

#### 48. Relation between the Measures $\Lambda_a(X)$ and $m^*(X)$ .

By Sôichi KAKEYA, Masamiti MIBU and Hideo YONEMURA.

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Imperial University.

(Comm. by S. KAKEYA, M.I.A., May 12, 1943.)

A function of set  $\Gamma(X)$ , which is defined and non-negative for all sets of a metrical space, will be called an outer measure in the sense of Carathéodory or simply Carathéodory measure, if it subject the following conditions :

- (C- I )  $\Gamma(X) \leq \Gamma(Y)$  whenever a set  $Y$  includes a set  $X$ ,  
 (C- II )  $\Gamma(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(X_n)$  for every sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  of sets,  
 (C-III)  $\Gamma(X+Y) = \Gamma(X) + \Gamma(Y)$  whenever the distance  $\rho(X, Y) > 0$ .

Now in any separable metrical space, we can define, as the following manner, a function of set which is one of Carathéodory measures.

Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary positive number. Given a set in the space, we shall denote, for each positive number  $\epsilon$ , by  $\Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(X)$  the greatest lower bound of the sums  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [d(X_n)]^\alpha$ , for which  $(X_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$  is an arbitrary partition of the set  $X$  into a sequence of sets whose diameters  $d(X_n)$  are less than  $\epsilon$ .

When  $\epsilon$  tends to 0, the number  $\Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(X)$  tends, in a monotone non-decreasing manner, to a unique limit (finite or infinite), which we shall denote by  $\Lambda_a(X)$ . The function  $\Lambda_a(X)$  of set thus defined is an outer measure in the sense of Carathéodory.

For, when  $\epsilon > 0$ , we clearly have,

- ( I )  $\Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(X) \leq \Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(Y)$  whenever  $X < Y$ ,  
 ( II )  $\Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(X_n)$  for any sequence of sets,  
 (III)  $\Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(X+Y) = \Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(X) + \Lambda_a^{(\epsilon)}(Y)$  if  $\rho(X, Y) > \epsilon$ .

Making here  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , (I), (II) and (III) become respectively the three conditions (C-I), (C-II) and (C-III). (vide; Saks: Theory of the integral, § 8, Chap.-II.)

In particular, we shall study the relation of  $\Lambda_2(X)$  thus defined and Lebesgue outer measure  $m^*(X)$  in the two-dimensional Euclidean space.

First, we shall show two necessary lemmas.

Lemma I. Among the class of all sets whose diameters do not exceed a given constant, the set having the largest Lebesgue outer measure is the circle. In the other words  $d(E)^2\pi/4 \geq m^*(E)$  for each bounded set  $E$ . (vide; Bonnesen-Fenchel; Theorie d. Konvexen Körper, S. 76 u. 107.)

Lemma II. Let  $\{I_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  be an arbitrary sequence of the closed circles which covers a set  $X$ . Then the greatest lower bound of the sums  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(I_n)$  coincides with Lebesgue outer measure of  $X$ .

Further we may restrict ourselves to each diameter of  $I_n$  less than a given positive number  $\epsilon$ . (vide: Hausdorff, Math. Ann. **79**, 163.)

We are now able to prove

Theorem.  $\Lambda_2(X) = 4m^*(X)/\pi$  for each set  $X$  in the two-dimensional Euclidean space.

Proof. For brevity, we shall denote  $\Lambda_2(X)$  and  $\Lambda_2^{(o)}(X)$  by  $\Lambda(X)$  and  $\Lambda^{(o)}(X)$  respectively. For an arbitrary positive number  $\gamma$ , by the definition, there exists a sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  of partition of  $X$  subjecting to the conditions,

$$d(X_n) < \epsilon \quad (n=1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^{(o)}(X) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(X_n)^2 - \gamma \quad (1)$$

Now by Lemma I

$$d(X_n)^2 \geq 4m^*(X_n)/\pi \quad (2)$$

and it is very evident that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(X_n) \geq m^*(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n) = m^*(X). \quad (3)$$

It follows from (1), (2) and (3) that

$$\Lambda^{(o)}(X) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(X_n)^2 - \gamma \geq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(X_n)/\pi - \gamma \geq 4m^*(X)/\pi - \gamma.$$

Since  $\gamma$  is arbitrary, this shows clearly

$$\Lambda^{(o)}(X) \geq 4m^*(X)/\pi. \quad (4)$$

To prove the inverse inequality, let  $\gamma'$  be an arbitrary positive number. Then by virtue of Lemma II, there exists a sequence of closed circles  $\{I_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  having the properties:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \supset X, \quad d(I_n) < \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

$$m^*(X) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(I_n) - \gamma' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi d(I_n)^2/4 - \gamma' = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(I_n)^2/4 - \gamma'. \quad (5)$$

Here, when we write  $X_1 = X \cdot I_1$  and  $X_n = X(I_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I_i)$  for  $n=2, 3, \dots$ ,  $\{X_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  is evidently one of the partitions of  $X$  and each  $X_n$  has the diameter less than  $\epsilon$ . Therefore, the following inequality holds at once.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(I_n)^2 \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(X_n)^2 \geq \Lambda^{(o)}(X). \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) we get

$$m^*(X) \geq \pi \Lambda^{(o)}(X)/4 - \gamma'.$$

Since  $\gamma'$  is arbitrary, this inequality shows

$$m^*(X) \geq \pi \Lambda^{(o)}(X)/4. \quad (7)$$

By virtue of (4) and (7), we finally get

$$m^*(X) = \pi \Lambda^{(o)}(X)/4$$

Accordingly,  $\Lambda(X) = \text{Lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{(o)}(X) = 4m^*(X)/\pi$ , which completes the proof.

In the preceding proof, we find that  $\Lambda^{(\epsilon)}(X)$  is independent of  $\epsilon$ , and is always equal to  $\Lambda(X)$ .

In the general  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space, we can get, by the similar manner, the following relations :

$$\Lambda_n(X) = \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot 2^{n/2}}{\pi^{n/2}} m^*(X) \quad \text{for even } n,$$

$$\Lambda_n(X) = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot 2^{(n-1)/2}}{\pi^{(n-1)/2}} m^*(X) \quad \text{for odd } n.$$

---