

The explicit representation of the determinant of Harish-Chandra's C -function in $SL(3, R)$ and $SL(4, R)$ cases

Masaaki EGUCHI and Shohei TANAKA

(Received April 10, 1990)

§1. Introduction

Let G be a semisimple Lie group with finite center, K a maximal compact subgroup of G . Let θ be the Cartan involution of G fixing K . Let P be a cuspidal parabolic subgroup and $P=MAN$ its Langlands decomposition.

Let $\pi_{P,\sigma,\nu} = \text{ind}_{MAN}^G \sigma \otimes \nu \otimes 1$ (σ in \hat{M} , ν a character of A) be the representation of the generalized principal series induced from P to G and $H^{P,\sigma,\nu}$ be its representation space. Then the operator $A(\bar{P}:P:\sigma:\nu)$ defined by the integral

$$(A(\bar{P}:P:\sigma:\nu)f)(x) = \int_{\bar{N}} f(x\bar{n})d\bar{n}, \quad (f \in H^{P,\sigma,\nu})$$

is an intertwining operator between $\pi_{P,\sigma,\nu}(g)$ and $\pi_{\bar{P},\sigma,\nu}(g)$ ($g \in G$), where $\bar{P} = \theta P$.

In the following we assume that P is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G . For γ in \hat{K} we denote by $H_\gamma^{P,\sigma,\nu}$ the γ -isotypic component of $H^{P,\sigma,\nu}$. Let V^γ and H^σ be the representation spaces of γ and σ respectively. Following Wallach [11], we consider the bijective map $v \otimes A \rightarrow L_P(A, v, \nu)$ ($v \in V^\gamma, A \in \text{Hom}_M(V^\gamma, H^\sigma)$) from $V^\gamma \otimes \text{Hom}_M(V^\gamma, H^\sigma)$ to $H_\gamma^{P,\sigma,\nu}$, where $L_P(A, v, \nu)$ is defined by

$$L_P(A, v, \nu)(kan) = e^{-(\nu+\rho)(\log a)} A(\pi_\gamma(k^{-1})v), \quad (k \in K, a \in A, n \in N),$$

and the operator defined by the integral

$$B_\gamma(\bar{P}:P:\nu) = \int_{\bar{N}} \pi_\gamma(\kappa(\bar{n}))^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}.$$

Then the operator $B_\gamma(\bar{P}:P:\nu)$ satisfies

$$A_\gamma(\bar{P}:P:\sigma:\nu)L_P(A, v, \nu) = L_{\bar{P}}(A \circ B_\gamma(\bar{P}:P:\nu), v, \nu).$$

Moreover, $B_\gamma(\bar{P}:P:\nu)$ commutes with $\pi_\gamma(m)$ ($m \in M$) and we can restrict B_γ to $V_\sigma^\gamma, V_\sigma^\nu$ denoting the σ -isotypic component of V^γ . We denote by B_γ^σ the restriction of B_γ to V_σ^γ . Wallach [11] has shown that $B_\gamma(\bar{P}:P:\nu)$ is holo-

morphic in a certain half-space of $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and meromorphic in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. In the relation with the intertwining operator $A_\gamma(\bar{P}:P:\sigma:\nu)$, it is important to study the nature of the B_γ^σ -function as a meromorphic function, such as its zeroes, poles and their order.

Concerning this problem, Cohn [1] has proved that the determinant of the C -function is a product of some quotient of Γ -factors and gives a conjecture on the rational numbers which appear in these factors.

Our main theorems give the determinant of the B_γ^σ -function explicitly in $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$ and $SL(4, \mathbf{R})$ cases. In another paper, we shall give an application of the results to the analytical argument of the reducibility of the generalized principal series representation (cf. Spohn-Vogan [9]).

In making the conjecture of our results we have used the software "REDUCE" for computers.

§2. Notation and preliminaries

Let G be a semisimple Lie group with finite center and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Let \mathfrak{k} be a maximal compact subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ the corresponding Cartan decomposition and θ the Cartan involution defining the decomposition. We introduce an inner product B_θ on \mathfrak{g} in the standard way such that $B_\theta(X, Y) = -B(X, \theta Y)$, where B is the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{a} be a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . We fix an order in the dual space \mathfrak{a}^* of \mathfrak{a} and put $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where \mathfrak{g}_α denoting the root space for the α -root α . Then we have an Iwasawa decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathfrak{v} = \theta\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = Z_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a})$, the centralizer of \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{k} .

We now let $K = N_G(\mathfrak{k})$ be the normalizer of \mathfrak{k} in G , $M = Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$ the centralizer of \mathfrak{a} in K and $M' = N_K(\mathfrak{a})$ the normalizer of \mathfrak{a} in K . Let A , N_0 , and V_0 be the analytic subgroups of G corresponding to \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{v} respectively. Let $P = MAN$. The conjugates of P are called minimal parabolic subgroups of G . Let $\mathcal{P}(A)$ be the set of all parabolic subgroups P of G such that A is the split component of P . The elements in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ are in obvious one-to-one correspondence with Weyl chambers in \mathfrak{a} and the Weyl group $W = M'/M$ permutes the Weyl chambers transitively. For each w in W , λ a character of A and ξ a representation of M , put

$$w\lambda(a) = \lambda(w^{-1}aw), \quad w\xi(m) = \xi(w^{-1}mw).$$

Then W acts on characters of A and classes of representation of M .

Let \hat{K} and \hat{M} be the set of all equivalence classes of the irreducible unitary representations of K and M respectively. For each $\sigma \in \hat{M}$ we fix a

representation $(\tilde{\sigma}, H^{\tilde{\sigma}})$ in σ and, abusing notation, we use also σ for $\tilde{\sigma}$. For each γ in \hat{K} we fix an element (π_γ, H^γ) in γ . Put $\rho = \rho_{P_0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha) \alpha$.

We recall the generalized principal series representations. Let σ be in \hat{M} and ν in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ (the complexification of \mathfrak{a}^*). Let $C_{\sigma, \nu}(G)$ be the space of all continuous functions f from G to H^σ such that

$$f(xman) = e^{-(\nu+\rho)(\log a)} \sigma(m)^{-1} f(x) \quad (x \in G).$$

Let $H^{P_0, \sigma, \nu}$ be the completion of $C_{\sigma, \nu}(G)$ by the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_K \|f(k)\|_\sigma^2 dk, \quad (f \in C_{\sigma, \nu}(G)).$$

The representation $\pi_{P_0, \sigma, \nu}$ is given by

$$\pi_{P_0, \sigma, \nu}(g)f(x) = f(g^{-1}x), \quad (g \in G).$$

What we have just described is the “induced picture” for $\pi_{P_0, \sigma, \nu}$.

The “compact picture” is the restriction of the induced picture to K . Here the corresponding dense subspace $C_\sigma(K)$ is

$$\{f: K \rightarrow H^\sigma \mid f \text{ is continuous and } f(km) = \sigma(m)^{-1} f(k)\}$$

and is independent of ν . According to the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN_0$, each $g \in G$ is written as

$$g = \kappa(g)(\exp H(g))n_0(g), \quad (\kappa(g) \in K, H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}, n_0(g) \in N_0).$$

Then the representation is given by

$$\pi_{P_0, \sigma, \nu}(g)f(k) = e^{-(\nu+\rho)(H(g^{-1}k))} f(\kappa(g^{-1}k)).$$

If γ is in \hat{K} , the projection operator E_γ is defined by

$$E_\gamma f = d(\gamma) \bar{\chi}_\gamma * f, \quad (f \in C_\sigma(K)),$$

where $d(\gamma)$ and χ_γ denote the dimension and the character of γ respectively. For $\gamma \in \hat{K}$, we put

$$H_\gamma^{P_0, \sigma, \nu} = \{f \in H^{P_0, \sigma, \nu} \mid E_\gamma f = f\}.$$

§3. C -functions and intertwining operators

In this section we recall the Harish-Chandra C -functions, intertwining operators and the relation between them. Let P_0 be as above. Let γ be in \hat{K} , σ in \hat{M} and A in $\text{Hom}_M(V^\gamma, H^\sigma)$, where V^γ denotes the representation space of π_γ . For ν in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, v in V^γ , let

$$L_{P_0}(A, \nu, v)(kan) = e^{-(\nu+\rho)(\log a)} A(\pi_\gamma(k^{-1})v), \quad (k \in K, a \in A, n \in N_0).$$

Then an easy computation shows that $L_{P_0}(A, v, \nu)$ is in $H_\gamma^{P_0, \sigma, \nu}$. Furthermore the map $V^\gamma \otimes \text{Hom}_M(V^\gamma, H^\sigma) \rightarrow H_\gamma^{P_0, \sigma, \nu}$ given by $v \otimes A \rightarrow L_{P_0}(A, v, \nu)$ is a bijective K -intertwining operator.

