## 74. On Cardinal Numbers Related with a Compact Abelian Group.

By Shizuo KAKUTANI.

Mathematical Institute, Osaka Imperial University. (Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., July 12, 1943.)

\$1. Throughout the present paper we use the following notation:

(1) p(A) = the cardinal number of a set A.

Let G be a compact abelian group containing an infinite number of elements, and let us put

- (2)  $\mathfrak{v}(G) = \text{the smallest cardinal number } \mathfrak{p}(\Gamma) \text{ of a system } \mathfrak{V}(0) = \{V_r(0) | r \in \Gamma\} \text{ of open neighborhoods } V_r(0) \text{ of the zero element } 0 \text{ of } G \text{ which defines}^{1} \text{ the topology of } G \text{ at } 0,$
- (3)  $\mathfrak{o}(G) = \text{the smallest cardinal number } \mathfrak{p}(\Gamma) \text{ of a system } \mathfrak{D} = \{O_r | r \in \Gamma\} \text{ of open subsets } O_r \text{ of } G \text{ which defines}^{2} \text{ the topology of } G,$
- (4) b(G)=the smallest cardinal number b(D) of a subset D of G which is everywhere dense in G.

The purpose of the present paper is to evaluate the cardinal numbers  $\mathfrak{p}(G)$ ,  $\mathfrak{v}(G)$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{d}(G)$  in terms of the cardinal number  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}(G^*)$  of the discrete character group  $G^*$  of G. The main results may be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.  $\mathfrak{p}(G)=2^{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

Theorem 2. v(G) = v(G) = u.

Theorem 3.  $\mathfrak{d}(G) = \mathfrak{n}$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the smallest cardinal number which satisfies  $2^{\mathfrak{n}} \geq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Theorem 1 is a generalization of the fact that a compact abelian group containing an infinite number of elements has always a cardinal number  $\geq c$ , and that there is no compact abelian group whose cardinal number is exactly  $\aleph_0$ . Further, assuming the generalized continuum hypothesis :  $2^{\aleph_a} = \aleph_{a+1}$ , it follows from Theorem 1 that there is no compact abelian group whose cardinal number is exactly  $\aleph_a$  if a is a limit ordinal. Theorem 2 implies as a special case that a compact abelian group G is separable<sup>3</sup> (and hence metrisable) if and only if the discrete character group  $G^*$  of G is countable, and if and only if

<sup>1)</sup> A system  $\mathfrak{V}(a) = \{V_r(a) \mid r \in \Gamma\}$  of neighborhoods  $V_r(a)$  of a point a of a topological space  $\mathfrak{Q}$  defines the topology of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  at a if, for any neighborhood V(a) of a in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , there exists a  $r \in \Gamma$  such that  $V_r(a) \leq V(a)$ .

<sup>2)</sup> A system  $\mathfrak{D} = \{O_r \mid r \in \Gamma\}$  of open subsets  $O_r$  of a topological space  $\mathfrak{Q}$  defines the topology of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  if, for any  $a \in \mathfrak{Q}$  and for any neighborhood V(a) of a in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , there exists a  $r \in \Gamma$  such that  $a \in O_r \subseteq V(a)$ .

<sup>3)</sup> A topological space  $\mathcal{Q}$  is separable (=satisfies the second countability axiom of Hausdorff) if there exists a countable family  $\mathfrak{D} = \{O_n | n=1, 2, ...\}$  of open subsets  $O_n$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  which defines the topology of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

G satisfies the first countability axiom of Hausdorff at the zero element 0 of  $G^{40}$ . Finally, from Theorem 3 we see that there usually exists, in a compact abelian group G, a dense subset D of G whose cardinal number  $\mathfrak{p}(D)$  is smaller than the cardinal number  $\mathfrak{p}(G^*)$  of the discrete character group  $G^*$  of G, which as we already know by Theorem 2 is equal to  $\mathfrak{o}(G)$ . For example, in a compact abelian group G with  $\mathfrak{p}(G)=2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  (i.e. with  $\mathfrak{p}(G^*)=\mathfrak{c}$  because of Theorem 1), there always exists a countable subset D of G (or even a countable subgroup H of G) which is dense in G. This fact, however, is not surprising since we already know<sup>5)</sup> that there exists a monothetic or a solenoidal compact abelian group which is not separable. Theorem 3 only shows that this is quite a natural phenomenon. If we again assume the generalized continuum hypothesis, then  $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}=\aleph_a$  with a limit ordinal a, and  $\mathfrak{n}=\aleph_a$  if  $\mathfrak{m}=\aleph_{a+1}$ .

