160. On the Dimension of the Product of a Countably Paracompact Normal Space with the Unit Interval

By Tatsuo Goto

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Oct. 13, 1969)

1. Introduction. In 1953, K. Morita [3] proved that dim $(X \times I)$ =dim X+1 holds if X is a paracompact Hausdorff space, where I denotes the closed unit interval [0, 1] and dim means the covering dimension. He also conjectured that the above equality would be valid if X is countably paracompact normal. In this note we shall answer this problem in the affirmative.

Let us denote by D(X; G) the cohomological dimension of a space X with respect to an abelian group G, that is, D(X; G) is the largest integer n such that $H^n(X, A; G) \neq 0$ for some closed set A of X, where H^* denotes the Čech cohomology based on all locally finite open coverings. We shall prove

Theorem 1. Let X be a countably paracompact normal space with a finite covering dimension and G a countable abelian group. Then $D(X \times I; G) = D(X; G) + 1$.

As is proved by Y. Kodama [2], the above relation holds for any abelian group G if X is a paracompact Hausdorff space. If we take G= the group of integers Z in Theorem 1, we have dim $(X \times I)$ = dim X+1, since D(X;Z) =dim X for each normal space X with a finite covering dimension.

2. Lemmas. The following lemmas are proved in [1].

Lemma 1. Let X be a countably paracompact normal space and Y a compact metric space. Then the Künneth formula $H^n(X \times Y; G) \cong \sum_{n+q=n} H^p(X; H^q(Y; G))$ holds for each countable abelian group G.

Lemma 2. Let X, Y be countably paracompact normal spaces and let A, B be closed sets in X, Y respectively. If $f:(X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ is a map such that

(1) $f|X-A: X-A \rightarrow Y-B$ is a onto homeomorphism;

(2) if F is a closed set in X and $F \subset X - A$, then f(F) is closed in Y. Then $f^*: H^*(Y, B; G) \rightarrow H^*(X, A; G)$ is a onto isomorphism for each abelian group G.

Let X be a normal space and A a closed set in X. By [2, Lemma 3] for each countable locally finite open covering \mathfrak{U} of A, there exists a countable locally finite open covering \mathfrak{V} of X such that $\mathfrak{V}|A$ is a refinement of \mathfrak{U} . Therefore if we denote by $H_c^*(X, A; G)$ the Čech

cohomology group of (X, A) with coefficients in G based on all countable locally finite open coverings of X, then H_c^* satisfies the axiom of exactness. On the other hand, if X is countably paracompact normal and G is countable, $H_c^*(X, A; G)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H^*(X, A; G)$ by the proof of [1, Theorem 1]. Hence the cohomology sequence

 $\cdots \to H^n(X, A; G) \to H^n(X; G) \to H^n(A; G) \to H^{n+1}(X, A; G) \to \cdots$ is exact if (X, A) is a pair of a countably paracompact normal space X and its closed set A and G is a countable abelian group. Let X be a countably paracompact normal space such that $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with X_i

hence the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of $(X; X_1, X_2)$ $\cdots \rightarrow H^n(X; G) \rightarrow H^n(X_1; G) \oplus H^n(X_2, G) \rightarrow H^n(X_1 \cap X_2; G)$

closed in X. Then by Lemma 2, the triad $(X; X_1, X_2)$ is proper and

 $\rightarrow H^{n+1}(X;G) \rightarrow \cdots$

is exact for each countable abelian group G. Let us denote by d(X; G)the dimension function defined as follows; d(X; G) is the least integer n such that the induced homomorphism $i^*: H^m(X; G) \to H^m(A; G)$ of the inclusion $i: A \subset X$ is epimorphic for each $m \ge n$ and closed set Ain X. As is proved by Skljarenko [4] the equality D(X; G) = d(X; G)holds for each paracompact Hausdorff space X and abelian group G. The proof given there is based on the exactness of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, and we can prove the following lemma with a slight modification.

Lemma 3. If X is a countably paracompact normal space, then we have D(X; G) = d(X; G) for each countable abelian group G.

Corollary. Under the same assumption of Lemma 3, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $D(X;G) \leq n$,

(2) for each $m \ge n$ and closed set A of X, every map $f: A \to K(G, m)$ is extendable over X, where K(G, m) is a geometrical realization of Eilenberg-Maclane complex as a locally finite simplicial polytope.

