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1. Introduction. H. Matsuda and K. Ishii [1] showed an exponential growth character of polynomials related to a second order difference operator with random coefficients by invoking a limit theorem of H. Furstenberg [4]. A. Casher and J. L. Lebowitz [3] then used this character to derive the singularity of the related spectral measure. We refer the reader to K. Ishii [2] for an improvement of the proof of [3] and for the related physical problems.

The purpose of this note is to simplify the proof of the MatsudaIshii theorem and to give an extension of Ishii's results. Let ( $\Omega, \mathscr{B}, P$ ) be a probability space on which are defined independent real random variables $\left\{\nu_{n}(\omega)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with common distribution $\nu$. We consider the following random system on the semi-infinite lattice $Z^{+}=\{0,1,2,3, \cdots\}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \frac{d u_{n}(t)}{d t}=u_{n-1}(t)-\left(2+\nu_{n}\right) u_{n}(t)+u_{n+1}(t),  \tag{a}\\
u_{-1}(t)=0, n \in Z^{+}, t \in[0, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Putting $u_{n}(t)=y_{n} e^{-i \lambda t}$, we are led to the following difference equation (b)

$$
\lambda y_{n}=y_{n-1}-\left(2+\nu_{n}\right) y_{n}+y_{n+1}, n \in Z^{+}, y_{-1}=0 .
$$

Let $\left\{p_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the solution of (b) under the conditions $y_{0}=1$ and $y_{-1}=0$. Denote by $l_{0}$ the space of all functions on $Z^{+}$with finite supports. We introduce an infinite Jacobi matrix $H^{\omega}=\left(h_{i j}\right), i, j \in Z^{+}$, with $h_{i j}=1,|i-j|=1, h_{i i}=-\left(2+\nu_{i}\right), i \in Z^{+}$, and $h_{i j}=0,|i-j|>1$. $\left\{H^{\omega}\right\}$ are regarded as linear operators with domain $l_{0}$. Then $H^{\omega}$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator on $l^{2}\left(Z^{+}\right)$for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and we denote its smallest closed extension by $H^{\omega}$ again [5]. We further introduce the resolvent $G^{\omega}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-H^{\omega}\right)^{-1}$. Then we have the following expression of $G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda)$ $=\left(G^{\omega}(\lambda) e_{m}, e_{m}\right), m \in Z^{+}$, [6].

$$
G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda)=\left\{p_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right\}^{2} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_{i}^{\omega}(\lambda) p_{i+1}^{\omega}(\lambda)}, \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0
$$

Now let $E^{\omega}(\lambda)$ be the resolution of the identity of $H^{\omega}$. K. Ishii [2] showed that, for almost every fixed $\omega \in \Omega, \rho_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda)=\left(E^{\omega}(\lambda) e_{n}, e_{n}\right), n \in Z^{+}$, are singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure $d \lambda$ under the assumption that the support of $\nu$ is finite and is not a single point. We will show that this is still true under the weaker assumptions that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|c| d \nu(c)<\infty$ and that the support of $\nu$ is not a single point
(Theorem 2).
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2. Furstenberg's theorem and its applications to the random system. Let $\mu$ be a probability measure on unimodular matrices $S L\left(m, R^{1}\right)$ and $G$ be the smallest closed subgroup of $S L\left(m, R^{1}\right)$ containing the support of $\mu$. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the $G$-valued independent random variables with common distribution $\mu$. For each $g \in S L\left(m, R^{1}\right),\|\mid g\| \|$ denotes $\sup _{\|x\|=1}\|g x\| . \quad G$ is called irreducible if the subspace of $R^{m}$ invariant under $G$ is either $R^{m}$ or $\{0\}$. Otherwise it is called reducible.

