

On Integrally Closed Noetherian Rings

By

Michio YOSHIDA and Motoyoshi SAKUMA

(Received November 7, 1953)

Introduction. An interesting characterization of integrally closed Noetherian integral domains by the notion of symbolic powers was obtained by Prof. S. Mori and T. Dodo in the following form.

THEOREM (M). Let \mathfrak{o} be a Noetherian integral domain with a unit element. If \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed, then it follows that

- i) Every prime divisor of any principal ideal $(a)(\neq(0), \neq\mathfrak{o})$ is minimal, and
- ii) Every primary ideal which belongs to any minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , is a symbolic power of \mathfrak{p} .

Conversely, if the following condition iii) is satisfied, \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed.

- iii) If \mathfrak{p} is any prime divisor of any principal ideal then there exists no primary ideal between \mathfrak{p} and $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$.¹⁾

In this note we extend this theorem to the case where \mathfrak{o} is not free from zero divisors. The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following

THEOREM 1. Let \mathfrak{o} be a Noetherian ring with a unit element, and let K be its total quotient ring. Assume first \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed in K . Then

- i) Let \mathfrak{p} be any prime divisor of any regular principal ideal $(a)(\neq\mathfrak{o})$. Then \mathfrak{p} contains properly only one prime ideal and this prime ideal is a primary component of the zero ideal.
- ii) Let \mathfrak{p} be any minimal regular prime ideal in \mathfrak{o} , then every primary ideal which belongs to \mathfrak{p} is a symbolic power of \mathfrak{p} .

Conversely, if \mathfrak{o} satisfies the following condition iii), \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed.

- iii) If \mathfrak{p} is any prime divisor of any regular principal ideal, then there exists no primary ideal between \mathfrak{p} and $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$.

Our proof is entirely based on the so-called primary ideal theorem and device of forming quotient rings.

Conventions of terminology. Let \mathfrak{o} be a Noetherian ring and let K be its total quotient ring. If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in \mathfrak{o} , we call prime divisors of \mathfrak{a} the prime ideals which occur as associated prime ideals of the primary ideals in a shortest representation of \mathfrak{a} as an intersection of primary ideals.

Non zero divisor of \mathfrak{o} shall be called regular. We shall call an ideal \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{o}

1) S. Mori und T. Dodo, *Bedingungen für ganze Abgeschlossenheit in Integritätsbereichen*, This Journal 7 (1937) 15-28.

regular if α contains some regular elements, and call a regular prime ideal \mathfrak{p} minimal regular if \mathfrak{p} is minimal in the set of all regular prime ideals. If an ideal α in \mathfrak{o} is not regular, we shall call α also a zero divisor ideal.

\mathfrak{p}^{-1} means as usual the set of such element x in K as $x\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$.

1. Symbolic powers of prime ideals. In this section, we shall deal with some consequences of the so-called primary ideal theorem, due to Krull.

THEOREM (K). *Let $\mathfrak{p} (\neq \mathfrak{o})$ be a prime ideal in a Noetherian ring \mathfrak{o} and let*

$$(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{q}_i$$

be an irredundant representation of the zero ideal as an intersection of primary ideals. Let \mathfrak{p}_i be the prime divisor associated to \mathfrak{q}_i . Then we have

$$\bigcap_{v=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(v)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{q}_i,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}^{(v)}$ means the v -th symbolic power of \mathfrak{p} . In particular, if \mathfrak{o} is an integral domain, we have

$$\bigcap_{v=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^{(v)} = (0).^2)$$

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathfrak{p} be the prime ideal associated to a primary ideal \mathfrak{q} in a commutative ring \mathfrak{o} , and let α be an ideal in \mathfrak{o} such that $\alpha \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}:\alpha$ is a primary ideal and belongs to \mathfrak{p} . Moreover if \mathfrak{p} is a strongly primary ideal with the exponent $\rho \geq 2$, then $\mathfrak{q}:\mathfrak{p}$ has the exponent $\rho-1$.*

