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Introduction. In the present paper we shall construct the fundamental solution $U(t)$ for a degenerate parabolic pseudo-differential equation of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+p(t ; x, D) u=0 \quad \text { in }(0, T) \times R^{n}  \tag{0.1}\\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p(t ; x, D)$ is a pseudo-differential operator of class $\mathcal{E}_{t}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}\right)$ which satisfies conditions (cf. [1], [5]):
(i) There exist constant $C$ and $m^{\prime}\left(0 \leqq m^{\prime} \leqq m\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} p(t ; x, \xi) \geqq C\langle\xi\rangle^{m^{\prime}} \quad \text { uniformly in } t \quad(0 \leqq t \leqq T) . \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For any multi index $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right), \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right)$ there exists a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t ; x, \xi) / \operatorname{Re} p(t ; x, \xi)\right| \leqq C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|} \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $t \quad(0 \leqq t \leqq T)$,
where $p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t ; x, \xi)=\left(\partial / \partial \xi_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\partial / \partial \xi_{n}\right)^{\alpha_{n}}\left(-i \partial / \partial x_{1}\right)^{\beta_{1}} \cdots\left(-i \partial / \partial x_{n}\right)^{\beta_{n}} p(t ; x, \xi)$, $|\alpha|=\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|\alpha_{n}\right|,|\beta|=\left|\beta_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|\beta_{n}\right|$ and $\langle\xi\rangle=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

The fundamental solution $U(t)$ will be found as a pseudo-differential operator of class $S_{\rho, \delta}^{0}$ with parameter $t$. Then the solution of the Cauchy problem (0.1) is given by $u(t)=U(t) u_{0}$ for $u_{0} \in L^{2}$ and moreover for $u_{0} \in L^{p}(1<p<\infty)$ in case $\rho=1$, using that operators of class $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ are bounded in $L^{2}$ for $0 \leqq \delta<\rho \leqq 1$, in $L^{p}$ for $0 \leqq \delta<1, \rho=1$ (see [1][3]).

The solution $U(t)$ is given in the form $U(t)=e(t, 0 ; x, D)$ where $e(t, s ; x, D)$ is the solution of an operator equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L_{x, t} e(t, s ; x, D)=0 \quad \text { in } t>s \quad(0 \leqq s<t \leqq T) \\
\left.e(t, s ; x, D)\right|_{t=s}=I,
\end{array}\right.
$$

which can be reduced to an integral equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{N}(t, s ; x, D)+\varphi(t, s ; x, D)+\int_{s}^{t} r_{N}(t, \sigma ; x, D) \varphi(\sigma, s ; x, D) d \sigma=0 \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{N}(t, s ; x, D)$ is a known operator of class $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}$. To solve (0.4), we shall calculate the symbol for multi product of pseudo-differential operators in precise form by using oscillatory integrals in [4] and [6].

1. Notations and Theorem. We shall denote by $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}(0 \leqq \delta<\rho \leqq 1$,
$-\infty<m<\infty)$ the set of all $C^{\infty}$-symbols $p(x, \xi)$ defined in $R_{x}^{n} \times R_{\xi}^{n}$, which satisfy for multi-index $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)\right| \leqq C_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $C_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, where $p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ is defined as above. For a symbol $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ we define a pseudo-differential operator by

$$
P u(x)=p(x, D) u(x)=\int e^{i x \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d \xi,
$$

where $d \xi=(2 \pi)^{-n} d \xi$ and $\hat{u}(\xi)$ denotes the Fourier transform of a rapidly decreasing function $u(x)$ defined by

$$
\hat{u}(\xi)=\int e^{-i x \cdot \xi} u(x) d x
$$

Definition 1.1. For a $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ we define semi-norms $|p|_{m, k}$ by

$$
|p|_{m, k}=\max _{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq k} \sup _{(x, \xi)}\left\{\left|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)\right|\langle\xi\rangle^{-m+\rho|\alpha|-\delta|\beta|}\right\}
$$

then, $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ makes a Fréchet space with these norms. $\quad \mathcal{E}_{t}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}\right)$ is the set of all functions $p(t ; x, \xi)$ of class $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ which are continuous with respect to parameter $t$ for $0 \leqq t \leqq T$.

Definition 1.2 ([5]). We say $\left\{p_{j}(x, \xi)\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ converges to a $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$, weakly, if $\{p(x, \xi)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a bounded set of $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ and $p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ $\rightarrow p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $R_{x}^{n} \times K$ for any $\alpha, \beta$, where $K$ is any compact set in $R^{n}$. We denote by $w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}\right)$ the set of all functions $p(t, s ; x, \xi)$ of class $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}(0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T)$ which are continuous with respect to parameters $t$ and $s$ with weak topology of $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$.