We introduce formal expressions, often divergent, for operators that implement equivalences among some of these representations. For now, we work in the induced picture. Let $P_1 = MAN_1$, $P_2 = MAN_2$ be in $\mathcal{P}(A)$. For f in $H^{P_1, \sigma, \nu}$, set

$$A(P_2 : P_1 : \sigma : \nu)f(x) = \int_{V_1 \cap N_2} f(xv)dv,$$

where $V_1 = \theta N_1$ and dv is the normalized Haar measure on $V_1 \cap N_2$ by

$$\int_{V_1 \cap N_2} e^{-\rho_1(H(v))} dv = 1,$$

where $\rho_1 = \rho_{P_1}$.

The following result is well known (see e.g. [6]).

PROPOSITION 3.1. *When the indicated integrals are convergent,*

$$A(P_2 : P_1 : \sigma : \nu)\pi_{P_1, \sigma, \nu}(g) = \pi_{P_2, \sigma, \nu}(g)A(P_2 : P_1 : \sigma : \nu)$$

for all g in G .

For w in M' , let $R(w)f(x) = f(xw)$. Then it follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$A_{P_1}(w, \sigma, \nu) = R(w)A(w^{-1}P_1 w : P_1 : \sigma : \nu)$$

satisfies

$$\pi_{P_1, w\sigma, w\nu}(\cdot)A_{P_1}(w, \sigma, \nu) = A_{P_1}(w, \sigma, \nu)\pi_{P_1, \sigma, \nu}(\cdot),$$

whenever the indicated integrals are convergent.

We denote by $A_\gamma(P_2 : P_1 : \sigma : \nu)$ the restriction of the map $A(P_2 : P_1 : \sigma : \nu)$ to the space $H_\gamma^{P_1, \sigma, \nu}$. Then we have that $A_\gamma(P_2 : P_1 : \sigma : \nu)$ is in $\text{Hom}_K(H_\gamma^{P_1, \sigma, \nu}, H_\gamma^{P_2, \sigma, \nu})$. The inner product B_θ on \mathfrak{g} induces an inner product on \mathfrak{a}^* , which we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If ν is in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$, $\langle \text{Re } \nu, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$ then*

$$A_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \sigma : \nu)L_{P_0}(A, v, \nu) = L_{P_1}(A \circ B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu), v, \nu),$$

where

$$B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu) = \int_{V_0 \cap N_1} \pi_\gamma(\kappa(v))^{-1} e^{-(\nu + \rho)(H(v))} dv.$$

Furthermore, $B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu)$ satisfies the following conditions,

- (1) $B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu)$ is absolutely convergent.
- (2) $B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu)$ is in $\text{End}(V^\gamma)$ and satisfies

$$B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu)\pi_\gamma(m) = \pi_\gamma(m)B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu) \quad (m \in M). \quad (3.1)$$

PROOF. These assertions but (2) are proved by an analogous argument to the proof of 8.11.5 in [11]. We shall prove (3.1). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma(m)B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu) &= \pi_\gamma(m) \int_{V_0 \cap N_1} \pi_\gamma(\kappa(v))^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)(H(v))} dv \\ &= \int_{V_0 \cap N_1} \pi_\gamma(\kappa(v)m^{-1})^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)(H(v))} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(\nu m^{-1}) = H(\nu)$, $\kappa(\nu m^{-1}) = \kappa(\nu)m^{-1}$ and the measure dv is invariant under $\nu \rightarrow m\nu m^{-1}$ (note that M is compact), the last expression is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{V_0 \cap N_1} \pi_\gamma(\kappa(\nu m^{-1}))^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)(H(\nu m^{-1}))} d\nu \\ &= \int_{V_0 \cap N_1} \pi_\gamma(\kappa(m\nu))^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)(H(m\nu))} d\nu \\ &= \int_{V_0 \cap N_1} \pi_\gamma(m\kappa(\nu))^{-1} e^{-(\nu+\rho)(H(\nu))} d\nu \\ &= B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu)\pi_\gamma(m). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.1).

If σ is in \hat{M} , we denote the σ -component of V^γ by V_σ^γ . Let

$$B_\gamma^\sigma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu) = B_\gamma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu)|_{V_\sigma^\gamma}.$$

Then $B_\gamma^\sigma(P_1 : P_0 : \nu)$ is in $\text{End}(V_\sigma^\gamma)$. Setting $\bar{P} = \theta P (= \theta P_0)$, $\nu \rightarrow B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : \nu)$ is called Harish-Chandra's C-function, as is well known, which is continued to $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ meromorphically.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If w is in M' , ν in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, $\langle \text{Re } \nu, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$ then*

$$A_{P_0}(w, \sigma, \nu)L(A, \nu, \nu) = L(A \circ B_\gamma(w, \nu)\pi_\gamma(w)^{-1}, \nu, \nu),$$

where

$$B_\gamma(w, \nu) = B_\gamma(w^{-1}P_0 w : P_0 : \nu).$$

§ 4. The C -function for the $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$ case

In this section we shall specialize to $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$ the notation described in the previous sections. Our notation is as follows. Let G be $SL(3, \mathbf{R})$, the group of 3-by-3 real matrices of determinant one. Let

$$\theta = -\text{transpose}$$

$$K = SO(3)$$

$$\mathfrak{a} = \{\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_i \in \mathbf{R}, x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0\}$$

$$M = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$$

$$N = \left\{ g \in G \mid g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$P = MAN$$

and define linear functions e_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{C}$ by

$$e_i(\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3)) = x_i.$$

Then each ν in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbf{C}^*$ can be written in the form

$$\nu = \nu_1 e_1 + \nu_2 e_2 + \nu_3 e_3 \quad (\nu_i \in \mathbf{C}, 1 \leq i \leq 3),$$

and we sometimes write (ν_1, ν_2, ν_3) for ν . The \mathfrak{a} -roots of \mathfrak{g} are $\pm(e_1 - e_2)$, $\pm(e_2 - e_3)$, $\pm(e_1 - e_3)$, and the simple \mathfrak{a} -roots are $e_1 - e_2$, $e_2 - e_3$. Let

$$w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Their adjoint actions on \mathfrak{a} are corresponding to the simple reflections. We set $w_0 = w_1 w_2 w_1$. Then we have

$$A(\bar{P}: P: \sigma: \nu) = R(w_0) A_P(w_0, \sigma, \nu).$$

By the relation

$$A_P(w_0, \sigma, \nu) = A_P(w_1, w_2 w_1 \sigma, w_2 w_1 \nu) A_P(w_2, w_1 \sigma, w_1 \nu) A_P(w_1, \sigma, \nu)$$

and Corollary 3.3, we have for γ in \hat{K}

$$B_\gamma(\bar{P} : P : \nu) = B_\gamma(w_1, \nu)\pi_\gamma(w_1)B_\gamma(w_2, w_1\nu)\pi_\gamma(w_2)B_\gamma(w_1, w_2w_1\nu)\pi_\gamma(w_1)\pi_\gamma(w_0).$$

LEMMA 4.1. *If ν is in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, $\langle \operatorname{Re} \nu, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$B_\gamma(w_1, \nu) = \operatorname{Const} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(\nu_1 - \nu_2) - 1} \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ x & 1 \\ & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} dx,$$

$$B_\gamma(w_2, \nu) = \operatorname{Const} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(\nu_2 - \nu_3) - 1} \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & & \\ & 1 & -x \\ & x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} dx,$$

where $f(x) = (1 + x^2)^{1/2}$.

Since the results are obtained by an easy computation, we leave the proof to the reader.

We shall recall irreducible unitary representations of K .

LEMMA 4.2. *Set*

$$X_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and satisfies the following relations

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_3, \quad [X_2, X_3] = X_1, \quad [X_3, X_1] = X_2.$$

By the basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ given in Lemma 4.2, $(SU(2), \operatorname{Ad})$ can be considered to be the universal covering group of K . If n is a nonnegative even integer, we set

$$V^n = \{p \in C[z_1, z_2] \mid p \text{ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree } n\}.$$

Then V^n is a Hilbert space of dimension $n + 1$ equipped with the inner product (p_1, p_2) defined by

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k z_1^k z_2^{n-k}, \sum_{k=0}^n b_k z_1^k z_2^{n-k} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^n k!(n-k)! a_k \bar{b}_k.$$

For each $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$, we assign

$$(\tilde{\pi}_n(g)p)(z_1, z_2) = p(az_1 + cz_2, bz_1 + dz_2) \quad (f \in V^n).$$

Then it is known that $(\tilde{\pi}_n, V^n)$ ($n \geq 0$) are irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ and exhaust $SU(2)^\wedge$. Moreover, as is well known (see e.g. [10]), for each γ in \hat{K} there exists a unique nonnegative even integer n satisfying

$$\tilde{\pi}_n \simeq \pi_\gamma \circ \text{Ad}, \quad (\text{unitarily equivalent}). \quad (4.1)$$

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose γ is in \hat{K} , n is the nonnegative even integer satisfying (4.1) and V^n is defined as above. Let

$$v_i = z_1^{n-i} z_2^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$C = 2^{-1/2} \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2).$$