LEMMA 2. *Let $\alpha (\neq (0))$ be an ideal in a commutative ring \mathfrak{o} , such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and α has a finite set of generators. Then α has a unit element.³⁾*

From Theorem (K), Lemmas 1 and 2, we deduce the following theorem on the exponents of symbolic powers.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in a Noetherian ring \mathfrak{o} . Then $\mathfrak{p}^{(i)}$ has the exponent i for $i=1, 2, \dots$, if \mathfrak{p} is not among the exceptional ones enumerated below in (*), (**).*

(*) \mathfrak{p} is minimal. Let \mathfrak{q} be the primary component of the zero ideal belonging to \mathfrak{p} , and let ρ be its exponent. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{p}^{(2)} \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{p}^{(\rho)} = \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^{(\rho+1)} = \dots .$$

(**) $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{o}$ and \mathfrak{o} is a direct sum of a ring with a unit element and a nilpotent ring.

PROOF. Let ρ_i be the exponent of $\mathfrak{p}^{(i)}$. If the set $\{\rho_i\}$ is not bounded, we see

2) W. Krull, *Primidealketten in allgemeinen Ringbereichen*, Sitzungsber. d. Heidelberger Akademie, Math.-Naturw. Klasse 1928 3 Abhandl.

C. Chevalley, *On the notion of the ring of quotients of a prime ideal*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1944) 93-97.

3) S. Mori, *Ueber Ringe, in denen die grössten Primärkomponenten jedes Ideals eindeutig bestimmt sind*, This Journal 1 (1931) Satz 8, P. 174.

easily $\rho_i = i$ by Lemma 1. If the set $\{\rho_i\}$ is bounded, let ρ be its maximum. Assume first that $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{o}$, then we have $\bigcap_{\rho_i \leq \rho} \mathfrak{q}_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^\rho$ (with the same notations as in Theorem (K)), whence \mathfrak{p} is minimal. If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{o}$, then our assumption says

$$\mathfrak{o} \supset \mathfrak{o}^2 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{o}^\rho = \mathfrak{o}^{\rho+1} = \dots$$

Set $\mathfrak{o}_1 = \mathfrak{o}^\rho$, then $\mathfrak{o}_1^2 = \mathfrak{o}_1$. Hence if $\mathfrak{o}_1 \neq (0)$, \mathfrak{o}_1 has a unit element by Lemma 2. Let \mathfrak{o}_2 be the annihilator of \mathfrak{o}_1 , then we have $\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}_1 + \mathfrak{o}_2$.

COROLLARY. *Let \mathfrak{p}_i ($i=1, \dots, r$) be the prime divisors of an ideal \mathfrak{a} in a Noetherian ring \mathfrak{o} , and let $\mathfrak{a} = [\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r]$ be an irredundant representation of \mathfrak{a} , where \mathfrak{q}_i belongs to \mathfrak{p}_i . Then \mathfrak{q}_i is uniquely determined if \mathfrak{p}_i satisfies one of the conditions: i) \mathfrak{p}_i is isolated, ii) $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{o}$ and $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a}$ is a direct sum of a ring with unit element and a nilpotent ring. In the other case, \mathfrak{q}_i can be chosen in infinitely many ways.⁴⁾*

PROOF. The only point to be verified is that if \mathfrak{p}_i satisfies the condition ii) \mathfrak{q}_i is uniquely determined. We may assume $\mathfrak{a} = (0)$, so let $\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}_1 + \mathfrak{o}_2$ (direct sum), where $\mathfrak{o}_1, \mathfrak{o}_2$ are ideals in \mathfrak{o} , \mathfrak{o}_1 has a unit element and \mathfrak{o}_2 is nilpotent. Let \mathfrak{p}_i ($i=1, \dots, s$) be the prime divisors of \mathfrak{o}_2 and let $\mathfrak{o}_2 = [\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s]$ be an irredundant representation of \mathfrak{o}_2 . Obviously $\mathfrak{p}_i \neq \mathfrak{o}$ ($i=1, \dots, s$). Then it is easily seen that $(0) = \mathfrak{o}_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$ is an irredundant representation of the zero ideal. Therefore the prime divisors of the zero ideal are \mathfrak{o} and \mathfrak{p}_i ($i=1, \dots, s$). Let $(0) = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{q}'_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}'_s$ be an irredundant representation of (0) , where $\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}'_i$ belong to \mathfrak{o} and \mathfrak{p}_i respectively. Then since $\mathfrak{q}'_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}'_s$ is an isolated component of (0) , $\mathfrak{q}'_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}'_s = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{o}_2$. Hence we have $(0) = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{o}_2$ and $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{o}_1$, whence $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{o}_1$.

