## 3. A Theorem on Cesàro Summability.

By Tatsuo TAKAHASHI.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai. (Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., Jan. 12, 1934.)

Recently Prof. Y. Okada proved the theorem:

Let the series<sup>1)</sup>  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  be summable (C, a) (a>-1), with sum s. If, for any given non-negative k,

(1) 
$$\underline{\lim} (C_n^{(k)} - C_m^{(k)}) \ge 0 \qquad \left(\frac{n}{m} \to 1, \quad n \ge m \to +\infty\right)$$

holds, then the series is summable (C, k) with sum s, where

$$C_n^{(k)} = \frac{S_n^{(k)}}{\binom{n+k}{k}},$$

and

$$S_n^{(k)} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n-\nu-k}{k} a_{\nu}.$$

In the present paper, it is aimed to deduce a more general theorem, from Schmidt's theorem which runs as follows:

Let the series be summable by Abel's method with sum s. If

$$\underline{\lim} (s_n - s_m) \ge 0 \qquad \left(\frac{n}{m} \to 1, \quad n \ge m \to +\infty\right)$$

holds, then the series is convergent with sum s, where

$$s_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\nu}$$
.

Theorem. Let the series  $\sum a_n$  be summable by Abel's method with sum s. If for any given non-negative k, (1) holds, then the series is summable (C, k) with sum s.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that s=0. Consider the series

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (C_{\nu}^{(k)} - C_{\nu-1}^{(k)}), \qquad (C_{-1}^{(k)} = 0),$$

then

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (C_{\nu}^{(k)} - C_{\nu-1}^{(k)}) = C_{n}^{(k)}.$$

<sup>1)</sup> We consider here only real series.

<sup>2)</sup> Y. Okada: On the converse of the consistency of Cesaro's summability, Tohoku Mathematical Journal, **38** (1933).

A Theorem on Cesàro Summability.

We can prove that, if

 $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ 

$$a_n x^n \to 0$$
, as  $x \to 1-0$ ,

then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (C_n^{(k)} - C_{n-1}^{(k)}) x^n \to 0 , \quad \text{as} \quad x \to 1 - 0 .$$

For, by the Schmidt's theorem,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (C_n^{(k)} - C_{n-1}^{(k)})$$

is convergent, which means the (C, k) summability of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ .

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (C_n^{(k)} - C_{n-1}^{(k)}) x^n = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{(k)} x^n.$$

If we put

Now

$$P(x) = (1-x)\Gamma(1+k)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_n^{(k)}}{(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+k)} x^n$$
$$= (1-x)\Gamma(1+k)(\int_0^x dx)^k \frac{f(x)}{(1-x)^{k+1}},$$

where

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n ,$$

it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{x=1-0} P(x) = 0.$$

For any  $\epsilon (> 0)$ , there is a  $\delta$  such that

$$|f(x)| < \varepsilon$$
, for  $1 - \delta < x < 1$ ,

and there is an M such that

$$|f(x)| \leq M$$
, for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .

We have

$$|P(x)| \leq (1-x)\Gamma(1+k)(\int_{0}^{x} dx)^{k} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1-x)^{k+1}}$$
  
=  $(1-x)\Gamma(1+k)(\int_{0}^{x} dx)^{k-1}(\int_{0}^{1-\delta} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1-x)^{k+1}} dx + \int_{1-\delta}^{x} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1-x)^{k+1}} dx)$   
 $\leq (1-x)\Gamma(1+k)\frac{M}{\delta^{k}} + \epsilon(1-x)\Gamma(1+k)(\int_{0}^{x} dx)^{k} \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k+1}}.$ 

Letting  $x \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{x=1-0}|P(x)|\leq \varepsilon.$$

As  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{x\to 1-0}P(x)=0.$$

Thus the theorem is proved.