

### 43. Some Characterizations of $\sigma$ - and $\Sigma$ -Spaces

By Jun-iti NAGATA<sup>\*)</sup>

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 13, 1972)

$M$ -space and  $\sigma$ -space are important generalizations of metric space into two different directions. (See [2], [9]. As for general terminologies and symbols in general topology, see [4]. All spaces in the following are at least  $T_1$  except in the Definition, and all maps (=mappings) are continuous.) It is well-known that they not only represent two aspects of metrizable but also they combined together imply metrizable itself if the space is  $T_2$ .  $M^*$ -space is an interesting and useful generalization of  $M$ -space (due to [1]), and  $\Sigma$ -space (due to [3]) is interesting since it generalizes two different types of spaces,  $M^*$ - and (regular)  $\sigma$ -spaces at the same time and still has some nice properties. (A space  $Y$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -space if it has a sequence  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots$  of locally finite closed covers satisfying the following condition:

( $\Sigma$ ) If  $y_n \in C(y, \mathcal{C}_n) = \bigcap \{V \mid y \in V \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  clusters).

We have characterized  $M^*$ -space and  $\sigma$ -space as follows.

**Theorem 1.**  *$Y$  is an  $M^*$ -space if and only if there is a perfect map from an  $M$ -space  $X$  onto  $Y$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *The following are equivalent for a regular space  $Y$ .*

- i)  $Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -space,
- ii) there is a half-metric space  $(X, X')$  and a perfect map  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that  $f(X')=Y$ ,
- iii) there is a half-metric space  $(X, X')$  and a closed (continuous) map  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that  $f(X')=Y$ .

Theorem 1 and the equivalence of i) and ii) in Theorem 2 were announced in [6], [7] and proved in [8]. As for the condition iii) in Theorem 2, it is obvious that ii) implies iii), and it is also easy to show by use of Theorem 1 of [10] that iii) implies i).

The main purpose of the present paper is to prove Theorem 3 in the following.

**Definition.** A pair  $(X, X')$  of a topological space  $X$  and its subspace  $X'$  is called a *half- $M$ -space* if  $X$  has a sequence  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$  of open covers such that

- i)  $\mathcal{U}_1 > \mathcal{U}_2^* > \mathcal{U}_2 > \mathcal{U}_3^* > \dots$ ,

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Supported by NSF Grant, GP-29401.

ii) if  $x \in X'$  and  $x_n \in S(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , then the point sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a cluster point in  $X$ .

Now the reader will agree with us upon that the following theorem is a quite natural conclusion to be compared with the previous two theorems, because half- $M$ -space is a generalization of both  $M$ -space and half-metric space.

**Remark.** Precisely speaking, a half-metric space  $(X, X')$  is half- $M$  provided  $X$  is normal. We may revise the definition of half-metric space in [6]–[8] as follows. A pair  $(X, X')$  of a topological space  $X$  and its subspace  $X'$  is called a half-metric space if  $X$  has a sequence  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$  of open covers such that i)  $\mathcal{U}_1 > \mathcal{U}_2^* > \dots$ , ii) for each  $x \in X'$  and every nbd (=neighborhood)  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$ , there is  $n$  for which  $S(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \subset U$ . Then every half-metric space in the revised sense is unconditionally half- $M$  while Theorem 2 remains true for half-metric spaces in the revised sense.

**Theorem 3.**  $Y$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space if and only if there is a half- $M$ -space  $(X, X')$  and a perfect map  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that  $f(X') = Y$ .

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma.**  $Y$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space if and only if there is a subspace  $X$  of a Baire's 0-dimensional metric space  $N(A)$  and a multivalued map  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that

- i)  $f(x) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in X$ ,
- ii)  $f(F)$  is closed in  $Y$  for every closed set  $F$  of  $X$ ,
- iii)  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a (non-empty) compact set for each  $y \in Y$ ,
- iv) for each  $y \in Y$  there is  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that if  $y_n \in f(S_{1/n}(x))$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  clusters in  $Y$ , where  $S_\varepsilon(x)$  denotes the  $\varepsilon$ -nbd of  $x$ .