Proof. By [1, Theorem 1] the condition (2) is equivalent to the condition that for each $m \ge n$ and closed set A of X, the homomorphism $i^*: H^m(X; G) \rightarrow H^m(A; G)$ induced by the inclusion map is onto. Thus the equivalence of the conditions (1) and (2) follows from Lemma 3.

The following lemma is a modification of Kodama [2, Theorem 5], but the proof given here seems to be somewhat simpler.

Lemma 4. Let X be a countably paracompact normal space and Y a compact metric space such that dim $(X \times Y)$ is finite. Then $D(X \times Y; G)$ is the largest integer n such that $H^n((A_1, A_2) \times (B_1, B_2); G) \approx 0$ for some closed sets $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset X$ and $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset Y$, if G is a countable abelian group.

Proof. Let us put $D_1(X \times Y; G) = \max \{n | H^n((A_1, A_2) \times (B_1, B_2); G) \neq 0 \text{ for some closed sets } A_1 \subset A_2 \subset X \text{ and } B_1 \subset B_2 \subset Y.$ Then, using the exact sequence of triples, it can be seen that $D(X \times Y; G) \ge D_1(X \times Y; G)$. Suppose that $D(X \times Y; G) > D_1(X \times Y; G)$; we shall prove that this leads a contradiction. Then

(1) $H^n((A_1, A_2) \times (B_1, B_2); G) = 0$ for each closed sets $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset X$ and $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset Y$, where $n = D(X \times Y; G)$.

On the other hand, there exist a closed set F of $X \times Y$ and a map $f: F \to K(G, n-1)$ which is not extendable over $X \times Y$, by Corollary to Lemma 3. Since K(G, n-1) is a locally finite simplicial polytope and $X \times Y$ is countably paracompact normal, there exists a neighborhood U of F in $X \times Y$ such that f is extendable over U. Then there exist a locally finite open covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of X and finite open covering $\mathfrak{W}^{\alpha} = \{W_{i}^{\alpha} | 1 \leq i \leq k(\alpha)\}, \alpha \in \Omega$, such that

(2) $\{U_{\alpha} \times W_{i}^{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq k(\alpha) \text{ is a refinement of the covering } \{U, X \times Y - F\}.$

Let $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a locally finite open covering of X with order $\leq \dim X + 1$, such that $\{\operatorname{St}(x, \mathfrak{V}) | x \in X\}$ is a refinement of \mathfrak{U} . Let us define for each $\xi = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in \Lambda^p$

(3) $F_{\varepsilon} = X - \bigcup \{V_{\lambda} | \lambda \neq \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq p, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} V_{\lambda_i} \neq \emptyset$, and $F_{\varepsilon} = \emptyset$ if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} V_{\lambda_i} = \emptyset$.

Then the covering $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{p=1}^{q} \mathfrak{F}_p$ where $\mathfrak{F}_p = \{F_{\varepsilon} | \xi \in \Lambda^p\}$ and $q = \dim X + 1$, is a locally finite closed refinement of \mathfrak{U} . Hence for each $F_{\varepsilon} \in \mathfrak{F}$, there exists $U_{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \in \mathfrak{U}$, such that $F_{\varepsilon} \subset U_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}$. Let $\mathfrak{G}^{\alpha} = \{G_i^{\alpha} | 1 \leq i \leq k(\alpha)\}$ be a closed refinement of \mathfrak{W}^{α} , for each $\alpha \in \Omega$, such that $G_i^{\alpha} \subset W_i^{\alpha}, 1 \leq i \leq k(\alpha)$. Then it follows from (2) that $\{F_{\varepsilon} \times G_i^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} | F_{\varepsilon} \in \mathfrak{F}, 1 \leq i \leq k(\alpha(\varepsilon))\}$ is a locally finite closed refinement of $\{U, X \times Y - F\}$. Therefore if we set $F_0 = \bigcup \{F_{\varepsilon} \times G_i^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} | F \cap (F_{\varepsilon} \times G_i^{\alpha(\varepsilon)}) \neq \emptyset\}$, then $F \subset F_0 \subset U$. Since f is extendable over U, there exists a map $f_0: F_0 \rightarrow K(G, n-1)$ such that $f_0 | F = f$. We put $F_p = F_0 \cup \{F_{\varepsilon} \times Y | \xi \in \Lambda^p\}, 1 \leq p \leq \dim X + 1$, and let us assume that f_0 has an extension $f_{p-1}: F_{p-1} \rightarrow K(G, n-1)$ for some $p \geq 1$. We prove that f_{p-1} can be extended over F_p . Since if $\xi, \xi' \in \Lambda^p$ and $\xi \neq \xi'$, then $F_{\varepsilon} \cap F_{\varepsilon'} \in \mathfrak{F}_{p-1}$ by (3), it is sufficient to prove that

(4) $f_{p-1,\xi} = f_{p-1}|(F_{p-1} \cap (F_{\xi} \times Y))$ is extendable over $F_{\xi} \times Y$ for each $\xi \in A^p$.