Theorem 1, 2 and 3 are all clear if  $m = \aleph_0$ . Hence, throughout the rest of this paper we always assume that  $m > \aleph_0$ .

§2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a compact abelian group containing an infinite number of elements, and let  $G^*$  be the discrete character group of G. Since every  $a \in G$  can be considered as a real-valued (mod. 1) function<sup>6)</sup>  $\chi(a^*) = (a, a^*)$  defined on  $G^*$ , and since for any pcir  $\{a, b\} \subseteq G$  with  $a \neq b$  there exists an  $a^* \in G^*$  with  $(a, a^*) \neq (b, a^*)$ , so we see that  $\mathfrak{p}(G) \leq \mathfrak{c}^m = 2^m$ .

In order to show that  $p(G) \ge 2^m$ , let us observe how a character  $\chi(a^*)$  on  $G^*$  can be defined constructively by transfinite induction: Let

(5) 
$$G^* = \{a_a^* \mid 0 \leq a < \omega(m)\},\$$

be a well-ordering of all elements of  $G^*$  such that  $a_0^*=0^*$  (=the zero element of  $G^*$ ), where  $\omega(\mathbf{m})$  is the smallest ordinal number which corresponds to the cardinal number  $\mathbf{m}$ . Let us divide  $G^*$  into three classes  $A_1^*, A_2^*$  and  $A_3^*$ : the first class  $A_1^*$  consists of  $a_0^*=0^*$  and of all  $a_a^*$  which is contained in a subgroup  $H_a^*$  of  $G^*$  generated by  $\{a_{\beta}^* \mid 0 \leq \beta < a\}$ ; the second class  $A_2^*$  consists of all  $a_a^*$  such that  $a_a^* \in H_a^*$  and  $ma_a^* \in H_a^*$  for some integer m > 1; and finally the third class  $A_3^*$  consists of all  $a_a^*$  such that  $ma_a^* \in H_a^*$  for  $m=1,2,\ldots$ . It is then easy to see that  $A_2^*$  and  $A_3^*$  together generate  $G^*$ , and so  $\mathfrak{p}(A_2^* \cup A_3^*) = \mathfrak{m}$ , since by assumption  $\mathfrak{m} > \aleph_0$ . Let us now define a character  $\chi(a^*)$  on  $G^*$  constructively by transfinite induction: for each  $a_a^* \in A_1^*$ , the value  $\chi(a_a^*)$  is uniquely determined by the values  $\{\chi(a_{\beta}) \mid \beta < a\}$ ; for each  $a_a^* \in A_2^*$ , let  $m_a$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $m_a a_a^* \in H_a^*$ . Then there are exactly  $m_a$  different possibilities to define  $\chi(a_a^*)$ , namely,

<sup>4)</sup> S. Kakutani, Über die Metrisation der topologischen Gruppen, Proc. 12 (1936), 82-84.

<sup>5)</sup> H. Anzai and S. Kakutani, Bohr compactifications of a locally compact abelian group, to appear in Proc. 19 (1943).

<sup>6)</sup>  $(a, a^*)$  denotes the value of a character  $a^* \in G^*$  at a point  $a \in G$ , and also the value of a character  $a \in G$  at a point  $a^* \in G^*$ .

S. KAKUTANI.

(6) 
$$\chi(a_a^*) = \frac{1}{m_a} \sum_{p=1}^k n_p a_{\beta_p}^* + \frac{j}{m_a}$$
 (mod. 1),  $j = 0, 1, ..., m_a - 1$ 

if

(7) 
$$m_a a_a^* = \sum_{p \to 1}^k n_p a_{\beta_p}^* \in H_a^* , \qquad 0 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_n < a .$$

Finally, for each  $a_a^* \in A_3^*$ , the value  $\chi(a_a^*)$  can be chosen arbitrarily (mod. 1). From these facts follows immediately that  $\mathfrak{p}(G) \geq 2^{\mathfrak{p}(A_2^* \sim A_3^*)} = 2^{\mathfrak{m}}$ , as we wanted to prove. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