**Proof of Lemma.** *Sufficiency.* Let  $\{\mathcal{U}_n | n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a sequence of locally finite closed covers of  $X$  such that  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_n = f(\mathcal{U}_n) = \{f(U) | U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  are locally finite closed covers of  $Y$  because of ii) and iii). Assume that  $y_n \in C(y, \mathcal{V}_n)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  in  $Y$ . Then choose  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  satisfying iv) and also choose  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  such that  $x \in U_n$ . Then  $y_n \in f(U_n)$ . Since  $\text{diameter } U_n \rightarrow 0$ , it follows from iv) that  $\{y_n\}$  clusters. Thus  $Y$  is  $\Sigma$ .

*Necessity.* Let  $Y$  be a  $\Sigma$ -space with a sequence  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$  of locally finite closed covers satisfying ( $\Sigma$ ). Let  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V_\alpha | \alpha \in A_n\}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . We may index all  $\mathcal{V}_n$  as  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V_\alpha^n | \alpha \in A\}$ , where  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , and  $V_\alpha^n = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \in A - A_n$ . We may also assume that the intersections of any members of  $\mathcal{V}_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{V}_n$ . Let  $X = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in N(A) | V_{\alpha_1}^1 \cap V_{\alpha_2}^2 \cap \dots \neq \emptyset\}$ , where  $N(A)$  denotes the Baire's 0-dimensional metric space with index set  $A$ , i.e. the countable product of the discrete space  $A$ . Define a multivalued map  $f$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) = V_{\alpha_1}^1 \cap V_{\alpha_2}^2 \cap \dots$  for  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in X$ . Then i) is obviously satisfied. Since each

$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n$  is a locally finite closed cover, ii) and iii) can be proved in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [5]. It is also easy to prove iv). Let  $y \in Y$ , then since  $C(y, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n) \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n$ , we may let  $C(y, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n) = V_n^z$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Now  $x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$  is obviously a point in  $f^{-1}(y)$  satisfying iv).

**Proof of Theorem 3. Sufficiency.** Let  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$  be a sequence of open covers of  $X$  satisfying i), ii) in Definition. Then, as observed in [11], it follows from i) that for each  $i$  there is a locally finite open cover  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i$  of  $X$  with  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i < \mathcal{U}_i$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i} = \{\bar{V} \mid V \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i\}$ ,  $f(\overline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_i}) = \mathcal{W}_i$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{W}_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots\}$  is easily seen to be a sequence of locally finite closed covers of  $Y$  satisfying ( $\Sigma$ ). Hence  $Y$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space.

**Necessity.** Let  $f$  be a multivalued map from a metric space  $S$  onto  $Y$  satisfying i)–iv) of Lemma. Let  $Z$  be a compact  $T_2$ -space which contains  $S$  as a subspace. (There is such a space  $Z$  by virtue of Tychonoff's Theorem.) Then we define a subset  $X$  of the product space  $Y \times S$  and its subset  $X'$  as follows.

$$X = \{(y, s) \in Y \times S \mid y \in f(s)\},$$

$$X' = \{(y, s) \in X \mid \text{if } y_n \in f(S_{1/n}(s)), n=1, 2, \dots, \text{ then } \{y_n\} \text{ clusters in } Y\}.$$

Furthermore we denote by  $\pi_S$  and  $\pi_Y$  the projections from  $X$  onto  $S$  and  $Y$  respectively. First we can prove that  $X$  is a closed set in  $Y \times Z$ . Let  $(y, z) \in Y \times Z - X$ ; then since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a compact set of  $S$  by iii) of Lemma, it is closed in  $Z$  satisfying  $z \notin f^{-1}(y)$ . Hence there are open sets  $W$  and  $W'$  in  $Z$  such that  $z \in W$ ,  $f^{-1}(y) \subset W'$  and  $W \cap W' = \emptyset$ . By ii) of Lemma  $V = Y - f(S - W')$  is an open nbd of  $y$  in  $Y$ . Therefore  $V \times W$  is a nbd of  $(y, z)$  in  $Y \times Z$ . We claim that  $V \times W$  is disjoint from  $X$ . To prove it, let  $p = (v, w) \in V \times W$ . If  $w \notin S$ , then  $p \notin X$ . If  $w \in S$ , then  $w \in S - W'$ , and hence  $f(w) \cap V = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $v \notin f(w)$ , and hence  $p = (v, w) \notin X$ . Therefore our claim is proved. Namely  $X$  is closed in  $Y \times Z$ .