Then we have

$$(1) \quad \pi_\gamma \left[f(x)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ x & 1 \\ & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} v_i = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1}x}{f(x)} \right)^{n/2-i} v_i,$$

$$(2) \quad \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C))\pi_\gamma \left[f(x)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & & \\ & 1 & -x \\ & x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C))$$

$$= \pi_\gamma \left[f(x)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ x & 1 \\ & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1}.$$

PROOF. We first prove formula (1). From Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\text{Ad}(\exp tX_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & \\ \sin t & \cos t & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (t \in \mathbf{R})$$

and by an easy computation, we obtain

$$\tilde{\pi}_n(\exp tX_3) = e^{(n/2-i)\sqrt{-1}t} v_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

Therefore we have

$$\pi_\gamma \left[\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & \\ \sin t & \cos t & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] v_i = e^{(n/2-i)\sqrt{-1}t} v_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq n) \quad (3.2)$$

If we put $\cos t = f(x)^{-1}$, $\sin t = x/f(x)$, (3.2) is equal to

$$\pi_\gamma \left[f(x)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ x & 1 \\ & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right] v_i = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{-1}x}{f(x)} \right)^{n/2-i} v_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

Therefore we have

$$\pi_\gamma \left[f(x)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ x & 1 \\ & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} v_i = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1}x}{f(x)} \right)^{n/2-i} v_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

We next prove (2). We note that

$$C^{-1}X_1C = X_3,$$

$$\text{Ad}(\exp tX_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \cos t & -\sin t \\ & \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \cos t & -\sin t \\ & \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(\exp tX_1)) \\ &= \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C^{-1}(\exp tX_3)C)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Ad}(C^2)$ is equal to the identity, $\text{Ad}(C)^{-1} = \text{Ad}(C)$ and the last expression equals

$$\pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C))\pi_\gamma \left[\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & \\ \sin t & \cos t & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C)).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \cos t & -\sin t \\ & \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \\ = \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C))\pi_\gamma \left[\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & \\ \sin t & \cos t & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C)). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\cos t = f(x)^{-1}$, $\sin t = x/f(x)$, we obtain (2).

As is easily seen, the element $\text{Ad}(C)$ in K normalizes α_C^* . Thus $\text{Ad}(C)$ is in M' . Then we have the following

COROLLARY 4.4. *Suppose v is an element in α_C^* such that $\langle \text{Re } v, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and let γ, n be as in Lemma 4.3. Then*

$$(1) \quad B_\gamma(w_1, v) = \alpha(v_1 - v_2, n),$$

where $\alpha(s, n) = \text{diag}(\alpha_0(s, n), \dots, \alpha_n(s, n))$ ($s \in \mathbb{C}$) with respect to the basis $\{v_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ of V^n and for $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\alpha_i(s, n) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-(n/2-i)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+(n/2-i)}{2}\right)}.$$

We have also

$$(2) \quad \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C))B_\gamma(w_2, v)\pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C)) = B_\gamma(w_1, -(\text{Ad}(C) \cdot v)),$$

where $\text{Ad}(C) \cdot v$ is the element in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ defined by

$$(\text{Ad}(C) \cdot v)(H) = v(\text{Ad}(C)^{-1}H), \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}).$$

PROOF. (2) is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.3 (2). We shall prove (1). From Lemma 4.1, we have

$$B_\gamma(w_1, v)v_i = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(v_1-v_2)-1} \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ x & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] v_i dx$$

by Lemma 4.3

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(v_1-v_2)-1} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1}x}{f(x)} \right)^{n/2-i} dx v_i$$

by A.3

$$= \alpha_i(v_1 - v_2, n)v_i.$$

This proves (1).

§5. The M -isotypic components of γ

In this section we shall describe the M -isotypic components of γ in \widehat{K} . Let n be a nonnegative even integer satisfying (4.1) and V^n be the space defined in §4. For any integer ζ , we write $\zeta \equiv 0$ (resp. $\zeta \equiv 1$) if ζ is even (resp. odd). Let

$$V_{(0,+)}^n = \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 0(2) \\ 0 \leq k \leq n}} C(z_1^{n-k} z_2^k + z_1^k z_2^{n-k}),$$

$$V_{(0,-)}^n = \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 0(2) \\ 0 \leq k \leq n}} C(z_1^{n-k} z_2^k - z_1^k z_2^{n-k}),$$

$$V_{(1,+)}^n = \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 1(2) \\ 0 \leq k \leq n}} C(z_1^{n-k} z_2^k + z_1^k z_2^{n-k}),$$

$$V_{(1,-)}^n = \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 1(2) \\ 0 \leq k \leq n}} C(z_1^{n-k} z_2^k - z_1^k z_2^{n-k}).$$

Then we have

$$V^n = V_{(0,+)}^n + V_{(0,-)}^n + V_{(1,+)}^n + V_{(1,-)}^n, \quad (5.1)$$

(orthogonal direct).

LEMMA 5.1. *Let C be the matrix defined in §4. Then we have*

- (1) $V_{(0,+)}^n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_n(C)} V_{(0,+)}^n$
- (2) $V_{(0,-)}^n \longrightarrow V_{(1,+)}^n$
- (3) $V_{(1,+)}^n \longrightarrow V_{(0,-)}^n$
- (4) $V_{(1,-)}^n \longrightarrow V_{(1,-)}^n$

PROOF. For any integer $r \geq 0$, we observe that

$$(z_1 + z_2)^r + (z_1 - z_2)^r = 2 \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq r \\ p \equiv 0(2)}} \binom{r}{p} z_1^{r-p} z_2^p, \quad (5.2)$$

$$(z_1 + z_2)^r - (z_1 - z_2)^r = 2 \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq r \\ p \equiv 1(2)}} \binom{r}{p} z_1^{r-p} z_2^p. \quad (5.3)$$

Suppose $n - 2k \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\pi}_n(C)(z_1^{n-k} z_2^k + z_1^k z_2^{n-k}) \\ &= \text{Const} \cdot ((z_1 + z_2)^{n-k} (z_1 - z_2)^k + (z_1 + z_2)^k (z_1 - z_2)^{n-k}) \\ &= \text{Const} \cdot (z_1^2 - z_2^2)^k ((z_1 + z_2)^{n-2k} + (z_1 - z_2)^{n-2k}). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.2) we have

$$(z_1 + z_2)^{n-2k} + (z_1 - z_2)^{n-2k} \in V_{(0,+)}^{n-2k}.$$

Therefore, for the proof of (1) and (3), it is enough to show the following relations

$$(z_1^2 - z_2^2)^k \cdot V_{(0,+)}^{n-2k} \subset V_{(0,+)}^n \quad \text{for } k \equiv 0(2) \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$(z_1^2 - z_2^2)^k \cdot V_{(0,+)}^{n-2k} \subset V_{(0,-)}^n \quad \text{for } k \equiv 1(2). \quad (5.5)$$

Now for each interger $s \geq 0$ such that $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we have

$$(z_1^2 - z_2^2)(z_1^{r-s}z_2^s + z_1^s z_2^{r-s}) = (z_1^{(r+2)-s}z_2^s - z_1^{s+2}z_2^{(r+2)-s}) \\ + (z_1^{s+2}z_2^{(r+2)-(s+2)} - z_1^{(r+2)-(s+2)}z_2^{s+2}).$$

Therefore for an even integer $r \geq 0$, we have

$$(z_1^2 - z_2^2) \cdot V_{(0,+)}^r \subset V_{(0,-)}^{r+2}.$$

In the same way as above, for an even integer $r \geq 0$, we have

$$(z_1^2 - z_2^2) \cdot V_{(0,-)}^r \subset V_{(0,+)}^{r+2}.$$

This proves (5.4), (5.5). Namely (1) and (3) are proved. Similarly, we can prove (2) and (4).