Theorem. Under the assumptions (0.2) and (0.3) we can construct $E(t, s)=e(t, s ; x, D) \in w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}\right)(0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T)$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(A) $L_{x, t} E(t, s)=0 \quad$ in $t>s$
(B) $\left.E(t, s)\right|_{t=s}=I$
(C) For any sufficiently large $N$, we can write

$$
e(t, s ; x, \xi)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} e_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)+(t-s) f_{N}(t, s ; x, \xi)
$$

where
(C-1) $\quad e_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi) \in w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{-(\rho-\delta) j}\right)$
(C-2) $\quad e_{0}(t, s ; x, \xi) \rightarrow 1(t \downarrow s)$ in $S_{\rho, \delta}^{0}$ weakly
(C-3) $\quad e_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi) \rightarrow 0(t \downarrow s)$ in $S_{\rho, \delta}^{-(\rho-\delta) j}$ weakly ( $j \geqq 1$ )
(C-4) $f_{N}(t, s ; x, \xi) \in w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \hat{j}}^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}\right)$
(C-5) $\left|f_{N(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right| \leqq C_{\alpha, \beta}(t-s)\langle\xi\rangle^{2 m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}$ for any $\alpha, \beta$.
2. Proof of Theorem. As in [8], [7], we construct $e_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)$ $(0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T)(j \geqq 0)$ in the following way.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+p(t ; x, \xi)\right] e_{0}(t, s ; x, \xi)=0 \quad \text { in } t>s}  \tag{2.1}\\
\left.e_{0}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right|_{t=s}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and for $j \geqq 1$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+p(t ; x, \xi)\right] e_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)=-q_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi) \quad \text { in } t>s}  \tag{2.2}\\
\left.e_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right|_{t=s}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)=\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\alpha|+k=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p^{(\alpha)}(t ; x, \xi) e_{k(\alpha)}(t, s ; x, \xi) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $e_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s ; x, \xi)=a_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s ; x, \xi) \exp \left(-\int_{s}^{t} p(\sigma ; x, \xi) d \sigma\right)(j \geqq 0)$ and $q_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s ; x, \xi)=b_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s ; x, \xi) \exp \left(-\int_{s}^{t} p(\sigma ; x, \xi) d \sigma\right)(j \geqq 1)$. Then we have by (2.1) $\sim(2.3)$ and (0.3) the following estimates.

Proposition 1. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right| \leqq C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|-(\rho-\delta) j} \omega_{j, \alpha, \beta}, \\
& \left|b_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right| \leqq C_{\alpha, \beta} \operatorname{Re} p(t ; x, \xi)\langle\xi\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|-(\rho-\delta))} \omega_{j, \alpha, \beta}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega_{j, \alpha, \beta}$ and $\omega_{j, \alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{0,0,0}=1, \quad \omega_{0, \alpha, \beta}=\max \left\{\omega, \omega^{|\alpha|+\mid \beta \beta}\right\}|\alpha|+|\beta| \neq 0 \\
& \omega_{j, \alpha, \beta}=\max \left\{\omega^{2}, \omega^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2 j}\right\} \quad(j \geqq 1) \\
& \omega_{j, \alpha, \beta}^{\prime}=\max \left\{\omega, \omega^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2 j-1}\right\} \quad(j \geqq 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\omega=\int_{s}^{t} \operatorname{Re} p(\sigma ; x, \xi) d \sigma$.
Now by the expansion theorem in [2], we can write for any $N$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma\left(P E_{j}\right)= & p(t ; x, \xi) e_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)+\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leqq N-j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p^{(\alpha)}(t ; x, \xi)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \times e_{j(\alpha)}(t, s ; x, \xi)+r_{N, j}(t, s ; x, \xi) .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking summation in $j$, it is clear by (2.1) $\sim(2.3)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{x, t}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} E_{j}\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+p\right) e_{j}\right](t, s ; x, D)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} q_{j}(t, s ; x, D) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{N} r_{N, j}(t, s ; x, D)  \tag{2.5}\\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{N} r_{N, j}(t, s ; x, D) \equiv r_{N}(t, s ; x, D) .
\end{align*}
$$

The following estimates are clear with the aid of Proposition 1 and (2.4).

Proposition 2. We have $r_{N, j}(t, s ; x, \xi) \in w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}\right)$ and for any $\alpha, \beta$

Put $\sum_{j=0}^{N} e_{j}(t, s ; x, D)=k_{N}(t, s ; x, D)$, then we have by (2.5)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L_{x, t} K_{N}(t, s)=R_{N}(t, s) \quad \text { in } t>s \quad(0 \leqq s<t \leqq T)  \tag{2.6}\\
\left.K_{N}(t, s)\right|_{t=s}=I .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, we construct $e(t, s ; x, D)$ as the following form:

$$
e(t, s ; x, D)=k_{N}(t, s ; x, D)+\int_{s}^{t} k_{N}(t, \sigma ; x, D) \varphi(\sigma, s ; x, D) d \sigma
$$