=2<sup>m</sup>, as we wanted to prove. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. § 3. Proof of Theorem 2. Let  $G^*$  be the discrete character group of a compact abelian group G. It is easy to see that a defining neighborhood system  $\mathfrak{B}(0) = \{V_r(0) | r \in \Gamma\}$  of the zero element 0 of G is given by

(8) 
$$V_{\gamma}(0) = \left\{ a \mid |(a, a_{p}^{*})| < \frac{1}{m}, \ p = 1, ..., k \right\}$$
  
(9) 
$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma = \{a_{1}^{*}, ..., a_{k}^{*}; m\} \mid \{a_{1}^{*}, ..., a_{k}^{*}\} \leq G^{*}; k, m = 1, 2, ... \right\}$$

From this follows easily that  $\mathfrak{v}(G) \leq \mathfrak{p}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{p}(G^*) = \mathfrak{m}$ .

In order to show that  $\mathfrak{v}(G) \geq \mathfrak{m}$ , let  $\mathfrak{V}(0) = \{V_r(0) \mid r \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of neighborhoods  $V_r(0)$  of the zero element 0 of G which defines the topology of G at 0 and such that  $\mathfrak{p}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{v}(G)$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , let  $H_r$  be a closed subgroup of G contained in  $V_r(0)$  such that the factor group  $F_r = G/H_r$  is a compact separable abelian group. It is then clear that the discrete character group  $F_r^*$  of  $F_r$  is countable. Let us consider  $F_r^*$  as the family of all continuous characters on G which vanish identically on  $H_r$ .  $F_r^*$  is then a subgroup of  $G^*$ , and we claim that

$$(10) G^* = \bigcup_{\tau \in \Gamma} F_{\tau} .$$

In order to prove (10), let  $a_0^*$  be an arbitrary element of  $G^*$  and let us put

(11) 
$$V_0(0) = \left\{ a \mid |(a, a_0^*)| < \frac{1}{4} \right\}$$

Then  $V_0(0)$  is an open neighborhood of the zero element 0 of G. Let now  $\gamma \in I'$  be such that  $V_r(0) \subseteq V_0(0)$ , and let  $H_r$  be a closed subgroup of G contained in  $V_r(0)$  as defined above. Then  $a \in H_r$  implies  $na \in H_r$ , hence  $|(na, a_0^*)| < 1/4$  (mod. 1) for n=1, 2, ... and consequently  $(a, a_0^*)$ = 0. Thus the character  $\chi(a) = (a, a_0^*)$  vanishes identically on  $H_r$ , and so we must have  $a_0^* \in F_r^*$ . Since  $a_0^*$  is an arbitrary element of  $G^*$ , this proves (10). From (10) follows immediately that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}(G^*) \leq \mathfrak{p}(I) = \mathfrak{v}(G)$ .

We shall next show that o(G) = v(G). It is clear that  $o(G) \ge v(G)$ . In order to prove that  $o(G) \le v(G)$ , let  $\mathfrak{V}(0) = \{V_r(0) \mid r \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of open neighborhoods  $V_r(0)$  of the zero element 0 of G which defines the topology of G at 0. For each  $r \in I$ ; take a covering  $G \le \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_r} O_{r,i}$  of G by a finite number of translations  $O_{r,i} = a_{r,i} + V_r(0)$  of  $V_r(0)$ . Then we claim that  $\mathfrak{D} = \{O_{r,i} \mid i=1, ..., n_r; r \in \Gamma\}$  is a family of open subsets of G which defines the topology of G.

368

In fact, for any  $a \in G$  and for any open set O(a) containing a, let  $\beta \in \Gamma$ be such that  $a + V_{\beta}(0) \leq O(a)$ . Then take a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $V_{\gamma}(0) - V_{\gamma}(0) \leq V_{\beta}(0)$  and also a translation  $O_{\gamma,i} = a_{\gamma,i} + V_{\gamma}(0)$  of  $V_{\gamma}(0)$  which contains a. Then we see  $a \in a_{\gamma,i} + V_{\gamma}(0) = a + V_{\gamma}(0) - (a - a_{\gamma,i}) \leq a + V_{\gamma}(0) - V_{\gamma}(0) \leq a_0 + V_{\beta}(0) \leq O(a)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{D} = \{O_{\gamma,i} \mid i = 1, ..., n_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ defines the topology of G. From this follows immediately that  $\mathfrak{o}(G) \leq \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{D}) = \mathfrak{p}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{m}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

§4. Proof of Theorem 3. Let G be a compact abelian group with  $p(G)=2^{\mathfrak{m}}$ , or what amounts to the same thing by Theorem 1, with  $p(G^*)=\mathfrak{m}$ , where we denote as usual by  $G^*$  the discrete character group of G.