Let

$$m_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad m_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then M is generated by m_1, m_2 and we have

$$m_1 = \text{Ad}(\exp \pi X_3) = \text{Ad}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right)\right) \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$m_2 = \text{Ad}(\exp \pi X_1) = \text{Ad}(C) \text{Ad}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right)\right) \text{Ad}(C). \quad (5.7)$$

Since M is abelian and m_1, m_2 are of order two, for each γ in \hat{K} , its representation space V^γ is decomposed as

$$V^\gamma = V_{(+,+)}^\gamma + V_{(+,-)}^\gamma + V_{(-,+)}^\gamma + V_{(-,-)}^\gamma, \quad (5.8)$$

(orthogonal direct),

where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma(m_1)|_{V_{(+,+)}^\gamma} &= 1, & \pi_\gamma(m_2)|_{V_{(+,+)}^\gamma} &= 1, \\ \pi_\gamma(m_1)|_{V_{(+,-)}^\gamma} &= 1, & \pi_\gamma(m_2)|_{V_{(+,-)}^\gamma} &= -1, \\ \pi_\gamma(m_1)|_{V_{(-,+)}^\gamma} &= -1, & \pi_\gamma(m_2)|_{V_{(-,+)}^\gamma} &= 1, \\ \pi_\gamma(m_1)|_{V_{(-,-)}^\gamma} &= -1, & \pi_\gamma(m_2)|_{V_{(-,-)}^\gamma} &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.2. *There is an M -intertwining correspondence between (5.1) and (5.8), that is, whenever $n/2$ is odd,*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{(0,+)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(-,-)}^\gamma, \\ V_{(0,-)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(-,+)}^\gamma, \\ V_{(1,+)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(+,-)}^\gamma, \\ V_{(1,-)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(+,+)}^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $n/2$ is even,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{(0,+)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(+,+)}^\gamma, \\ V_{(0,-)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(+,-)}^\gamma, \\ V_{(1,+)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(-,+)}^\gamma, \\ V_{(1,-)}^n &\rightarrow V_{(-,-)}^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. According to (4.1) and (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma(m_1)(z_1^{n-k}z_2^k) &= \tilde{\pi}_n\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right)\right)(z_1^{n-k}z_2^k) \\ &= (-1)^{n/2+k}z_1^{n-k}z_2^k. \end{aligned}$$

The signature of $\pi_\gamma(m_1)$ is determined by $n/2 + k$. Combining this fact with (5.7) and Lemma 5.1, we have the desired results.

For simplicity, we denote $V_{(\cdot,\cdot)}^\gamma$ by (\cdot, \cdot) .

LEMMA 5.3. *Let w_i ($i = 1, 2$) be as in §4. Then $\pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C))$ and $\pi_\gamma(w_i)$ satisfy the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (+, +) & \xrightarrow{\pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C))} & (+, +) \\ (+, -) & \longrightarrow & (-, +) \\ (-, +) & \longrightarrow & (+, -) \\ (-, -) & \longrightarrow & (-, -) \\ (+, +) & \xrightarrow{\pi_\gamma(w_1)} (+, +) & \quad (+, +) \xrightarrow{\pi_\gamma(w_2)} (+, +) \\ (+, -) & \longrightarrow (+, -) & \quad (+, -) \longrightarrow (-, -) \\ (-, +) & \longrightarrow (-, -) & \quad (-, +) \longrightarrow (-, +) \\ (-, -) & \longrightarrow (-, +) & \quad (-, -) \longrightarrow (+, -) \end{array}$$

Since the proof is simple, we leave it to the reader.

§6. The determinant of the C-function

In this section, we shall give an explicit formula of the determinant of $B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P}: P: v)$. Let

$$\pi_\gamma^\sigma(w) = \pi_\gamma(w)|_{V_\gamma^\sigma}, \quad (w \in M')$$

and for v in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$,

$$\alpha^{+,+}(v, \gamma) = \prod_{\substack{n/2-k \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq k \leq n/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-(n/2-k)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+(n/2-k)}{2}\right)},$$

$$\alpha^{+,-}(v, \gamma) = \prod_{\substack{n/2-k \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq k < n/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-(n/2-k)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+(n/2-k)}{2}\right)},$$

$$\alpha^{-,+}(v, \gamma) = \prod_{\substack{n/2-k \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq k \leq n/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-(n/2-k)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+(n/2-k)}{2}\right)},$$

$$\alpha^{-,-}(v, \gamma) = \prod_{\substack{n/2-k \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq k < n/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-(n/2-k)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+(n/2-k)}{2}\right)},$$

where $s = v_1 - v_2$, and n is a nonnegative even integer satisfying (4.1).

LEMMA 6.1. *Suppose γ is in \hat{K} and σ is in \hat{M} such that $V_\sigma^\gamma \neq \{0\}$. Then we have*

$$\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(w_1, v)) = \alpha^\sigma(v, \gamma).$$

The assertion of the lemma is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.4 (1) and Lemma 5.2. The proof is left to the reader.

THEOREM 6.2. *Suppose γ is in \hat{K} and σ is in \hat{M} such that $V_\sigma^\gamma \neq \{0\}$. Then we have*

(1) if $\sigma = (+, +)$,

$$\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P}: P: v)) = \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{+,+}(w_2 w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{+,+}(w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{+,+}(v, \gamma),$$

(2) if $\sigma = (+, -)$,

$$\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P}: P: v)) = \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{-,-}(w_2 w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{+,-}(w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{+,-}(v, \gamma),$$

(3) if $\sigma = (-, +)$,

$$\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) = \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{+, -}(w_2 w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{-, -}(w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{-, +}(v, \gamma),$$

(4) if $\sigma = (-, -)$,

$$\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) = \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{-, +}(w_2 w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{+, -}(w_1 v, \gamma) \alpha^{-, -}(v, \gamma),$$

PROOF. We shall prove (2). By Lemma 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_\gamma^{(+, -)}(\bar{P} : P : v) &= B_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_1, v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_1) B_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_2, w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(-, -)}(w_2) \\ &\quad \cdot B_\gamma^{(-, -)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(-, +)}(w_1) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

By Corollary 4.4 (2) and Lemma 5.3 we obtain

$$B_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_2, w_1 v) = \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C)) B_\gamma^{(-, +)}(w_1, -(\text{Ad}(C) \cdot w_1 v)) \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C)).$$

Therefore (6.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &B_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_1, v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_1) \pi_\gamma^{(-, +)}(\text{Ad}(C)) \\ &\quad \cdot B_\gamma^{(-, +)}(w_1, -(\text{Ad}(C) \cdot w_1 v)) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -)}(\text{Ad}(C)) \pi_\gamma^{(-, -)}(w_2) \\ &\quad \cdot B_\gamma^{(-, -)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(-, +)}(w_1) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Let $i = 0$ or 1 and σ' in M' . We extend $B_\gamma^{\sigma'}(w_i, \cdot)$ to an operator $\tilde{B}_\gamma^\sigma(w_i, \cdot)$ of V^γ by

$$\tilde{B}_\gamma^\sigma(w_i, \cdot) = \begin{cases} B_\gamma^{\sigma'}(w_i, \cdot) & \text{on } V_\sigma^\gamma \\ \text{identity} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v) &= \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(+, -)}(w_1, v) \pi_\gamma(w_1) \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C)) \\ &\quad \cdot \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(-, +)}(w_1, -(\text{Ad}(C) \cdot w_1 v)) \pi_\gamma(\text{Ad}(C)) \pi_\gamma(w_2) \\ &\quad \cdot \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(-, -)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma(w_1) \pi_\gamma(w_0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Then from (6.2) we have

$$\tilde{B}_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)|_{V_\sigma^\gamma} = B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v) \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) = d_1 \cdot \det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)), \quad (6.6)$$

where d_1 is a nonzero constant which is independent of v . On the other hand, from (6.3) and (6.4) we have

$$\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P}: P: v)) = d_2 \cdot \det(B_\gamma^{(+,-)}(w_1, v)) \det(B_\gamma^{(-,+)}(w_1, -(\text{Ad}(C) \cdot w_1 v))) \\ \cdot \det(B_\gamma^{(-,-)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 v)), \quad (6.7)$$

where d_2 is a constant such that $|d_2| = 1$. By Lemma 6.1 and (6.6), (6.7), we can prove (2). Similarly, we can prove the others.

§7. The C -function for $SL(4, R)$

Let G be $SL(4, R)$, the group of 4-by-4 real matrices of determinant one. Let

$$\theta = -\text{transpose},$$

$$K = SO(4),$$

$$\mathfrak{a} = \{\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mid x_i \in R, \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i = 0\},$$

$$M = Z_K(\mathfrak{a}),$$

$$N = \left\{ g \in G \mid g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * & * \\ & 1 & * & * \\ & & 1 & * \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$P = MAN,$$

and define linear functions e_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) on \mathfrak{a}_C by

$$e_i(\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)) = x_i.$$

Then each v in \mathfrak{a}_C^* can be written in the form

$$v = v_1 e_1 + v_2 e_2 + v_3 e_3 + v_4 e_4 \quad (v_i \in C, 1 \leq i \leq 4),$$

and we write (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) for v . The \mathfrak{a} -roots of \mathfrak{g} are $e_i - e_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 4, i \neq j$) and the simple \mathfrak{a} -roots are $e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, e_3 - e_4$. Let

$$w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & -1 & 0 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Their adjoint actions on \mathfrak{a} are corresponding to the simple reflections. We set $w_0 = w_1 w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1$, then we have

$$A(\bar{P} : P : \sigma : \nu) = R(w_0)A_P(w_0, \sigma, \nu).$$

By the relation

$$\begin{aligned} A_P(w_0, \sigma, \nu) &= A_P(w_1, w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 \sigma, w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 \nu) \\ &\quad \cdot A_P(w_2, w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 \sigma, w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 \nu) A_P(w_1, w_3 w_2 w_1 \sigma, w_3 w_2 w_1 \nu) \\ &\quad \cdot A_P(w_3, w_2 w_1 \sigma, w_2 w_1 \nu) A_P(w_2, w_1 \sigma, w_1 \nu) A_P(w_1, \sigma, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

and Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_\gamma(\bar{P} : P : \nu) &= B_\gamma(w_1, \nu) \pi_\gamma(w_1) B_\gamma(w_2, w_1 \nu) \pi_\gamma(w_2) B_\gamma(w_3, w_2 w_1 \nu) \pi_\gamma(w_3) \\ &\quad \cdot B_\gamma(w_1, w_3 w_2 w_1 \nu) \pi_\gamma(w_1) B_\gamma(w_2, w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 \nu) \pi_\gamma(w_2) \\ &\quad \cdot B_\gamma(w_1, w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 \nu) \pi_\gamma(w_1) \pi_\gamma(w_0). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

