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Let $\left\{A_{n}, f_{n}\right\}$ be a family of groups $A_{n}$ and homomorphisms $f_{n}: A_{n}$ $\rightarrow A_{n-1}$, defined for all $n \in Z(Z=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\})$. If a sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} A_{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots
$$

is exact, then we denote it by ( $A_{n}: f_{n}$ ) and we say ( $A_{n}: f_{n}$ ) to be well defined. Generalizations of Isomorphism Theorem and the JordanHölder Theorem in group theory have been given in some papers (for example, [2] and [3]). The purpose of this note is also to give those theorems for a sequence $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right)$.

1. Isomorphism Theorem. In this section, let $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ and ( $B_{n}: g_{n}$ ) be well defined. A translation $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ of $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ into ( $B_{n}: g_{n}$ ) is the set of homomorphisms $\alpha_{n}: A_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ such that $\alpha_{n-1} f_{n}=g_{n} \alpha_{n}$ for all $n \in Z$. Moreover, if each $\alpha_{n}$ is an isomorphism, we say that ( $A_{n}: f_{n}$ ) is isomorphic to $\left(B_{n}: g_{n}\right)$. If for each $n \in Z, B_{n}$ is a subgroup of $A_{n}$, i.e., $A_{n} \geqslant B_{n}$, and $f_{n}=g_{n}$ on $B_{n}$, then we denote ( $B_{n}: g_{n}$ ) by ( $B_{n}: f_{n}$ ). In this case, we call $\left(B_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ a subsequence of $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ and write it in the notation: $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right) \geqslant\left(B_{n}: f_{n}\right)$. Moreover, if $A_{n} \triangleright B_{n}$ for all $n \in Z$, we call ( $B_{n}: f_{n}$ ) a normal subsequence of $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ and write it in the notation: $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right) \triangleright\left(B_{n}: f_{n}\right)$.

It is easy to prove the following
Lemma 1. Let $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ be well defined. For each $n \in Z$, let $M_{n}$ be a subgroup of $A_{n}$. Then $\left(M_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ is well defined iff $f_{n}\left(M_{n}\right)=f_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)$ $\cap M_{n-1}$ for all $n \in Z$.

By Lemma 1 and the same way as in proofs of [1, Lemma 2] and [1, Lemma 3], we can prove the following

Lemma 2. Let $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right) \geqslant\left(P_{n}: f_{n}\right)$. For each $n \in Z$, let $A_{n} \geqslant M_{n}$ $\triangleright P_{n}$. Then $\left(M_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ is well defined iff $\left(M_{n} / P_{n}: \bar{f}_{n}\right)$ is well defined where each $\bar{f}_{n}$ is a mapping which is naturally induced by $f_{n}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}:\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(B_{n}: g_{n}\right)$ be a translation. Then $\left(\alpha_{n}\left(A_{n}\right): g_{n}\right)$ is well defined iff $\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha_{n}\right): f_{n}\right)$ is well defined. In this case, $\left(A_{n} / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha_{n}\right): \bar{f}_{n}\right)$ is also well defined and isomorphic to $\left(\alpha_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)\right.$ : $g_{n}$, where for each $n \in Z, \bar{f}_{n}$ is a mapping which is naturally induced by $f_{n}$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from routine arguments and the remainder follows from Lemma 2.

Theorem 2. Let $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right) \triangleright\left(M_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ and $\left(A_{n}: f_{n}\right) \geqslant\left(H_{n}: f_{n}\right)$. Then $\left(M_{n} H_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ is well defined iff $\left(M_{n} \cap H_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ is well defined. In this case, $\left(M_{n} H_{n} / M_{n}: \bar{f}_{n}\right)$ and $\left(H_{n} / M_{n} \cap H_{n}: \hat{f}_{n}\right)$ are well defined and mutually isomorphic, where for each $n \in Z, \bar{f}_{n}$ and $\hat{f}_{n}$ are mappings which are naturally induced by $f_{n}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $\left(A_{n} / M_{n}: \bar{f}_{n}\right)$ is well defined. We consider the translation $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}:\left(H_{n}: f_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{n} / M_{n}: \bar{f}_{n}\right)$ where each $\alpha_{n}$ is a natural homomorphism. By Theorem 1, $\left(M_{n} H_{n} / M_{n}: \bar{f}_{n}\right)$ is well defined iff ( $M_{n} \cap H_{n}: f_{n}$ ) is well defined. Hence the first assertion follows from Lemma 2. A proof of the remainder is obvious.
2. Jordan-Hölder Theorem. Now we simplify our notation, that is, we write $G^{*}$ instead of $\left(G_{n}: f_{n}\right)$. Let $G^{*} \geqslant A^{*}, B^{*}$ and $G^{*} \triangleright M^{*}$. If $\left(A_{n} \cap B_{n}: f_{n}\right),\left(A_{n} B_{n}: f_{n}\right)$ and $\left(G_{n} / M_{n}: \bar{f}_{n}\right)$ are well defined where for each $n \in Z, \bar{f}_{n}$ is a mapping which is naturally induced by $f_{n}$, then we write $A^{*} \cap B^{*}, A^{*} B^{*}$ and $G^{*} / M^{*}$ instead of those and say that $A^{*} \cap B^{*}$, $A^{*} B^{*}$ and $G^{*} / M^{*}$ are well defined, respectively. If there is a family $\left\{K_{i}^{*}\right\}$ such that $G^{*}=K_{0}^{*} \triangleright K_{1}^{*} \triangleright \ldots \triangleright K_{r}^{*}=A^{*}, A^{*}$ is said to be subnormal in $G^{*}, G^{*} \triangleright \triangleright A^{*}$. Let $G^{*} \geqslant A^{*}$. We say that $A^{*}$ has the I-property in $G^{*}$ if for every subnormal subsequence $B^{*}$ of $G^{*}, A^{*} \cap B^{*}$ is well defined. Let $G^{*}=K_{0}^{*} \triangleright K_{1}^{*} \triangleright \ldots \triangleright K_{r}^{*}=A^{*}$. This series is called an $I$ normal series if each $K_{i}^{*}$ has the I-property in $G^{*}$.