Let D be a subset of G which is dense in G with  $\mathfrak{p}(D)=\mathfrak{n}$ . We shall show that  $\mathfrak{m} \leq 2^{\mathfrak{n}}$ . In order to show this, let H be a subgroup of G which is generated by D. Since D is obviously an infinite set, so we see  $\mathfrak{p}(D)=\mathfrak{p}(H)=\mathfrak{n}$ . Let us now consider H as a discrete group, and let  $H^*$  be the compact character group of H. Then every continuous character  $\chi(a)=(a,a^*)$  on G may be considered as an algebraic character on H, and so there exists an algebraic homomorphism  $a^{*'}=\varphi^*(a^*)$  of  $G^*$  onto an algebraic subgroup  $G^{*'}$  of  $H^{*\,\mathfrak{D}}$ . This homomorphism is even an isomorphism since H is dense in G. Thus  $G^*$  is algebraically isomorphic with an algebraic subgroup  $G^{*'}$  of  $H^*$  and hence  $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{p}(G^*)=\mathfrak{p}(G^{*'})\leq\mathfrak{p}(H^*)=2^{\mathfrak{n}}$  by Theorem 1. This completes the first half of the proof of Theorem  $3^{\mathfrak{S}}$ .

Let now n be a cardinal number satisfying  $\mathfrak{m} \leq 2^{\mathfrak{n}}$ . We shall show that there exists a subset D of G with  $\mathfrak{p}(D) \leq \mathfrak{n}$  which is dense in G. For this purpose it suffices to prove the following

Theorem 4. Let  $G^*$  be a discrete abelian group with  $\mathfrak{p}(G^*) = \mathfrak{m}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be a cardinal number which satisfies  $\mathfrak{m} \leq 2^{\mathfrak{n}}$ . Then there exists a family  $D = \{\chi(a^*)\}$  of algebraic characters on  $G^*$  with  $\mathfrak{p}(D) \leq \mathfrak{n}$ which separates every element  $a^* \in G^*$  with  $a^* \pm 0^*$  from  $0^*$  (i.e. such that, for any  $a^* \in G^*$  with  $a^* \pm 0^*$ , there exists a character  $\chi \in D$ with  $\chi(a^*) \neq 0$ ).

In fact, if there exists such a family D, then D may be considered as a subset of the compact character group  $G=G^{**}$  of  $G^*$ . The algebraic subgroup H of G which is generated by D is dense in G; for, otherwise, there would exist an element  $a^* \in G^*$  such that  $(a, a^*)$ =0 for any  $a \in H$ , or equivalently  $\chi(a^*)=0$  for any  $\chi \in D$ , in contradiction with the separating property of  $D=\{\chi(a^*)\}$  stated above.

So it only remains to prove Theorem 4.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* We shall divide our arguments into three cases :

<sup>7)</sup> H. Anzai and S. Kakutani, loc. cit. 5).

<sup>8)</sup> We may obtain the same inequality  $m \leq 2^n$  directly by appealing to the fact that if a Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains a dense subset D with  $\mathfrak{p}(D)=\mathfrak{n}$ , the cardinal number  $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{Q})$  of the space  $\mathcal{Q}$  must satisfy  $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{Q}) \leq 2^{2^n}$  (Cf. B. Pospisil, Annals of Math. **38** (1937)). But in order to obtain  $\mathfrak{m} \leq 2^n$  from  $2^m \leq 2^{2^n}$  we need the generalized continuum hypothesis.