Theorem (H. Furstenberg [4]). Let G be a non compact subgroup of $S L\left(m, R^{1}\right)$ such that no subgroup of finite index is reducible and $\int \mid\|g\| \| d \mu(g)<\infty$. Then there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that $P\left\{\omega ; \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(n+1)^{-1} \log \left\|X_{n} \cdots X_{0} x\right\|=\alpha\right\}=1$ for each $x \in R^{m}-\{0\}$.

Now let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be independent real random variables with common distribution $\varphi$. Set $X_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}x_{n} & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, then $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are independent $S L\left(2, R^{1}\right)$-valued random variables with common distribution $\tilde{\varphi}$ induced by $\varphi$. Applying Furstenberg's theorem, we have the following.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|c| d \varphi(c)<\infty$ and the support of $\varphi$ contains more than one point. Then there exists a positive constant $\gamma$ such that $P\left\{\omega ; \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(n+1)^{-1} \log \left\|X_{n} \cdots X_{0} x\right\|=\gamma\right\}=1$ for each $x \in R^{2}$ $-\{0\}$.

Proof. First we note that $\int\|\mid g\| \| d \tilde{\varphi}(g) \leqq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(|c|+1) d \varphi(c)<\infty$. Let $G$ be the smallest closed subgroup of $S L\left(2, R^{1}\right)$ containing the support of $\tilde{\varphi}$. Since $G$ contains at least two matrices of the type $\left(\begin{array}{cc}e & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, $\left(\begin{array}{cc}e^{\prime} & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), e \neq e^{\prime}$, we see that $\left(\begin{array}{cc}e & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & e\end{array}\right) \in G,\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & e\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}e^{\prime} & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ $=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ e-e^{\prime} & 1\end{array}\right) \in G$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ e-e^{\prime} & 1\end{array}\right)^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ n\left(e-e^{\prime}\right) & 1\end{array}\right) \in G$. Therefore $G$ is non compact. Note that $\left(\begin{array}{cc}e^{\prime} & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & e\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & e^{\prime}-e \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \in G$. Let $G_{0}$ be an arbitrary subgroup of $G$ of finite index. Then there exist positive integers $n, m$, such that $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ e-e^{\prime} & 1\end{array}\right)^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ n\left(e-e^{\prime}\right) & 1\end{array}\right) \in G_{0},\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & e^{\prime}-e \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)^{m}$ $=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & m\left(e^{\prime}-e\right) \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \in G_{0}$. Therefore, $G_{0}$ contains at least two distinct matrices of the type $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & f \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ f^{\prime} & 1\end{array}\right), f f^{\prime} \neq 0$. Let $A$ be a subspace of $R^{2}$ such that $G_{0} A=A$. Put $R=\left\{g \in M_{2}\left(R^{1}\right) ; g A \subset A\right\}, M_{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$ being the space of all $2 \times 2$ real matrices. Let us show that $R=M_{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$. Clearly $R$ is
an algebra containing $G_{0}$. Since $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & f \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & f \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \in R,\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ $\in R$. Similarly $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \in R$. Hence $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \in R \quad$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \in R$. Therefore $R=M_{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$, which in turn implies that $A$ is either $R^{2}$ or $\{0\}$, proving the irreducibility of $G_{0}$. Q.E.D.

Let us return to the random system described in 1. From the definition of $\left\{p_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$,

$$
\binom{p_{n+1}^{\omega}(\lambda)}{p_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda)}=T_{n} \cdots T_{0}\binom{1}{0}, \quad T_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2+\lambda+\nu_{n} & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence we have the next theorem by Lemma 1.
Theorem 1. If $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|c| d \nu(c)<\infty$ and the support of $\nu$ is not a single point, then there exists a positive constant $\beta(\lambda)$ such that

$$
P\left\{\omega ; \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \log \left\{\left(p_{n+1}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right)^{2}+\left(p_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right)^{2}\right\}=2 \beta(\lambda)\right\}=1
$$

for each $\lambda \in R^{1}$.
Lemma 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1,

$$
P\left\{\omega ; \operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda-i 0)=0\right\}=1
$$