LEMMA 7.1. *If ν is in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, $\langle \operatorname{Re} \nu, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad B_\gamma(w_1, \nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(\nu_1 - \nu_2) - 1} \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x \\ x & 1 \\ & & f(x) \\ & & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} dx, \\ (2) \quad B_\gamma(w_2, \nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(\nu_2 - \nu_3) - 1} \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & & & \\ & 1 & -x & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} dx, \\ (3) \quad B_\gamma(w_3, \nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(\nu_3 - \nu_4) - 1} \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & & & \\ & f(x) & & \\ & & 1 & -x \\ & & x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(x) = (1 + x^2)^{1/2}$.

Since the results are obtained by an easy computation, we leave the proof to the reader.

We shall recall irreducible unitary representations of K . Let \mathbf{H} be the field of quaternion numbers, the algebra over \mathbf{R} with basis $1, i, j, k$ and multiplication law $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, $ij = k$, $ki = j$, $jk = i$. If $z = z_1 + iz_2 + jz_3 + kz_4$, we set $\bar{z} = z_1 - iz_2 - jz_3 - kz_4$ and $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$. Then we have

$$|z|^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2.$$

Let $Sp(1)$ be the group of all quaternion numbers such that $|z|^2 = 1$.

We identify $Sp(1)$ with $SU(2)$ as follows. Each element $z = z_1 + iz_2 + jz_3 + kz_4$ in $Sp(1)$ can be written as

$$z = x + jy, \quad (x = z_1 + iz_2, y = z_3 - iz_4).$$

Using the above notation, we set

$$\varphi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x & -\bar{y} \\ y & \bar{x} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2).$$

Then φ is an isomorphism from $Sp(1)$ to $SU(2)$.

We identify H with R^4 by the map $w \rightarrow (w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)$, ($w = w_1 + iw_2 + jw_3 + kw_4$). For each (z, z') in $SP(1) \times SP(1)$ and (w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4) in R^4 , we define a map ψ from $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$ to K by

$$\psi(z, z')((w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)) = z \cdot w \cdot z'^{-1}.$$

Then $(Sp(1) \times Sp(1), \psi)$ is the universal covering group of K .

We set

$$\iota = \psi \cdot (\varphi^{-1} \times \varphi^{-1}).$$

LEMMA 7.2. $(SU(2) \times SU(2), \iota)$ is the universal covering group of K . Furthermore, for each γ in \hat{K} there exist unique nonnegative integers m, n satisfying the following relations,

$$m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad (7.2)$$

and

$$\tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \simeq \pi_\gamma \circ \iota, \quad (\text{unitarily equivalent}) \quad (7.3)$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_n$ ($n \geq 0$) are defined in §4 and $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the exterior tensor product.

We identify V^γ with $V^m \otimes V^n$ by Lemma 7.2.

LEMMA 7.3. Suppose γ is in \hat{K} , m, n are nonnegative integers satisfying (7.2) and (7.3) and V^m, V^n are the spaces defined in §4. Let

$$u_i = z_1^{m-i} z_2^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq m), \quad v_j = z_1^{n-j} z_2^j \quad (0 \leq j \leq n).$$

Then for x in R we have the following relations,

$$(1) \quad \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x & & \\ x & 1 & & \\ & & f(x) & \\ & & & f(x) \end{bmatrix} \right]^{-1} (u_i \otimes v_j) \\ = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1}x}{f(x)} \right)^{(m-n)/2 - (i-j)} (u_i \otimes v_j),$$

$$(2) \quad \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) & & & \\ & f(x) & & \\ & & 1 & -x \\ & & x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]^{-1} (u_i \otimes v_j) \\ = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1}x}{f(x)} \right)^{(m+n)/2 - (i+j)} (u_i \otimes v_j), \quad (0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n).$$

PROOF. We shall prove (1). Let $\{X_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ be the basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ given in Lemma 4.2. We then have for t in \mathbf{R}

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(\exp tX_3, \exp -tX_3)(u_i \otimes v_j) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_m(\exp tX_3)u_i \otimes \tilde{\pi}_n(\exp -tX_3)v_j \\ &= (e^{(m/2-i)\sqrt{-1}t}u_i) \otimes (e^{-(n/2-j)\sqrt{-1}t}v_j) \\ &= e^{((m-n)/2 - (i-j))\sqrt{-1}t}u_i \otimes v_j, \quad (0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n) \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

and by an easy computation, we obtain

$$i(\exp tX_3, \exp -tX_3) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & & \\ \sin t & \cos t & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7.5)$$

$$i(\exp tX_3, \exp tX_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \cos t & -\sin t \\ & & \sin t & \cos t \end{bmatrix}. \quad (7.6)$$

From (7.4), (7.5) and Lemma 7.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_\gamma \left[\begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & & \\ \sin t & \cos t & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right] u_i \otimes v_j \\ &= e^{((m-n)/2 - (i-j))\sqrt{-1}t}u_i \otimes v_j, \quad (0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\cos t = f(x)^{-1}$, $\sin t = x/f(x)$, we obtain (1). (2) can be proved similarly.

We put

$$C = 2^{-1/2} \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2).$$

Then we have the following

LEMMA 7.4. *In the setting of the last lemma we have for x in \mathbf{R}*

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & & & \\ & 1 & -x & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \\ &= \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C)) \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x & & \\ x & 1 & & \\ & & f(x) & \\ & & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We note that

$$C^{-1} X_1 C = X_3, \quad (7.7)$$

and we have for t in \mathbf{R}

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(\exp -tX_1, \exp -tX_1) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C(\exp -tX_3)C^{-1}, C(\exp -tX_3)C^{-1}) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C, C) \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(\exp -tX_3, \exp -tX_3) \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C, C)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

By an easy computation we obtain for t in \mathbf{R}

$$\iota(\exp -tX_1, \exp -tX_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \cos t & -\sin t & & \\ \sin t & \cos t & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.9)$$

Therefore, from (7.6), (7.8) and (7.9) we have

$$\pi_\gamma \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \cos t & -\sin t & & \\ \sin t & \cos t & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C)) \pi_\gamma \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ \cos t & \sin t & & \\ -\sin t & \cos t & & \end{pmatrix} \right] \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1}.$$

Putting $\cos t = f(x)^{-1}$, $\sin t = x/f(x)$, we obtain the desired relation, and this proves the assertion of the lemma.

Let m, n be nonnegative integers and s in \mathbb{C} . For each integer i, j such that $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{i,j}(s, (m, n)) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1 - ((m-n)/2 - (i-j))}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1 + ((m-n)/2 - (i-j))}{2}\right)}, \\ & \beta_{i,j}(s, (m, n)) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1 - ((m+n)/2 - (i+j))}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1 + ((m+n)/2 - (i+j))}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

As is easily seen, the element $\iota(C, C)$ in K normalizes \mathfrak{a}_C^* . Thus $\iota(C, C)$ is in M' . We then have the following

LEMMA 7.5. Suppose v is in \mathfrak{a}_C^* such that $\langle \operatorname{Re} v, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and let γ, m and n be as in Lemma 7.3. Then

$$(1) \quad B_\gamma(w_1, v)(u_i \otimes v_j) = \alpha_{i,j}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n))(u_i \otimes v_j), \quad (7.10)$$

$$B_\gamma(w_3, v)(u_i \otimes v_j) = \beta_{i,j}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n))(u_i \otimes v_j), \quad (7.11)$$

($0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$).

$$(2) \quad \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))B_\gamma(w_2, v)\pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1} = B_\gamma(w_3, -(\iota(C, C) \cdot v)), \quad (7.12)$$

where $\iota(C, C) \cdot v$ is the element in \mathfrak{a}_C^* defined by

$$\iota(C, C) \cdot v(H) = v(\iota(C, C)^{-1} \cdot H \cdot \iota(C, C)), \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}_C).$$

PROOF. We shall prove (1). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_\gamma(w_1, v)(u_i \otimes v_j) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(v_1 - v_2) - 1} \pi_\gamma \left[\frac{1}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x & & \\ x & 1 & & \\ & & f(x) & \\ & & & f(x) \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} (u_i \otimes v_j) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 7.3 (1)

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{-(v_1-v_2)-1} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1}x}{f(x)} \right)^{(m-n)/2-(i-j)} (u_i \otimes v_j) dx,$$

and by A.3

$$= \alpha_{i,j}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n))(u_i \otimes v_j).$$

This proves (7.10). In the same way, we can prove (7.11). This proves (1). Furthermore, (2) is a direct consequence of Lemma 7.4.