1st case:  $G^*$  has no element of finite order. We shall first notice that there exists a subset  $B^* = \{b_r^* \mid r \in \Gamma\}$  of  $G^*$  with  $\mathfrak{p}(B^*) = \mathfrak{p}(\Gamma) =$  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}(G^*)$  consisting of mutually independent elements and such that every  $a^* \in G^*$  with  $a^* \neq 0^*$  satisfies a relation of the form:

$$ma^* = \sum_{p=1}^k n_p b_{r_p},$$

where  $\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k\} \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\{m, n_1, ..., n_k\}$  is a finite system of positive or negative integers.

In fact, it suffices to take as  $B^*$  any maximal subset of  $G^*$  consisting of mutually independent elements, whose existence is clear from Zorn's lemma. It is then clear that every  $a^* \in G^*$  with  $a^* \neq 0^*$  satisfies a relation of the form (12). Further, since  $G^*$  has no element of finite order, for any given finite systems  $\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k\} \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\{m, n_1, ..., n_k\}$ , there exists at most one element  $a^* \in G^*$  which satisfies (12). From this follows immediately that  $\mathfrak{p}(G^*) = \mathfrak{p}(B^*)$  if we remember that  $\mathfrak{m} > \aleph_0$  by assumption.

Let  $H^*$  be an algebraic subgroup of  $G^*$  generated by  $B^*$ . Then for any system  $\{c_r \mid r \in \Gamma\}$  of real numbers (mod. 1), there exists a uniquely determined algebraic character  $\chi(a^*)$  defined on  $H^*$  which satisfies  $\chi(b_r^*) = c_r \pmod{1}$  for any  $r \in \Gamma$ .

Let now  $\mathfrak{D} = \{d_e \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  be a family of diadic partitions  $\mathcal{A}_e$  of  $\Gamma$ :  $\Gamma = \Gamma_e \cup \Gamma'_a$ ,  $\Gamma_\sigma \cap \Gamma'_q = \theta$ , with  $\mathfrak{p}(\Sigma) \leq \mathfrak{n}$  satisfying the following condition<sup>9</sup>: for any finite system  $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k\} \subseteq \Gamma$  with  $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j$ , for  $i \neq j$ , there exists a  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_\sigma$  and  $\{\gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k\} \subseteq \Gamma'_\sigma$ . The existence of such a family  $\mathfrak{D}$  is an easy consequence of the fact that  $\mathfrak{p}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \leq 2^{\mathfrak{n}}$ . In fact, it is easy to see that there exists a family  $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \{\mathcal{A}^0_r \mid \tau \in T\}$  of diadic partitions  $\mathcal{A}^0_r$  of  $\Gamma$ :  $\Gamma = \Gamma^0_r \cup \Gamma^0_r$ ,  $\Gamma^0_r \cap \Gamma^0_r = \theta$  with  $\mathfrak{p}(T) \leq \mathfrak{n}$  satisfying the condition that for any pair  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} \leq \Gamma$  with  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ , there exists a  $\tau \in T$  such that  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma^0_r$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma^0_r$ . It is then clear that the family  $\mathfrak{D} = \{\mathcal{A}_e \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  of all diadic partitions  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  of  $\Gamma$ :  $\Gamma = \Gamma_r \cup \Gamma'_\sigma$  where  $\Gamma_\sigma = \Gamma^0_{r_1} \cap \cdots \cap \Gamma^0_{r_n}$ ,  $\Gamma'_\sigma = \Gamma^0_{r_1} \cup \cdots$   $\cup \Gamma^0_{r_n}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{\sigma = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\} \mid \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\} \leq T; n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$  is a required one.