Suppose that $f_{p-1,\varepsilon}$ is extended over $(F_{p-1} \cap (F_{\varepsilon} \times Y)) \cup \bigcup \{F_{\varepsilon} \times G_{i}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} | 1 \leq i \leq j-1 \text{ for some } j \leq k(\alpha(\xi)).$ Then if we put

(5) $A_1 = F_{\epsilon}, A_2 = F_{\epsilon} \cap (\cup \{F_{\epsilon'} | \xi' \in \Lambda^{p-1}\}), B_1 = G_j^{\alpha(\epsilon)} \text{ and } B_2 = \cup \{G_j^{\alpha(\epsilon)} \cap G_i^{\alpha(\epsilon)} | 1 \le i \le j-1\} \cup \cup \{G_j^{\alpha(\epsilon)} \cap G_i^{\alpha(\epsilon')} | (F_{\epsilon'} \times G_i^{\alpha(\epsilon')}) \cap F \ne \emptyset\}.$

Then by (1) $i^*: H^{n-1}(A_1 \times B_1; G) \to H^{n-1}((A_1 \times B_2) \cup (A_2 \times B_1); G)$ induced by the inclusion is epimorphic. Therefore every map of $(A_1 \times B_2)$ $\cup (A_2 \times B_1)$ is extendable over $A_1 \times B_1$ by [1, Theorem 1]. Thus $f_{p-1, \epsilon}$ is extendable over $(F_{p-1} \cap (F_{\epsilon} \times Y)) \cup \bigcup \{F_{\epsilon} \times G_i^{a(\epsilon)} | 1 \leq i \leq j\}$. Hence (4) is proved by the induction. Therefore f_{p-1} extendable to a map f_p of F_p into K(G, n-1). By the induction again, f is extendable over $F_q = X \times Y, q = \dim X + 1$. But this is a contradiction. Thus the lemma is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let us put D(X; G)=n. Since the theorem is trivial in case n=0, we assume that n>0. Then there exists a closed set A of X such that $H^n(X, A; G) \neq 0$. If we denote by $X_0 = X/A$ the quotient space of X obtained by contracting A into a point a_0 . Then we have $H^n(X_0; G) = H^n(X, A; G)$ by Lemma 2. Since $H^{m+1}(X_0 \times (I, \dot{I}); G)$ is isomorphic to $H^m(X_0; G)$ for each m, $H^{m+1}(X_0 \times (I, \dot{I}); G) \neq 0$ if m=n, and $H^{m+1}(X_0 \times (I, \dot{I}); G)=0$ if m>n. Then the theorem follows from Lemma 4, since $H^*(X_0 \times (I, \dot{I}); G) \approx H^*((X, A) \times (I, \dot{I}); G)$ by Lemma 2.

The following theorem can be proved similarly by Lemma 1 and 4. Theorem 2. Let Y be an n-dimensional compact metric space such that $H^n(B_1, B_2; Z)$ contains Z as a direct summand for some closed sets $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset Y$. Then $D(X \times Y; G) \ge D(X; G) + n$, for each finite dimensional countably paracompact normal space X and countable

A compact space C is called a pseudo *n*-cell if there exists a map f of an *n*-cell F onto C such that the restriction of f to the boundary of E is a homeomorph (cf. [2]).

Corollary. Let X and G be as in Theorem 1. If a compact metric space Y contains a pseudo n-cell, then $D(X \times Y; G) \ge D(X; G) + n$.

References

- T. Goto: A note on the Künneth formula (to appear in Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku).
- [2] Y. Kodama: Note on cohomological dimension for non-compact spaces.
 J. Math. Soc. Japan, 18, 343-359 (1966); II: ibid., 20, 490-497 (1968).
- [3] K. Morita: On the dimension of product spaces. Amer. J. Math., 75, 205-223 (1953).
- [4] E. G. Skljarenko: On the definition of cohomology dimension. Soviet Math. Dokl., 6, 478-479 (1965).

abelian group G.