Then, using (2.6), $\varphi(t, s ; x, D)=\Phi(t, s)$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x, t} E(t, s)=R_{N}(t, s)+\Phi(t, s)+\int_{s}^{t} R_{N}(t, \sigma) \Phi(\sigma, s) d \sigma \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\Phi_{1}(t, s)=-R_{N}(t, s)
$$

and for $j \geqq 2$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{j}(t, s)= & \int_{s}^{t} \Phi_{1}(t, \sigma) \Phi_{j-1}(\sigma, s) d \sigma \\
= & \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{s_{1}} \cdots \int_{s}^{s_{j-2}} \Phi_{1}\left(t, s_{1}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \Phi_{1}\left(s_{2}, s_{3}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& \cdots \Phi_{1}\left(s_{j-1}, s\right) d s_{j-1} d s_{j-2} \cdots d s_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{l} \Phi_{j}(t, s) & =\Phi_{1}(t, s)+\sum_{j=2}^{l} \Phi_{j}(t, s)  \tag{2.9}\\
& =-R_{N}(t, s)-\int_{s}^{t} R_{N}(t, \sigma) \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \Phi_{j}(\sigma, s) d \sigma
\end{align*}
$$

For $\sigma\left(\Phi_{j}(t, s)\right)=\varphi_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)$, we have the following
Proposition 3. We have some constants $A_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $A_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, which are independent of $j$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\varphi_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right| \leqq\left(A_{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{j} \frac{(t-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}(t-s)\langle\xi\rangle^{2 m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}  \tag{2.10}\\
&\left.\mid \varphi_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
&(t, s ; x, \xi) \left\lvert\, \leqq\left(A_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}\right)^{j} \frac{(t-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

In view of Proposition 3, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{j}=\varphi \in w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}\right)$ and (2.9) means that $\Phi(t, s)=\varphi(t, s ; x, D)$ given above satisfies (2.7). Note that $K_{N}(t, s) \in w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{0}\right)$ and

$$
\left|\varphi_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right| \leqq C_{\alpha, \beta}(t-s)\langle\xi\rangle^{2 m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)} .
$$

Then we have the assertion of theorem.
Proof of Proposition 3. Using the oscillatory integral in [4], we have from (2.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)=\int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{s_{1}} \cdots \int_{s}^{s_{j-2}} d s_{j-1} \cdots d s_{1}\left[O_{s}-\iint \cdots \int e^{-i \sum_{l}^{j-1} \eta_{l} \cdot y_{l}}\right. \\
& \quad \times \varphi_{1}\left(t, s_{1} ; x, \xi+\eta_{1}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{j-2} \varphi_{1}\left(s_{k}, s_{k+1} ; x+\sum_{l=1}^{k} y_{l}, \xi+\eta_{k+1}\right) \\
& \left.\quad \times \varphi_{1}\left(s_{j-1}, s ; x+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} y_{l}, \xi\right) d y_{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots d y_{j-1} d \eta_{j-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $\varphi_{1} \in w-\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^{0}\left(S_{\rho, \delta}^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}\right)$ and rewrite

$$
e^{-i y_{k} \cdot \eta_{k}}=\left(1+\left\langle\xi+\eta_{k}\right\rangle^{2 n_{0} \delta}\left|y_{k}\right|^{2 n_{0}}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\left\langle\xi+\eta_{k}\right\rangle^{2 n_{0} \delta}\left(-\Delta_{\eta_{k}} n_{0}^{n_{0}}\right) e^{-i y_{k} \cdot \eta_{k}} .\right.
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi_{j}\right| \leqq & \left(C_{n_{0}}\right)^{j} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{s_{1}} \cdots \int_{s}^{s_{j-2}} d s_{j-1} \cdots d s_{1}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)} \\
& \times \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \iint\left(1+\left\langle\xi+\eta_{k}\right\rangle^{2 n_{0} \delta}\left|y_{k}\right|^{2 n_{0}}\right)^{-1}\left\langle\xi+\eta_{k}\right\rangle^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)} d y_{k} d \eta_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n_{0}>(n / 2)$ is an integer. If we take $N$ such that $m-(\rho-\delta)$
$(N+1)<-n$, then we get

$$
\left|\varphi_{j}(t, s ; x, \xi)\right| \leqq\left(C_{n_{0}}\right)^{j} \frac{(t-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)} .
$$

By Proposition 2, we can prove (2.11) for $\alpha=\beta=0$. For any $\alpha, \beta$ (2.10) and (2.11) are proved in the same way.

Example.

$$
L_{x, t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+a(t)|x|^{2 b}(-\Delta)^{m}+(-\Delta)
$$

where $a(t) \in C^{\infty}[0, T], a(t) \geqq 0$, and $b$ and $m$ are positive integers such that $b+1>m$.
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