Now, for any  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , let us define a character  $\chi_{\sigma}(a^*)$  on  $H^*$  by giving the values  $\{\chi_{\sigma}(b_{\tau}^*) | \tau \in \Gamma\}$  as follows:  $\chi_{\sigma}(b_{\tau}^*) = \lambda_0$  if  $\tau \in \Gamma_{\sigma}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}(b_{\tau}^*) = 0$  if  $\tau \in \Gamma'_{\sigma}$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is a fixed irrational number independent of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . This character  $\chi_{\sigma}(a^*)$  can then be extended to a character  $\bar{\chi}_{\sigma}(a^*)$  on  $G^*$ . The extension is not unique unless  $H^* = G^*$ ; so take any of the possible extensions. We claim that  $D = \{\bar{\chi}_{\sigma}(a^*) | \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  is a required family, i.e. that for any  $a^* \in G^*$  with  $a^* \pm 0^*$ , there exists a  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\bar{\chi}_{\sigma}(a^*) \pm 0$ . In fact, every  $a^* \in G^*$  with  $a^* \pm 0^*$ satisfies a relation of the form (12). Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  be such that  $\tau_1 \in \Gamma_{\sigma}$ and  $\{\tau_2, \ldots, \tau_k\} \subseteq \Gamma'_{\sigma}$ . Then  $\bar{\chi}_{\sigma}(ma^*) = \chi_{\sigma}(ma^*) = \chi_{\sigma}(\sum_{p=1}^k n_p b_{\tau_p}^*) = n_1 \lambda_0 \equiv 0$ (mod. 1), and so  $\bar{\chi}_{\sigma}(a^*) \equiv 0$  (mod. 1). This completes the proof of Theorem 4 in case  $G^*$  has no element of finite order.

<sup>9)</sup> In case k=1, this condition only means that  $\tau_1 \in \Gamma_{\sigma}$ .

2nd case: every element of  $G^*$  is of finite order. Let  $G_n^*$  be a subgroup of  $G^*$  consisting of all elements  $a^* \in G^*$  which satisfy  $na^* = 0^*$  We have clearly  $G^* = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n^*$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}(G_n^*) \leq 2^n$ ,  $n=1,2,\ldots$  By a result of G. Köthe<sup>10)</sup>, each  $G_n^*$  is algebraically isomorphic with a restricted infinite direct sum of a family  $\{C_r | r \in \Gamma_n\}$  of finite cyclic groups  $C_r$  whise degree  $d_r$  divides n:

(13) 
$$G_n^* = \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma_n} \oplus C_{\tau}.$$

Consider each  $C_r$  as a subgroup of  $G_n^*$ , and let  $b_r^*$  be a generating element of  $C_r$ . Then, (13) means that every element  $a^* \in G_n^*$  with  $a^* \neq 0^*$  may be expressed in the form:

where  $\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k\} \subseteq \Gamma_n$  and  $\{n_1, ..., n_k\}$  is a finite system of positive integers such that  $0 < n_p < d_{r_p}$  for p=1, ..., k. It is clear that  $\mathfrak{p}(\Gamma_n) \leq \mathfrak{m}$ . Since the compact character group  $(G_n^*)^*$  of  $G_n^*$  is topologically isomorphic with the unrestricted infinite direct sum of the same family  $\{C_r \mid r \in \Gamma_n\}$  of cyclic groups:

(15) 
$$(G_n^*)^* = \sum_{r \in \Gamma_n} \bigoplus C_r ,$$

so we see that for any system  $\{c_r \mid r \in \Gamma_n\}$  of real numbers  $c_r = n_r^*/d_r$ where  $n_r^*$  is an integer satisfying  $0 \leq n_r^* < d_r$ , there exists a uniquely determined character  $\chi(a^*)$  on  $G_n^*$  such that  $\chi(b_r^*) = c_r = n_r^*/d_r$  for any  $r \in \Gamma_n$ , and so

(16) 
$$\chi(a^*) = \sum_{p=1}^k \frac{n_p n_{\tau_p}^*}{d_{\tau_p}}$$

if  $a^*$  is of the form (14).

Let us again take a family  $\mathfrak{D} = \{ \mathcal{L}_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \sum_{n} \}$  of diadic partitions  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$ of  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}: \Gamma_{n} = \Gamma_{\sigma} \cup \Gamma'_{\sigma}, \Gamma_{\sigma} \cap \Gamma'_{\sigma} = \theta$ , with  $\mathfrak{p}(\sum_{n}) = \mathfrak{n}$  satisfying the same conditions as in above. Then, for each  $\sigma \in \sum_{n}$ , let us define a character  $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma}(a^{*})$  on  $G_{n}^{*}$  by giving the values  $\{\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma}(b_{T}^{*}) \mid \tau \in \Gamma_{n}\}$  as follows:  $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma}(b_{T}^{*}) =$  $1/d_{T}$  if  $\tau \in \Gamma_{\sigma}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma}(b_{T}^{*}) = 0$  if  $\tau \in \Gamma'_{\sigma}$ . It is then easy to see that the family  $D_{n} = \{\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma}(a^{*}) \mid \sigma \in \sum_{n}\}$  of characters thus obtained has a required separating property for  $G_{n}^{*}$ . In fact, every  $a^{*} \in G_{n}^{*}$  with  $a^{*} \neq 0^{*}$  may be expressed in the form (14), and if we take a  $\sigma \in \sum_{n}$  such that  $\tau_{1} \in \Gamma_{0}$  and  $\{\tau_{2}, ..., \tau_{k}\} \subseteq \Gamma'_{\sigma}$ , then it is clear that  $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma}(a^{*}) = n_{1}/d_{\tau_{1}} \equiv 0$ (mod. 1).