Now, we can prove that  $(X, X')$  is a half- $M$ -space. Let  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  be open covers of  $S$  with mesh  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_1 > \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_2^* > \dots$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_n = \pi_S^{-1}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  are open covers of  $X$  satisfying  $\mathcal{U}_1 > \mathcal{U}_2^* > \dots$ . Let  $x = (y, s) \in X'$ , and  $x_n = (y_n, s_n) \in S(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  in  $X$ . Then  $s_n \in S(s, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}_n)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  in  $S$ , and  $y_n \in f(s_n)$ . Hence by the definition of  $X'$ , there is a cluster point  $y'$  of  $\{y_n\}$ . Now  $(y', s)$  is cluster point of  $\{x_n\}$  in  $Y \times S$ . Since  $X$  is closed in  $Y \times S$ ,  $(y', s) \in X$ . This proves that  $(X, X')$  is a half- $M$ -space.

Finally we can prove that  $\pi_Y$  is a perfect map from  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that  $\pi_Y(X') = Y$ .  $\pi_Y(X') = Y$  follows directly from iv) of Lemma and the definition of  $X'$ .  $\pi_Y$  is obviously continuous. For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\pi_Y^{-1}(y)$  is homeomorphic to  $f^{-1}(y)$  which is compact by iii) of Lemma. Thus the only thing we have to prove is that for every closed set  $C$  of  $X$ ,  $\pi_Y(C)$  is

closed in  $Y$ . Since  $X$  is closed in  $Y \times Z$ , so is  $C$ . Let  $y \in Y - \pi_Y(C)$ . Then for each  $z \in Z$ , there are open nbds  $U_z$  of  $y$  and  $V(z)$  of  $z$  such that  $(U_z \times V(z)) \cap C = \emptyset$ . Cover the compact set  $\{y\} \times Z$  with  $U_{z_1} \times V(z_1), \dots, U_{z_k} \times V(z_k)$ . Then  $U = U_{z_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{z_k}$  is a nbd of  $y$  disjoint from  $\pi_Y(C)$ . Thus  $\pi_Y(C)$  is closed in  $Y$  proving Theorem 3.

### References

- [1] T. Ishii: On closed mappings and  $M$ -spaces. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **43**, 752–756 (1967).
- [2] K. Morita: A survey of the theory of  $M$ -spaces. General Topology and its Applications, **1**, 49–55 (1971).
- [3] N. Nagami:  $\Sigma$ -spaces. Fund. Math., **65**, 169–192 (1969).
- [4] J. Nagata: Modern General Topology. Amsterdam-Groningen (1968).
- [5] —: On multi-valued mappings and generalized metric spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **46**, 936–940 (1970).
- [6] —: Problems on generalized metric spaces. II (to appear in Proc. Houston Topology Conference (1971)).
- [7] —: A Survey of the Theory of Generalized Metric Spaces (to appear in Proc. 3rd Prague Symposium on General Topology (1971)).
- [8] —: Some theorems on generalized metric spaces (to appear in 'Hausdorff Gedenkschrift' (1972)).
- [9] A. Okuyama: A survey of the theory of  $\sigma$ -spaces. General Topology and its Applications, **1**, 57–63 (1971).
- [10] F. Siwiec and J. Nagata: A note on nets and metrization. Proc. Japan Acad., **44**, 623–627 (1968).
- [11] A. H. Stone: Paracompactness and product spaces. Bull. AMS, **54**, 977–982 (1948).