§8. The M -isotypic components of γ

In this section we shall describe the M -isotypic components of γ in \hat{K} . Let m, n be the nonnegative integers given in Lemma 7.2 and $k = 0$ or 1 . If i, j ($i, j \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfy $(m-n)/2 - (i-j) \equiv k$, we write $(i, j) \equiv k$ for the above relation.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{(k,+,+)}^{(m,n)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv k \\ 0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n}} C(z_1^{m-i} z_2^i + z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j + z_1^j z_2^{n-j}), \\ V_{(k,+,-)}^{(m,n)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv k \\ 0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n}} C(z_1^{m-i} z_2^i + z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j - z_1^j z_2^{n-j}), \\ V_{(k,-,+)}^{(m,n)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv k \\ 0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n}} C(z_1^{m-i} z_2^i - z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j + z_1^j z_2^{n-j}), \\ V_{(k,-,-)}^{(m,n)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv k \\ 0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n}} C(z_1^{m-i} z_2^i - z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j - z_1^j z_2^{n-j}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$V^m \otimes V^n = \sum_{k=0}^1 V_{(k,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(k,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(k,-,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(k,-,-)}^{(m,n)}, \quad (8.1)$$

(orthogonal direct).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & m_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ m_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then M is generated by m_1, m_2, m_3 and, from (7.5), (7.6) and (7.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \iota(\exp \pi X_3, \exp -\pi X_3) \\ &= \iota\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right)^{-1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 &= \iota(\exp -\pi X_1, \exp -\pi X_1) \\ &= \iota(C, C)\iota\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right)^{-1}, \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right)^{-1}\right)\iota(C, C)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

and

$$m_3 = \iota\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array}\right)\right). \quad (8.4)$$

Since M is abelian and m_1, m_2, m_3 are of order two, for each γ in \hat{K} its representation space V^γ is decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} V^\gamma &= V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma + V_{(+,+,-)}^\gamma + V_{(+,-,+)}^\gamma + V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma \\ &\quad + V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma + V_{(-,+,-)}^\gamma + V_{(-,-,+)}^\gamma + V_{(-,-,-)}^\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

(orthogonal direct),

where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma(m_1)|_{V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma} &= 1, & \pi_\gamma(m_1)|_{V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma} &= -1, \\ \pi_\gamma(m_2)|_{V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma} &= 1, & \pi_\gamma(m_2)|_{V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma} &= -1, \\ \pi_\gamma(m_3)|_{V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma} &= 1, & \pi_\gamma(m_3)|_{V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma} &= -1, \end{aligned}$$

* denoting + or -.

LEMMA 8.1. *Suppose γ is in \hat{K} , m, n are nonnegative integers given in Lemma 7.2. Then there is an M -intertwining correspondence between (8.1) and (8.5), that is, whenever $(m-n)/2$ and n are even*

$$V_{(0,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(0,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,-,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,-,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,-,-)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma = V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(-,-,-)}^\gamma = \{0\};$$

whenever $(m-n)/2$ is odd and n even

$$V_{(0,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,-,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(0,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,+,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,-,-)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,+,-)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma = V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(-,-,+)}^\gamma = \{0\};$$

whenever $(m-n)/2$ is even and n odd

$$V_{(0,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(0,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,+,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,-,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma = V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(-,-,-)}^\gamma = \{0\};$$

whenever $(m-n)/2$ and n are odd

$$V_{(0,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(0,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(0,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,+)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,+,-)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(1,+,-)}^{(m,n)} + V_{(1,-,+)}^{(m,n)} \rightarrow V_{(-,-,+)}^\gamma,$$

$$V_{(+,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(+,-,-)}^\gamma = V_{(-,+,+)}^\gamma = V_{(-,-,-)}^\gamma = \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Let m, n be as in the lemma and i, j integers such that $0 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq j \leq n$. According to Lemma 7.2 and (8.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_\gamma(m_1)(z_1^{m-i}z_2^i \otimes z_1^{n-j}z_2^j) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \right) (z_1^{m-i}z_2^i \otimes z_1^{n-j}z_2^j) \\ &= (\sqrt{-1}z_1)^{m-i}(-\sqrt{-1}z_2)^i \otimes (-\sqrt{-1}z_1)^{n-j}(\sqrt{-1}z_2)^j \\ &= (-1)^{(m-n)/2+(i-j)} z_1^{m-i}z_2^i \otimes z_1^{n-j}z_2^j, \quad (0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n) \end{aligned}$$

and the signature of $\pi_\gamma(m_1)$ is determined by $(m-n)/2 - (i-j)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 7.2 and (8.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_\gamma(m_3)(z_1^{m-i}z_2^i \otimes z_1^{n-j}z_2^j) \\
&= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right) \right) (z_1^{m-i}z_2^i \otimes z_1^{n-j}z_2^j) \\
&= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right), (-1) \times \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \right) (z_1^{m-i}z_2^i \otimes z_1^{n-j}z_2^j) \\
&= (-1)^n (-1)^{(m-n)/2+(i-j)} z_1^{m-i}z_2^i \otimes z_1^{n-j}z_2^j, \quad (0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n)
\end{aligned}$$

and the signature of $\pi_\gamma(m_3)$ is determined by that of $\pi_\gamma(m_1)$ and n . On the other hand, by Lemma 7.2 and (8.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_\gamma(m_2)((z_1^{m-i}z_2^i + z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j}z_2^j + z_1^j z_2^{n-j})) \\
&= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C, C) \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1}, \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
& \quad \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C, C)^{-1}((z_1^{m-i}z_2^i + z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j}z_2^j + z_1^j z_2^{n-j})).
\end{aligned}$$

We may assume $m-i \geq i$, $n-j \geq j$ without any loss of generality. Thus the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C, C) \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1}, \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
& \quad ((2^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{m+n} ((z_1 + z_2)^{m-i} (z_1 - z_2)^i + (z_1 + z_2)^i (z_1 - z_2)^{m-i}) \\
& \quad \otimes ((z_1 + z_2)^{n-j} (z_1 - z_2)^j + (z_1 + z_2)^j (z_1 - z_2)^{n-j})) \\
&= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C, C) \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1}, \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
& \quad ((2^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{m+n} (z_1^2 - z_2^2)^i ((z_1 + z_2)^{m-2i} + (z_1 - z_2)^{m-2i}) \\
& \quad \otimes (z_1^2 - z_2^2)^j ((z_1 + z_2)^{n-2j} + (z_1 - z_2)^{n-2j})),
\end{aligned}$$

by (5.2) and (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n(C, C) \tilde{\pi}_m \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\pi}_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1}, \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
& \quad \left((-2^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{m+n} \cdot (z_1^2 - z_2^2)^i \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq m-2i \\ p=0}} 2 \binom{m-2i}{p} z_1^{m-2i-p} z_2^p \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes \left((z_1^2 - z_2^2)^j \sum_{\substack{0 \leq q \leq n-2j \\ q \equiv 0}} 2 \binom{n-2j}{q} z_1^{n-2j-q} z_2^q \right) \\
& = (-1)^{(m+n)/2} \hat{\pi}_m \otimes \hat{\pi}_n(C, C) \left((-2^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{m+n} \cdot (z_1^2 - z_2^2)^{i+j} \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left(\sum_{\substack{0 \leq p \leq m-2i \\ p \equiv 0}} 2 \binom{m-2i}{p} z_1^{m-2i-p} z_2^p \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{\substack{0 \leq q \leq n-2j \\ q \equiv 0}} 2 \binom{n-2j}{q} z_1^{n-2j-q} z_2^q \right) \right) \\
& = (-1)^{(m+n)/2} ((z_1^{m-i} z_2^i + z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j + z_1^j z_2^{n-j})).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_\gamma(m_2) ((z_1^{m-i} z_2^i - z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j - z_1^j z_2^{n-j})) \\
& = (-1)^{(m+n)/2} ((z_1^{m-i} z_2^i - z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j - z_1^j z_2^{n-j}))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_\gamma(m_2) ((z_1^{m-i} z_2^i \pm z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j \pm (-1) z_1^j z_2^{n-j})) \\
& = (-1)^{(m+n)/2-1} ((z_1^{m-i} z_2^i \pm z_1^i z_2^{m-i}) \otimes (z_1^{n-j} z_2^j \pm (-1) z_1^j z_2^{n-j})).
\end{aligned}$$

These formulae lead us to the assertion of the lemma.