From the definition, we have easily the following
Proposition 1. Let $G^{*}=K_{0}^{*} \triangleright K_{1}^{*} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright K_{r}^{*}=A^{*}$. Then this is an I-normal series iff each $K_{i+1}^{*}$ has the I-property in $K_{i}^{*}$.

Let $G^{*} \geqslant A^{*}$. If there is $n \in Z$ such that $A_{n}$ is a proper subgroup of $G_{n}$, then $A^{*}$ is said to be a proper subsequence of $G^{*}$. We say that $G^{*}$ is $I$-simple if no proper normal subsequence of $G^{*}$ has the $I$-property in $G^{*}$. Furthermore, an $I$-normal series $G^{*}=K_{0}^{*} \triangleright K_{1}^{*} \triangleright \ldots \triangleright K_{r}^{*}=A^{*}$ is called an I-composition series from $G^{*}$ to $A^{*}$ if each $K_{i+1}^{*}$ is a proper subsequence of $K_{i}^{*}$ such that $K_{i}^{*} / K_{i+1}^{*}$ is $I$-simple.

Proposition 2. Let $G^{*} \triangleright M^{*}$ and suppose $M^{*}$ has the I-property in $G^{*}$. Then $G^{*} / M^{*}$ is I-simple iff for every $H^{*}$ having the I-property in $G^{*}, G^{*} \triangleright H^{*} \geqslant M^{*}$ implies $H^{*}=G^{*}$ or $H^{*}=M^{*}$.

Proof. If part: Let $G^{*} / M^{*} \triangleright X^{*}$ and suppose $X^{*}$ has the $I$ property in $G^{*} / M^{*}$. Then, by Lemma 2, there is a subsequence $H^{*}$ of $G^{*}$ such that $G^{*} \triangleright H^{*} \triangleright M^{*}$ and $X^{*}=H^{*} / M^{*}$. Now let $G^{*} \triangleright \triangleright L^{*}$. Then $L^{*} \cap M^{*}$ is well defined and so is $L^{*} M^{*}$ by Theorem 2. Hence $L^{*} M^{*} / M^{*}$ is well defined by Lemma 2 and $G^{*} / M^{*} \triangleright \triangleright L^{*} M^{*} / M^{*}$. Thus $H^{*} / M^{*}$ $\cap L^{*} M^{*} / M^{*}$ is well defined and so is $H^{*}\left(L^{*} M^{*}\right) / M^{*}$. Hence $H^{*}\left(L^{*} M^{*}\right)$ $=H^{*} L^{*}$ is well defined by Lemma 2 and so is $H^{*} \cap L^{*}$ by Theorem 2. This shows that $H^{*}$ has the $I$-property in $G^{*}$. Hence $H^{*}=M^{*}$ or $H^{*}$ $=G^{*}$. Therefore $G^{*} / M^{*}$ is $I$-simple. Only if part: By the same way
as in the stated above, the application of Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 gives its proof and so we omit it.

Lemma 3. Let $G^{*} \triangleright A^{*}$ and $G^{*} \geqslant B^{*}$. Suppose $A^{*}$ and $B^{*}$ have the I-property in $G^{*}$. Then $A^{*} B^{*}$ is well defined. Furthermore if $G^{*} \triangleright A^{*} B^{*}$, then $A^{*} B^{*}$ has the I-property in $G^{*}$.