Thus, for each n, we have obtained a family  $D_n = \{\chi_{\sigma}(a^*) \mid \sigma \in \sum_n\}$ of characters on  $G_n^*$  having a required separating property for  $G_n^*$ . Extend each  $\chi_{\sigma}(a^*) \in D_n$  to a character  $\overline{\chi}_{\sigma}(a^*)$  on  $G^*$ . This extension is not unique unless  $G^* = G_n^*$ ; so take any of the possible extensions. If we denote by  $\overline{D}_n$  the family  $\{\overline{\chi}_{\sigma}(a^*) \mid \sigma \in \sum_n\}$  of characters thus obtained by extension, then it is clear that  $D = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{D}_n$  is a required family for  $G^*$ . Thus Theorem 4 is proved in case every element of  $G^*$  is of finite order.

<sup>10)</sup> G. Köthe, Mathematische Annalen, 105 (1931), 15-39.

S. KAKUT

3rd case: case of a general discrete abelian group  $G^*$ . Let  $G_0^*$ be a subgroup of  $G^*$  consisting of all elements of  $G^*$  of finite order. Then the factor group  $F^* = G^*/G_0^*$  has no element of finite order. It is clear that  $\mathfrak{p}(G_0^*) \leq \mathfrak{p}(G^*) \leq 2^n$  and  $\mathfrak{p}(F^*) = \mathfrak{p}(G^*/G_0^*) \leq \mathfrak{p}(G^*) \leq 2^n$ . Hence, by the results obtained in the first and the second cases, there exist a family  $D_0$  of characters on  $G^*$  with  $\mathfrak{p}(D_0) \leq \mathfrak{n}$  which separates every  $a^* \in G_0^*$  with  $a^* \neq 0^*$  from  $0^*$ , and a family D' of characters on  $F^* = G^*/G_0^*$  which separates every element  $a^{*'} \in F^*$  with  $a^{*'} \neq 0^{*'}$ from  $0^{*'}$ , where  $0^{*'}$  is the zero element of  $F^*$ . Extend each character  $\chi(a^*) \in D_0$  to a character  $\chi(a^*)$  on  $G^*$  in any possible way, and let  $\overline{D}_0$  be the family of all characters thus extended. Further, consider every character  $\chi'(a^{*'}) \in D'$  on  $F^* = G^*/G_0^*$  as a character  $\overline{\chi'}(a^*)$  on  $G^*$ which vanishes identically on  $G_0^*$ , and let  $\overline{D}$  be the family of characters on  $G^*$  thus obtained. It is then easy to see that  $D = \overline{D}_0 \cup \overline{D'}$  is a family of characters on  $G^*$  with a required separating property for  $G^*$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 4 in a general case.

Incidentally, we have proved the following

Theorem 5. Let  $G^*$  be a discrete abelian group with  $\mathfrak{p}(G^*)=\mathfrak{m}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be a cardinal number which satisfies  $\mathfrak{m} \leq 2^{\mathfrak{n}}$ . Then there exists a compact abelian group  $H^*$  with  $\mathfrak{p}(H^*) \leq 2^{\mathfrak{n}}$ , which contains an algebraic subgroup algebraically isomorphic with  $G^*$ 

§5. Problems. It would be an interesting problem to investigate how far we can obtain analogous results for non-commutative compact groups. And how is the situation for locally compact groups? We may also ask the same questions for homogeneous topological spaces, where we mean under a homogeneous topological space a topological space  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that, for any pair of points  $\{a, b\} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$  there exists a homeomorphism of  $\mathcal{Q}$  onto itself which maps a onto b.