LEMMA 8.2. *Suppose γ is in \hat{K} and C as above. Then we have the following relations,*

- (1) $\pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C)) \pi_\gamma(m_1) \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1} = \pi_\gamma(m_1 m_2 m_3),$
- (2) $\pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C)) \pi_\gamma(m_2) \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1} = \pi_\gamma(m_3),$
- (3) $\pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C)) \pi_\gamma(m_3) \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1} = \pi_\gamma(m_2).$

PROOF. We shall prove (1). From (8.2) we have

$$\pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C)) \pi_\gamma(m_1) \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1} = \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C) \iota(\exp \pi X_3, \exp -\pi X_3) \iota(C, C)^{-1}).$$

By (7.7) and the relation

$$\iota(\exp -tX_1, \exp -tX_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & & -\sin t \\ & 1 & \\ \sin t & & \cos t \end{bmatrix}, \quad (t \in \mathbf{R})$$

the last expression equals

$$= \pi_\gamma(m_1 m_2 m_3).$$

Next we shall prove (2) and (3). From (8.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))\pi_\gamma(m_3)\pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))^{-1} \\ &= \pi_\gamma\left(\iota(C, C)\iota\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)\iota(C, C)^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)$ is in the kernel of ι , the last expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_\gamma\left(\iota(C, C)\iota\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} & \\ & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} & \\ & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)\iota(C, C)^{-1}\right) \\ &= \pi_\gamma\left(\iota(C, C)\iota\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1}, \left(\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1}\right)\iota(C, C)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (8.3)

$$= \pi_\gamma(m_2).$$

This proves (2). We have (3) from (2).

For simplicity, we denote $V_{(*, *, *)}^\gamma$ by $(*, *, *)$.

COROLLARY 8.3. *Suppose γ is in \hat{K} and C as above. Then we have the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{l} (+, +, +) \xrightarrow{\pi_\gamma(\iota(C, C))} (+, +, +) \\ (+, -, +) \longrightarrow (-, +, -) \\ (-, +, -) \longrightarrow (+, -, +) \\ (-, -, -) \longrightarrow (-, -, -) \\ (+, +, -) \longrightarrow (-, -, +) \\ (+, -, -) \longrightarrow (+, -, -) \\ (-, +, +) \longrightarrow (-, +, +) \\ (-, -, +) \longrightarrow (+, +, -) \end{array}$$

LEMMA 8.4. *Let γ be in \hat{K} and w_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) be as in §7, then $\pi_\gamma(w_i)$ satisfy the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{l} (+, +, +) \xrightarrow{\pi_\gamma(w_1)} (+, +, +) \\ (+, -, +) \longrightarrow (+, -, +) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(-, +, -) &\longrightarrow (-, -, -) \\
(-, -, -) &\longrightarrow (-, +, -) \\
(+, +, -) &\longrightarrow (+, +, -) \\
(+, -, -) &\longrightarrow (+, -, -) \\
(-, +, +) &\longrightarrow (-, -, +) \\
(-, -, +) &\longrightarrow (-, +, +)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(+, +, +) &\xrightarrow{\pi_\gamma(w_2)} (+, +, +) & (+, +, +) &\xrightarrow{\pi_\gamma(w_3)} (+, +, +) \\
(+, -, +) &\longrightarrow (-, -, -) & (+, -, +) &\longrightarrow (+, -, +) \\
(-, +, -) &\longrightarrow (-, +, -) & (-, +, -) &\longrightarrow (-, -, -) \\
(-, -, -) &\longrightarrow (+, -, +) & (-, -, -) &\longrightarrow (-, +, -) \\
(+, +, -) &\longrightarrow (+, +, -) & (+, +, -) &\longrightarrow (+, -, -) \\
(+, -, -) &\longrightarrow (-, -, +) & (+, -, -) &\longrightarrow (+, +, -) \\
(-, +, +) &\longrightarrow (-, +, +) & (-, +, +) &\longrightarrow (-, +, +) \\
(-, -, +) &\longrightarrow (+, -, -) & (-, -, +) &\longrightarrow (-, -, +)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the proof is simple, it is left to the reader.

§9. The determinant of the C -function

In this section we shall give an explicit formula of the determinant of $B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P}: P: v)$. We define the functions $\alpha^\sigma(v, (m, n))$ and $\beta^\sigma(v, (m, n))$ in v ($v \in \mathfrak{a}_C^*$) as follows:

if $(m - n)/2$ and n are even,

$$\alpha^{+,+,+}(v, (m, n)) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \alpha_{i,j}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n)) \prod_{\substack{(k,l) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq k < \lfloor m/2 \rfloor \\ 0 \leq l < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \beta_{k,l}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n)),$$

$$\alpha^{+,-,+}(v, (m, n)) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq i < \lfloor m/2 \rfloor \\ 0 \leq j < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \alpha_{i,j}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n)) \prod_{\substack{(k,l) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq k < \lfloor m/2 \rfloor \\ 0 \leq l < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \beta_{k,l}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n)),$$

$$\alpha^{-,+,-}(v, (m, n)) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \alpha_{i,j}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n)) \prod_{\substack{(k,l) \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq k < \lfloor m/2 \rfloor \\ 0 \leq l < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \beta_{k,l}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{+,+,-}(v, (m, n)) &= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq i \leq [m/2] \\ 0 \leq j \leq [n/2]}} \beta_{i,j}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)) \prod_{\substack{(k,l) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq k < [m/2] \\ 0 \leq l < [n/2]}} \alpha_{k,l}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)), \\ \beta^{+,-,-}(v, (m, n)) &= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq i \leq [m/2] \\ 0 \leq j \leq [n/2]}} \beta_{i,j}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)) \prod_{\substack{(k,l) \equiv 0 \\ 0 \leq k < [m/2] \\ 0 \leq l < [n/2]}} \alpha_{k,l}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)), \\ \beta^{-,+,+}(v, (m, n)) &= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq [m/2] \\ 0 \leq j \leq [n/2]}} \beta_{i,j}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)) \prod_{\substack{(k,l) \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq k < [m/2] \\ 0 \leq l < [n/2]}} \alpha_{k,l}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)), \\ \beta^{-,-,+}(v, (m, n)) &= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq [m/2] \\ 0 \leq j \leq [n/2]}} \beta_{i,j}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)) \prod_{\substack{(k,l) \equiv 1 \\ 0 \leq k < [m/2] \\ 0 \leq l < [n/2]}} \alpha_{k,l}(v_3 - v_4, (m, n)), \end{aligned}$$

the others are equal to 1.

LEMMA 9.1. Suppose γ in \hat{K} and σ in \hat{M} satisfy $V_\sigma^\gamma \neq \{0\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B_\gamma^\sigma(w_1, v)) &= \alpha^\sigma(v, (m, n)), \\ \det(B_\gamma^\sigma(w_3, v)) &= \beta^\sigma(v, (m, n)). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We shall prove only in the case that σ is $(+, +, +)$ and $(n - m)/2, n$ are even. The proof of the other cases is similar to the above one and left to the reader. Let u_i, v_j ($1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$) be as in Lemma 7.3. From Lemma 8.1, $\{u_i \otimes v_j + u_{m-i} \otimes v_{n-j}, u_i \otimes v_{n-j} + u_{m-i} \otimes v_j\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ is the basis of V_γ^σ . Furthermore, by Lemma 7.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_\gamma(w_1, v)(u_i \otimes v_j + u_{m-i} \otimes v_{n-j}) &= \alpha_{i,j}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n))(u_i \otimes v_j + u_{m-i} \otimes v_{n-j}), \\ B_\gamma(w_1, v)(u_i \otimes v_{n-j} + u_{m-i} \otimes v_j) &= \beta_{i,j}(v_1 - v_2, (m, n))(u_i \otimes v_{n-j} + u_{m-i} \otimes v_j), \quad (1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain (1). Similarly, we can prove (2).

THEOREM 9.2. Let γ be in \hat{K} and σ in \hat{M} such that $V_\sigma^\gamma \neq \{0\}$. Then we have the following relations.