Proof. Let $G^{*} \triangleright \triangleright H^{*}$. Then $A^{*} \cap H^{*}$ is well defined and so is $A^{*} H^{*}$ by Theorem 2. Furthermore $G^{*} \triangleright \triangleright A^{*} H^{*}$ and so $B^{*} \cap A^{*} H^{*}$ is well defined. On the other hand, $A^{*} \cap B^{*}$ is well defined and $B^{*} \cap A^{*} H^{*}$ $\geqslant A^{*} \cap B^{*}$. Hence $\left(A^{*} \cap B^{*}\right) \cap\left(B^{*} \cap A^{*} H^{*}\right)$ is well defined and so is $A^{*}$ $\cap\left(B^{*} \cap A^{*} H^{*}\right)$. Thus $A^{*}\left(B^{*} \cap A^{*} H^{*}\right)$ and $A^{*} B^{*}$ are well defined by Theorem 2. Hence, simultaneously with $A^{*}\left(B^{*} \cap A^{*} H^{*}\right)=A^{*} B^{*}$ $\cap A^{*} H^{*}$, we obtain that $A^{*} B^{*} \cap A^{*} H^{*}$ is well defined. Let $G^{*} \triangleright A^{*} B^{*}$. Then $\left(A^{*} B^{*}\right)\left(A^{*} H^{*}\right)$ is well defined and so is $\left(A^{*} B^{*}\right) H^{*}$. Thus, by Theorem 2, $A^{*} B^{*} \cap H^{*}$ is well defined. Hence $A^{*} B^{*}$ has the $I$-property in $G^{*}$.

Lemma 4. Let $G^{*} \triangleright \triangleright A^{*} \triangleright B^{*}$ and let $G^{*} \triangleright \triangleright H^{*} \triangleright C^{*}$. Suppose $A^{*}, B^{*}$ and $C^{*}$ have the I-property in $G^{*}$. Then $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap C^{*}\right)$ and $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right)$ are well defined. Furthermore $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap C^{*}\right)$ has the $I$ property in $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap C^{*}\right)$ and $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right)$ are well defined. Furthermore $G^{*} \triangleright \triangleright B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right)$. Since $B^{*}$ and $A^{*} \cap C^{*}$ have the $I$-property in $G^{*}$, those have the $I$-property in $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right)$. Moreover $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right) \triangleright B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap C^{*}\right)$ and $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right) \triangleright B^{*}$. Hence, by Lemma $3, B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap C^{*}\right)$ has the $I$-property in $B^{*}\left(A^{*} \cap H^{*}\right)$.

From Proposition 1, Lemma 4 and the well known results, we have following

Lemma 5. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{*}=K_{0}^{*} \triangleright K_{1}^{*} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright K_{r}^{*}=A^{*}, \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{*}=L_{0}^{*} \triangleright L_{1}^{*} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright L_{s}^{*}=A^{*} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

be two I-normal series from $G^{*}$ to $A^{*}$. Then $K_{i}^{*}\left(K_{i-1}^{*} \cap L_{j}^{*}\right)\left(=K_{i, j}^{*} ; r\right.$ $\geqslant i \geqslant 1 ; s \geqslant j \geqslant 0)$ and $L_{j}^{*}\left(L_{j-1}^{*} \cap K_{i}^{*}\right)\left(=L_{j, i}^{*} ; s \geqslant j \geqslant 1 ; r \geqslant i \geqslant 0\right)$ are well defined. Furthermore, for each $i, j(r \geqslant i \geqslant 1 ; s \geqslant j \geqslant 0), K_{i, j}^{*}$ has the $I$ property in $G^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i-1}^{*}=K_{i, 0}^{*} \triangleright K_{i, 1}^{*} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright K_{i, s}^{*}=K_{i}^{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for each $i, j(r \geqslant i \geqslant 0 ; s \geqslant j \geqslant 1), L_{j, i}^{*}$ has the I-property in $G^{*}$ and
(2) $\quad L_{j-1}^{*}=L_{j,,}^{*} \triangleright L_{j, 1}^{*} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright L_{j, r}^{*}=L_{j}^{*}$.

Joining the I-normal series (1), respectively (2), together, we obtain refinements of the I-normal series (i) and (ii) for which $K_{i, j-1}^{*} / K_{i, j}^{*}$ $\leftrightarrow L_{j, i-1}^{*} / L_{j, i}^{*}$ is a one to one correspondence of their factors such that corresponding factors are isomorphic.

By Lemma 5 and the well known procedure, we have the following

Theorem 3 (Jordan-Hölder Theorem). If
$G^{*}=K_{0}^{*} \geqslant K_{1}^{*} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant K_{r}^{*}=A^{*} \quad$ and $\quad G^{*}=L_{0}^{*} \geqslant L_{1}^{*} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant L_{s}^{*}=A^{*}$ are two I-composition series from $G^{*}$ to $A^{*}$, then $r=s$. Furthermore there is a permutation $\pi$ of $\{1, \cdots, r\}$ such that $K_{i-1}^{*} / K_{i}^{*}$ is isomorphic to $L_{\pi(i)-1}^{*} / L_{\pi(i)}^{*}$ for each $i=1, \cdots, r$.
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