2. Proof of the Theorem 1.

LEMMA 3. *Let \mathfrak{o} be an integrally closed Noetherian ring with a unit element and let \mathfrak{p} be any prime divisor of any regular principal ideal $(a) (\neq \mathfrak{o})$. Then we have*

$$\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \supset \mathfrak{p}.$$

This lemma is due to van der Waerden. We shall note here its proof for the sake of completeness.

PROOF. Let $(a) = [\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r]$ be an irredundant representation with \mathfrak{q} belonging to \mathfrak{p} . Set $\mathfrak{b} = [\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r]$, then $\mathfrak{p}^{\rho-1}\mathfrak{b} \subsetneq (a)$, $\mathfrak{p}^\rho\mathfrak{b} \subseteq (a)$ for some integer $\rho \geq 1$. For any element b such that $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{\rho-1}\mathfrak{b}$, $b \notin (a)$, we have $b/a \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$, $b/a \notin \mathfrak{o}$; hence $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \supset \mathfrak{o}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathfrak{p}$, then we have $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{-2} = \dots$, whence $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$ since \mathfrak{o} is integrally closed. This is a contradiction.

LEMMA 4. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a regular prime ideal in a Noetherian ring \mathfrak{o} such that $\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \supset \mathfrak{p}$. Then*

i) \mathfrak{p} contains only one prime ideal properly and this prime ideal is a primary component of the zero ideal.⁵⁾

4) S. Mori, *Minimale Primärideale eines Ideals*, This Journal 2 (1932) Satz 7, P. 32.

5) W. Krull, *Ueber den Aufbau des Nullideals in ganz abgeschlossenen Ringen mit Teilerkettensatz*, Math. Ann. 102 (1930) Satz 1, P. 365.

ii) Any primary ideal which belongs to \mathfrak{p} , is a symbolic power of \mathfrak{p} .⁶⁾

i) and ii) are due to W. Krull and S. Mori respectively. Here we shall give a shorter proof.

PROOF. Let $(0) = [\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r]$ be an irredundant representation of (0) and let \mathfrak{p}_i be the prime divisor of \mathfrak{q}_i . We set $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}^{(v)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{q}_i$. If we denote by K a total quotient ring of \mathfrak{o} , and set $\mathfrak{o}' = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a}$ and $K' = K/\mathfrak{a}K$, then \mathfrak{o}' may be considered as a subring of K' since $\mathfrak{a}K \cap \mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{a}$. Then our assumption implies

$$\mathfrak{o}' \supseteq \mathfrak{p}'(\mathfrak{p}^{-1})' \supset \mathfrak{p}'$$

where $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{a}$ and $(\mathfrak{p}^{-1})' = (\mathfrak{p}^{-1} + \mathfrak{a}K)/\mathfrak{a}K$. Since \mathfrak{o}' has no zero divisor outside of \mathfrak{p}' , we can form the quotient ring of \mathfrak{p}' with respect to \mathfrak{o}' , which shall be denoted by \mathfrak{o}^* . Then we may assume \mathfrak{o}^* and K' are subrings of the total quotient ring of \mathfrak{o}' and we have

$$\mathfrak{o}^* \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^*(\mathfrak{p}^{-1})'\mathfrak{o}^* \supset \mathfrak{p}^*,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathfrak{p}'\mathfrak{o}^*$. Therefore we have $\mathfrak{p}^*(\mathfrak{p}^*)^{-1} = \mathfrak{o}^*$, whence $\mathfrak{p}^* = (\pi)$, where π is any element of \mathfrak{o}^* such that $\pi \in \mathfrak{p}^*$ and $\pi \notin \mathfrak{p}^{*2}$. That is, \mathfrak{o}^* is a discrete valuation ring. From this, assertions i) and ii) will be easily seen.