(1) If $\sigma = (+, +, +)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P}: P: v)) &= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{+,+,+}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+,+,+}(-I(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ &\quad \cdot \alpha^{+,+,+}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+,+,+}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ &\quad \cdot \beta^{+,+,+}(-I(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{+,+,+}(v, (m, n)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $\sigma = (+, +, -)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(B_y^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) \\ &= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{-, -, +}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+, -, -}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \alpha^{+, -, -}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+, +, -}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \beta^{-, -, +}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{+, +, -}(v, (m, n)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $\sigma = (+, -, +)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(B_y^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) \\ &= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{+, -, +}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, -, -}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \alpha^{-, +, -}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, -, -}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \beta^{-, +, -}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{+, -, +}(v, (m, n)). \end{aligned}$$

(4) If $\sigma = (+, -, -)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(B_y^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) \\ &= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{-, +, +}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, +, +}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \alpha^{-, -, +}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, -, +}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \beta^{+, -, -}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{+, -, -}(v, (m, n)). \end{aligned}$$

(5) If $\sigma = (-, +, +)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(B_y^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) \\ &= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{+, +, -}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, -, +}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \alpha^{+, +, -}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+, -, -}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \beta^{+, +, -}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{-, +, +}(v, (m, n)). \end{aligned}$$

(6) If $\sigma = (-, +, -)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(B_y^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) \\ &= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{-, -, -}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, +, -}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \alpha^{+, -, +}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+, -, +}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\ & \quad \cdot \beta^{-, -, -}(-l(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{-, +, -}(v, (m, n)). \end{aligned}$$

(7) If $\sigma = (-, -, +)$,

$$\det(B_y^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{+, -, -}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+, +, -}(-i(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha^{-, +, +}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, +, +}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\
&\quad \cdot \beta^{-, +, +}(-i(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{-, -, +}(v, (m, n)).
\end{aligned}$$

(8) If $\sigma = (-, -, -)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) \\
&= \text{Const} \cdot \alpha^{-, +, -}(w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{+, -, +}(-i(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha^{-, -, -}(w_3 w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \beta^{-, +, -}(w_2 w_1 v, (m, n)) \\
&\quad \cdot \beta^{+, -, +}(-i(C, C) \cdot w_1 v, (m, n)) \alpha^{-, -, -}(v, (m, n)).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We shall prove (2). Let

$$\pi_\gamma^\sigma(w) = \pi_\gamma(w)|_{v_\gamma}, \quad (w \in M')$$

By (7.1) and Lemma 8.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v) &= B_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_1, v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_1) B_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_2, w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_2) \\
&\quad \cdot B_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_3, w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_3) B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_1, w_3 w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_1) \\
&\quad \cdot B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_2, w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_2) B_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_\gamma^{(-, +, +)}(w_1) \pi_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_0). \tag{9.1}
\end{aligned}$$

By (7.11) and Corollary 8.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_2, w_1 v) &= \pi_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(i(C, C)) B_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 v)) \pi_\gamma^{(+, +, -)} \\
&\quad \cdot (i(C, C)^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_2, w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v) &= \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(i(C, C)) B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v)) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(i(C, C)^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (9.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_1, v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_1) \pi_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(i(C, C)) \\
&\quad \cdot B_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 v)) \pi_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(i(C, C)^{-1}) \pi_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_2) \\
&\quad \cdot B_\gamma^{(+, +, -)}(w_3, w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_3) B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_1, w_3 w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_1) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(i(C, C)) B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v)) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(i(C, C)^{-1}) \pi_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_2) B_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \pi_\gamma^{(-,+,+)}(w_1) \pi_\gamma^{(+,+, -)}(w_0). \quad (9.2)$$

Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and σ in M' . We extend $B_\gamma^\sigma(w_i, \cdot)$ to an operator $B_\gamma^\sigma(w_i, \cdot)$ of V^γ by

$$B_\gamma^\sigma(w_i, \cdot) = \begin{cases} B_\gamma^\sigma(w_i, \cdot) & \text{on } V_\sigma^\gamma, \\ \text{identity} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9.3)$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v) &= \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(+,+, -)}(w_1, v) \pi_\gamma(w_1) \pi_\gamma(i(C, C)) \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 v)) \\ &\quad \cdot \pi_\gamma(i(C, C)^{-1}) \pi_\gamma(w_2) \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(+,+, -)}(w_3, w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma(w_3) \\ &\quad \cdot \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_1, w_3 w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma(w_1) \pi_\gamma(i(C, C)) \\ &\quad \cdot \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v)) \pi_\gamma(i(C, C)^{-1}) \pi_\gamma(w_2) \\ &\quad \cdot \tilde{B}_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v) \pi_\gamma(w_1) \pi_\gamma(w_0). \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

Then from (9.2) we have

$$\tilde{B}_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)|_{V_\gamma^\sigma} = B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v) \quad (9.5)$$

and

$$\det(\tilde{B}_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) = d_1 \cdot \det(B_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)), \quad (9.6)$$

where d_1 is a nonzero constant which is independent of v . On the other hand, from (9.3) and (9.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\tilde{B}_\gamma^\sigma(\bar{P} : P : v)) &= d_2 \cdot \det(B_\gamma^{(+,+, -)}(w_1, v)) \det(B_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 v)) \\ &\quad \cdot \det(B_\gamma^{(+,+, -)}(w_3, w_2 w_1 v)) \det(B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_1, w_3 w_2 w_1 v)) \\ &\quad \cdot \det(B_\gamma^{(+, -, -)}(w_3, -(i(C, C) \cdot w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v)) \\ &\quad \cdot \det(B_\gamma^{(-, -, +)}(w_1, w_2 w_1 w_3 w_2 w_1 v)), \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

where d_2 is a constant such that $|d_2| = 1$. By Lemma 9.1 and (9.6), (9.7), we can prove (2). Similarly, we can prove the others.

Appendix

A.1. Suppose that q is a positive integer and $\operatorname{Re} z > q/2$. Then $\int_0^\infty t^{q-1} (1+t^2)^{-z} dt$ converges absolutely and is equal to $\frac{1}{2} B(q/2, z - q/2)$ (see [11], p. 262).

A.2. Suppose that λ is an element in \mathcal{C} such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < -1$ and l is an integer. Then we have

$$(*) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x)^{\lambda+l/2} (1 - \sqrt{-1} x)^{\lambda-l/2} dx = \frac{2^{\lambda+2} \pi \Gamma(-\lambda-1)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda+l}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda-l}{2}\right)}.$$

PROOF. We shall first prove inductively that (*) holds for all nonnegative integers l . If $l = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x)^{\lambda/2} (1 - \sqrt{-1} x)^{\lambda/2} dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\infty} (1 + x^2)^{\lambda/2} dx = B\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{2^{\lambda+2} \pi \Gamma(-\lambda-1)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $l = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda+1)/2} (1 - \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda-1)/2} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + x^2)^{(\lambda-1)/2} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + x^2)^{(\lambda-1)/2} dx + \sqrt{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x (1 + x^2)^{(\lambda-1)/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since the second term is equal to 0, the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{2^{\lambda+2} \pi \Gamma(-\lambda-1)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let l be an integer such that $l \geq 2$ and put

$$I_l(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda+1)/2} (1 - \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda-1)/2} dx.$$

Then it is not difficult to see that the following recurrence formula holds

$$I_l(\lambda) = 2I_{l-1}(\lambda - 1) - I_{l-2}(\lambda), \quad (l \geq 2).$$

Suppose that (*) is true in $l - 1$, $l - 2$, then an easy computation gives that (*) is true for $l \geq 0$. By the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda-1)/2} (1 - \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda+1)/2} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda+1)/2} (1 - \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda-1)/2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

(*) is also true for $l < 0$.

A.3. Let s be a complex number such that $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ and i, n nonnegative integers such that $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + x^2)^{-(s+1)/2} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1} x}{(1 + x^2)^{1/2}} \right)^{n/2-i} dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-(n/2-i)}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+(n/2-i)}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By A.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + x^2)^{\lambda/2} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-1} x}{(1 + x^2)^{1/2}} \right)^l dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda+1)/2} (1 - \sqrt{-1} x)^{(\lambda-1)/2} dx = \frac{2^{\lambda+2} \pi \Gamma(-\lambda-1)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda+l}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{\lambda-l}{2}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

putting $\lambda = -s - 1$, $l = n/2 - i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{2^{-s+1} \pi \Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-l}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+l}{2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1-l}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1+l}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion of A.3.

References

- [1] L. Cohn, Analytic Theory of the Harish-Chandra C -function, Lecture Notes in Math., **429** (1974), Springer-Verlag.
- [2] ———, Some theorems on C -functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1974), 921–926.
- [3] Harish-Chandra, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups I. The theory of the constant term, J. Func. Anal., **19** (1975), 104–204.
- [4] ———, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups II. Wave packets in the Schwartz space, Invent. Math., **36** (1976), 1–55.
- [5] ———, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups III. The Maass-Selberg relations and the Plancherel formula, Ann. of Math., **104** (1976), 117–201.
- [6] A. W. Knap, Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups: An Overview Based on Examples, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1986.
- [7] A. W. Knap and E. M. Stein, Intertwining operators for semisimple groups, Ann. of Math., **93** (1971), 489–578.
- [8] ———, Intertwining operators for semisimple groups II, Invent. Math., **60** (1980), 9–84.
- [9] B. Speh and D. A. Vogan, Reducibility of generalized principal series representations, Acta. Math., **145** (1980), 227–299.
- [10] M. Sugiura, Unitary Representations and Harmonic Analysis, Kodansha, Tokyo, 1975.
- [11] N. R. Wallach, Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [12] ———, On Harish-Chandra's generalized C -functions, Amer. J. Math., **97** (1975), 385–403.
- [13] G. Warner, Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups, Vol I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [14] ———, Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups, Vol II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences,
Hiroshima University
and
Department of Mathematics,
Fukuoka University of Education*