for each $\lambda \in R^{1}$ and $m \in Z^{+}$.
Proof. By the assumption, $\left\{\left|\nu_{n}\right|\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are independent identically distributed random variables with finite expectation. We put $A(\lambda)$ $=\left\{\omega ; \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \log \left\{\left(p_{n+1}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right)^{2}+\left(p_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right)^{2}\right\}=2 \beta(\lambda)\right\}, \quad \lambda \in R^{1}$, and $B=\left\{\omega ;\left|\nu_{n}\right|\right.$ $=O(n)\}$. Theorem 1 and the strong law of large numbers then imply $P(A(\lambda) \cap B)=1$. Using now the expression (c) of $G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda)$, and noticing $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) e^{-n}<\infty$ and the identity

$$
\frac{1}{p_{n-1}^{\omega}(\lambda) p_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda)}+\frac{1}{p_{n}^{\omega}(\lambda) p_{n+1}^{\omega}(\lambda)}=\frac{\lambda+2+\nu_{n}}{p_{n-1}^{\omega}(\lambda) p_{n+1}^{\omega}(\lambda)}, \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0
$$

we can combine the method of [2] with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to obtain that $\operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda-i 0)=0$ for every $\omega \in A(\lambda) \cap B$.
Q.E.D.

Consider the product space ( $R^{1} \times \Omega, \mathcal{B}\left(R^{1}\right) \times \mathscr{B}, d \lambda \times d P$ ), where ( $R^{1}$, $\left.\mathscr{B}\left(R^{1}\right), d \lambda\right)$ is the real line with the Lebesgue measure $d \lambda$.

Lemma 3. $\left\{(\lambda, \omega) ; \operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda-i 0)=0\right\} \in \mathscr{B}\left(R^{1}\right) \times \mathscr{B}$
Proof. Since the function $f_{n}(\lambda, \omega)=\operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda-i(1 / n))$ is continuous in $\lambda \in R^{1}$ for each $\omega \in \Omega, f_{n}(\lambda, \omega)$ is $\mathscr{B}\left(R^{1}\right) \times \mathscr{B}$ measurable and so is $\operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda-i 0)$.
Q.E.D.

Fubini's theorem together with Lemmas 2 and 3 implies the following.

Lemma 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for almost every fixed $\omega \in \Omega, \operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda-i 0)=0$ a.e. $\lambda \in R^{1}$.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, $P\left\{\omega ; d \rho_{m}^{\omega}(\lambda)\right.$ is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure for all $\left.m \in Z^{+}\right\}=1$

Proof. We see that

$$
\operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}(\lambda)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\lambda^{\prime}-\mu\right)^{2}+\lambda^{\prime \prime 2}} d \rho_{m}^{\omega}(\mu), \quad \lambda=\lambda^{\prime}+i \lambda^{\prime \prime}
$$

When $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0-$, the left-hand side converges to $\operatorname{Im} G_{m m}^{\omega}\left(\lambda^{\prime}-i 0\right)$ and the right-hand side converges to $d \rho_{m}^{\omega}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) / d \lambda^{\prime}$ a.e. $\lambda^{\prime}$ by Fatou's theorem [7]. Theorem 2 now follows from Lemma 4.
Q.E.D

Finally we consider the solution $\left\{u_{n}(t)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of the evolution equation (a) under the initial condition $u_{n}(0)=\delta_{n N}$, with $N \in Z^{+}$being arbitrarily fixed. We say that the weak absence of diffusion takes place if $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|u_{N}(t)\right|^{2} d t$ diverges for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Theorem 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the weak absence of diffusion takes place.

This theorem was obtained by K. Ishii [2] when the support of $\nu$ is finite and is not a single point. By Lemma 4 and the Stieltjes inversion formula, almost every operator $H^{\omega}$ has the property ( $A_{N}$ ) of [2] even in the present case. By the standard argument involving the uniform integrability, we can then prove Theorem 3.
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