The direct part of the Theorem is thus proved by Lemmas 3 and 4, so we shall prove the converse part.

Let K be a total quotient ring of \mathfrak{o} . We shall show that if $b/a \in K$ (a is a non zero divisor in \mathfrak{o}) is integral over \mathfrak{o} , then $b/a \in \mathfrak{o}$. If a is a unit in \mathfrak{o} , obviously $b/a \in \mathfrak{o}$. Therefore we may assume a is not a unit. Let

$$(\mathfrak{a}) = [\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r]$$

be an irredundant representation of (\mathfrak{a}) , and let \mathfrak{p}_i be the prime divisor of \mathfrak{q}_i . We set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_i$ (where i is any one of $1, \dots, r$) $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}^{(v)}$, $\bar{\mathfrak{o}} = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{a}$. Since zero divisors in $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$ are all contained in $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$, we can form the quotient ring of $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$, which we shall denote by \mathfrak{o}^* . Set $\mathfrak{p}^* = \bar{\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{o}^*$. Then it follows from our assumption that there exists no primary ideal between $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)}$. Hence there exists no ideal between \mathfrak{p}^* and \mathfrak{p}^{*2} , and obviously $\mathfrak{p}^* \neq \mathfrak{p}^{*2}$. Let π be any element in \mathfrak{o}^* such that $\pi \in \mathfrak{p}^*$ and $\pi \notin \mathfrak{p}^{*2}$; we have $\mathfrak{p}^* = (\pi) + \mathfrak{p}^{*2}$. This implies

$$\mathfrak{p}^* = (\pi) + \mathfrak{p}^{*v} \quad \text{for any positive integer } v.$$

Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{p}^* = \bigcap_{v=1}^{\infty} ((\pi) + \mathfrak{p}^{*v}) = (\pi),⁷⁾$$

hence \mathfrak{o}^* is a discrete valuation ring.

On the other hand since b/a is integral over \mathfrak{o} , there exists a non zero divisor λ in \mathfrak{o} such that

6) S. Mori, *Ueber ganz abgeschlossene Ringe*, This Journal 3 (1933) Satz 1. P. 165.

7) W. Krull, *Dimensionstheorie in Stellenringen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 179 (1938) Satz 2, P. 207.

On Integrally Closed Noetherian Rings

$$\lambda \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^\nu \in \mathfrak{o} \quad \text{for any positive integer } \nu.$$

Let us denote by \bar{a} , \bar{b} and $\bar{\lambda}$ the residue classes modulo \mathfrak{a} which contain the elements a , b and λ respectively. Then we have

$$\bar{\lambda}\bar{b}^\nu \in \bar{a}^\nu \mathfrak{o} \quad (\bar{\lambda} \neq 0) \quad \text{for any positive integer } \nu,$$

whence

$$\bar{b} \in \bar{a} \mathfrak{o}^*,$$

since \mathfrak{o}^* is a discrete valuation ring. It follows

$$\bar{b} = \bar{a} \frac{\bar{d}}{\bar{c}} \quad (\bar{c}, \bar{d} \in \bar{\mathfrak{o}} \text{ and } \bar{c} \notin \bar{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Let c and d be any element in \mathfrak{o} whose residue classes modulo \mathfrak{a} are \bar{c} and \bar{d} in $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}$ respectively. Then we have

$$bc \equiv ad \pmod{\mathfrak{a}} \quad (c \notin \mathfrak{p}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, we have $bc \in \mathfrak{q}$ which implies $b \in \mathfrak{q}$, whence $b \in (a)$.

Department of Mathematics,
Hiroshima University.