## 55. Note on Strongly Regular Rings and P<sub>1</sub>-Rings

By Katsuo CHIBA\*) and Hisao TOMINAGA\*\*)

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., April 12, 1975)

Throughout,  $R \ (\neq 0)$  will represent a ring. R is called a reduced ring, if R contains no non-zero nilpotent elements. As is well-known, in a reduced ring every idempotent is central and the left annihilator l(T) of an arbitrary subset T of the ring coincides with the right one r(T). Following [4], R is said to be left s-unital, if RI=I for every left ideal I of R, or equivalently, if every principal left ideal (a) of R coincides with *Ra*. Needless to say, every regular ring is left s-unital. A left R-module U is defined to be *p*-injective, if for any (a) and any R-homomorphism  $f:(a| \rightarrow U$  there exists an element  $u \in U$  such that f(x) = xu for all  $x \in (a \mid (cf. [5]))$ . If R is a regular ring then every left *R*-module is *p*-injective. Conversely, if every  $(a \mid is p - injective then R)$ is a regular ring. In fact, the identity map  $i: (a \to (a)$  is induced by the right multiplication of some idempotent contained in (a). If R is a  $P_1$ -ring, i.e., if aR = aRa for any  $a \in R$ , then the set N of nilpotent elements coincides with l(R) (cf. [3]). Similarly, if  $aR = a^2R$  for any  $a \in R$  then N = l(R). While, if  $aR \subseteq Ra^2$  for any  $a \in R$ , then N coincides with  $l(R^2)$  (cf. [2]). As to other terminologies used here, we follow [1].

Now, the purpose of this note is to prove the following theorems. Theorem 1. (a) The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R is a strongly regular ring.

(2) R is a reduced ring such that every (a | is either l(b) with some b or Re with some idempotent e.

(3) R is a left s-unital, left duo ring such that every irreducible left R-module is p-injective.

(4) R is a left duo ring such that every (a) is p-injective.

- (5) R is a semi-prime  $P_1$ -ring.
- (6) R is a semi-prime ring such that  $aR = a^2R$  for any  $a \in R$ .
- (7) R is a semi-prime ring such that  $aR \subseteq Ra^2$  for any  $a \in R$ .
- (b) The following conditions are equivalent:
- (1) R is a strongly regular ring with 1.

(2) R is a reduced ring such that every (a | is l(b) with some b.

(3) R is a left duo ring with 1 such that every irreducible left R-module is p-injective.

- (4) R is a  $P_1$ -ring with 1.
- \*) Hokkaido University.

\*\*) Okayama University.

Theorem 2. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a direct sum of a strongly regular ring and a zero ring.
- (2) R is a  $P_1$ -ring.
- (3)  $aR \subseteq Ra^2$  for any  $a \in R$ .
- (4) l(R) = r(R) and R/l(R) is strongly regular.
- (5)  $R^2 = R^3$ ,  $l(R^2) = r(R^2)$ , and  $R/l(R^2)$  is strongly regular.
- (6)  $aR = a^2R$  and  $Ra = Ra^2$  for any  $a \in R$ .
- (7) R/l(R) and R/r(R) are strongly regular.

Obviously, Theorem 1 contains the principal results of [5], and Theorem 2 improves [2, Theorems 1 and 3].

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) It is easy to see that (1) implies (2)-(7).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . If  $(a^2|=Re$  with an idempotent e then  $(a-ae)^2=0$  implies  $a=ae \in (a^2|$ . While, if  $(a^2|=l(b)$  then  $a^2b=0$  implies aba=0, and so  $(ab)^2=0$ . Hence, we have ab=0, which means  $a \in l(b)=(a^2|$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . To our end, it suffices to show that Ra + l(a) = R which will prove  $Ra^2 = Ra = (a|$ . If  $Ra + l(a) \neq R$ , then by [4, Lemma 1 (a)] there exists a maximal (left) ideal M containing Ra + l(a). We consider here the map  $f: Ra \rightarrow R/M$  defined by  $xa \rightarrow x + M(x \in R)$ . To be easily seen, f is well-defined and is an R-homomorphism. Since R/M is an irreducible left R-module, there exists some  $a \in R$  such that x + M = xab+M = M for all  $x \in R$ . But, this yields a contradiction R = M.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since R is a regular, left duo ring, it is strongly regular by [1, Theorem].

