) = \varphi(x) \times (f_{\lambda}(x) | \lambda \in A), x \in X.$ 

It is obvious that h is one-to-one and continuous. It is also easy to show that  $h^{-1}$  is continuous. Hence h is a topological map. To show that h(X) is closed in  $Y \times P(A)$ , let  $z = y \times (q_{\lambda} | \lambda \in A) \in Y \times P(A) - h(X)$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap [\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} f_{\lambda}^{-1}(q_{\lambda})] = \phi$ , because otherwise for every point x in the nonempty intersection h(x) = z holds, and thus  $z \in h(X)$ . Since each  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(q_{\lambda})$ can be expressed as  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(q_{\lambda}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{\lambda}^{-1} \left( \left[ q_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{n}, q_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{n} \right] \right)$  ([] denotes a closed interval.) and since  $\varphi^{-1}(y)$  is compact, there are  $\lambda_{1}, \dots, \lambda_{k} \in A$  and a natural number *n* such that  $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap H = \phi$  in *X* if we put  $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} f_{\lambda_{i}}^{-1}$  $\left(\left[q_{\lambda_{i}} - \frac{1}{n}, q_{\lambda_{i}} + \frac{1}{n}\right]\right)$ . Now, recall that  $\varphi$  is a closed map, and hence  $V = Y - \varphi(H)$  is an open nbd of *y* in *Y*. Thus  $V \times \{(p_{\lambda} | \lambda \in A) \in P(A)q_{\lambda_{i}} - \frac{1}{n} < P_{\lambda_{i}} < q_{\lambda_{i}} + \frac{1}{n}, \tau = 1, \cdots, k\}$  is a nbd of *z* in  $Y \times P(A)$  which is disjoint from h(X). Therefore h(X) is closed in  $Y \times P(A)$ . By C.H. Dowkers's theorem (See J. Nagata [3]) *Y* is homeomorphic to a subspace *S* of H(A), and thus the theorem is proved.

Now we can specialize the above theorem in case that X is topologically complete in the sense of E. Čech. (We are indebted to Professor K. Nagami for calling our attention to the special case.) Let us begin with a lemma.

**Lemma.** Every complete metric space X with weight |A| is homeomorphic to a closed of H(A).

**Proof.** By C.H. Dowker's theorem X is homeomorphic to a subset S of H(A). Since X is topologically complete, S is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in H(A) (See J. Nagata [3]), i.e.  $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  for open sets  $U_n, n=1, 2, \cdots$  in H(A). For each natural number n let us define a continuous function  $f_n$  on  $U_n$  by

 $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{\rho(x, X - U_n)}, \quad x \in U_n, \quad \text{where } \rho \text{ denotes the metric in } H(A).$ 

Then  $f(x) = (x, f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots), x \in S$  is a continuous map from S into  $H(A) \times E^{\infty}$ , where  $E^{\infty}$  is the product of countably many copies of the 1-dimensional Euclidean space. We can easily show that f is a topological map and that f(S) is closed in  $H(A) \times E^{\infty}$ . The proof is just a copy of the proof of Kuratowski's theorem in separable case (see J. Nagata [3], p. 210). On the other hand  $E^{\infty}$  is homeomorphic to separable Hilbert space by R.D. Anderson's theorem [1]. Thus  $H(A) \times E^{\infty}$  is homeomorphic to H(A).

**Theorem 2.** A space X with weight |A| is a paracompact, topologically complete space iff it is homeomorphic to a closed subset of  $H(A) \times P(A)$ .

**Proof.** The sufficiency of the condition is obvious, because  $H(A) \times P(A)$  is paracompact and topologically complete by Z. Frolik's theorem [2]. To prove the necessity, let X be a paracompact, topologically complete space with weight |A|. Then by Theorem 1 X is homeomorphic to a closed set X' of  $S \times P(A)$ . By Frolik's another theorem [2] there is a perfect map from X onto a complete metric space Y. Since S and Y are homeomorphic, by Lemma S can be regarded as a closed subset of H(A). Thus X' is a closed subset of  $H(A) \times P(A)$ .

Now, let us turn to specialize another theorem in [3] which was stated at the beginning of the present paper, too.

**Theorem 3.** Every paracompact, topologically complete space Y with weight |A| is the image of a closed subset of  $D(A) \times N(A)$  by a perfect map.

**Proof.** All we need is a slight modification on the proof of the general theorem given in [3], which is assumed to be known by the reader and will be called the 'previous proof.' Since Y is paracompact and topologically complete, by Frolik's theorem [2] there is a perfect map  $\varphi$  from Y onto a complete metric space Z. Let  $\mathcal{W}_i$  be a locally finite open cover of Z such that  $\mathcal{W}_1 > \mathcal{W}_2^* > \mathcal{W}_2 > \mathcal{W}_3^* > \cdots$  and such that mesh  $\mathcal{W}_i \rightarrow 0$ . Then we may assume  $\mathcal{U}_i = f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_i) = \{f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \mid W\}$  $\in \mathcal{W}_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \cdots$  in the previous proof, (where the word 'locally finite' was erroneously dropped to describe the properties of  $U_i$ .) In the previous proof we put  $S = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots) \in N(A) | \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k) \neq \phi \}$  to prove that Y is the perfect image of a closed subset of  $D(A) \times S$ . Thus it suffices to prove that S is closed in N(A) in the present case. Suppose  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots) \in N(A) - S$ . Then  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F(\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k) = \phi$ . Hence  $F(\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k) = \phi$ .  $\cdots \beta_k = \phi$  for some k. Because otherwise we have here a decreasing sequence  $\{F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) | k=1, 2, \dots\}$  of non-empty closed sets. implied by the construction of  $F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k), F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \subset U_k$  holds for some  $U_k \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . Hence  $\{\varphi(F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)) | k=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a Cauchy filter basis in the complete metric space Z. Therefore  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} \varphi(F(\beta_1, \cdots$  $\cdots, \beta_k) \neq \phi.$  Let  $z \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(F(\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k)).$  Then for each k we can choose  $y_k \in F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \cap \varphi^{-1}(z)$ . Since  $\varphi^{-1}(z)$  is compact,  $\{y_k\}$  has a cluster point y in  $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ . Since  $y \in F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots$ , we contradict ourselves. Therefore  $F(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) = \phi$  for some k. Now  $N(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in N(A) \mid \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_u = \beta_k\}$  is a nbd of  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots)$  which does not intersect S. Thus S is a closed set in N(A), and hence  $D(A) \times S$  is closed in  $D(A) \times N(A)$ . In other words Y is the perfect image of a closed set of  $D(A) \times N(A)$ .

## References

- R. D. Anderson: Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 72, 515-519 (1966).
- [2] Z. Frolik: On the topological product of paracompact spaces. Bull. de l'Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math., Astr. et Phys., 8, 747-750 (1960).
- [3] J. Nagata: Modern General Topology. Amsterdam-Groningen (1968).
- [4] ----: Mappings and M-spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., 45, 140-144 (1969).