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1). In any rate, R is a reduced ring. If  $a^2 = aa'a = a'a^2$  then  $(a-aa')^2 = 0$ , and hence a = aa' = aba with some b.

Similarly, (6) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (7) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

(b) It suffices to prove that (2) implies (1). In fact, R is strongly regular by (a). We set  $(a|=l(b)=Re_1 \text{ and } (b|=Re_2 \text{ with some (orthogonal) idempotents } e_1, e_2$ . Then,  $e=e_1+e_2$  is an idempotent and  $(r(e))^2 = (r(e_1) \cap r(e_2))^2 = (r(a) \cap r(b))^2 = (r(a) \cap l(b))^2 = (r(a) \cap (a|)^2 = 0$ . Hence, r(e)=0 and e is the identity of R.

In advance of the proof of Theorem 2, we state a couple of lemmas. Lemma 1. If  $l(\mathbb{R}^n) = r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}/l(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is strongly regular for a positive integer n, then  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \oplus l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Proof. First, we claim that if e is an idempotent of R then it is central. In fact,  $\overline{R}$  being strongly regular,  $ae - ea \in l(R^n)$  for any  $a \in R$ , so that  $ae - eae = (ae - ea)e^n = 0$ , and similarly ea - eae = 0. Thus, ae = eae = ea for any  $a \in R$ . The strong regularity of  $\overline{R}$  implies also  $R = R^{n+1} + l(R^n)$ . Now, let  $x = \sum x_i^{(1)} x_i^{(2)} \cdots x_i^{(n+1)}$  be an arbitrary element of  $R^{n+1} \cap l(R^n)$ . Then, by the regularity of  $\overline{R}$ ,  $x_i^{(1)} \equiv x_i^{(1)}e \pmod{l(R^n)}$  for some (central) idempotent e. Hence,  $(x_i^{(1)} - x_i^{(1)}e)R^n = 0$  and  $x = \sum x_i^{(1)} ex_i^{(2)} \cdots x_i^{(n+1)} = \sum x_i^{(1)} x_i^{(2)} \cdots x_i^{(n+1)}e = xe^n = 0$ , whence it follows

No. 4]

 $R = R^{n+1} \oplus l(R^n).$ 

Lemma 2. (a) If R is a  $P_1$ -ring then l(x) = r(x) for any  $x \in R$ . (b) If  $aR \subseteq Ra^2$  for any  $a \in R$ , then l(x) = r(x) for any  $x \in R$ .

**Proof.** Since (a) is [2, Lemma 1] itself, we shall prove (b) only. We claim first that if  $yx \in l(R^2)$  then  $yx \in l(R)$ . By hypothesis,  $yx=ry^2$  for some  $r \in R$ . Then,  $0=yxry=ry^2ry$  implies  $(yry)^2=0$ , namely,  $yry \in l(R^2)$ . Accordingly,  $(ry)^3=0$  and  $ry \in l(R^2)$ , which implies yxR $=ry^2R=0$ . In particular, if xy=0 then  $ry \in l(R^2)$  implies  $ry \in l(R)$  and  $yx=ry^2=0$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. Our theorem is an easy combination of Theorem 1 (a), Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

## References

- K. Chiba and H. Tominaga: On strongly regular rings. Proc. Japan Acad., 49, 435-437 (1973).
- S. Ligh and Y. Utumi: Direct sum of strongly regular rings and zero rings. Proc. Japan Acad., 50, 589–592 (1974).
- [3] F. Szász: Some generalizations of strongly regular rings. I. Math. Japonicae, 17, 115-118 (1972).
- [4] H. Tominaga: On decompositions into simple rings (to appear in Math. J. Okayama Univ.).
- [5] R. Yue Chi Ming: On (von Neumann) regular rings. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 19(2), 89-91 (1974).