

On a fractal set with a gap between its Hausdorff dimension and box dimension

Satoshi IKEDA

(Received January 20, 1994)

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study a property of some fractal set K satisfying the condition

$$\text{H-dim}(K) < \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K),$$

by examining the density with respect to the Hausdorff measure.

We claim that, roughly speaking, for arbitrary small $\delta > 0$ we can find an essential subset of K on which the lower density of K is less than δ . Here, a subset K_{ess} of K is called an *essential subset*, if K_{ess} satisfies the conditions

$$\text{H-dim}(K_{ess}) < \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K_{ess}) = \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K)$$

and

$$\text{H-dim}(K) = \text{H-dim}(K \setminus K_{ess}) = \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K \setminus K_{ess}).$$

The key point of this paper is the fact that the cause of the gap between the Hausdorff dimension and the lower box dimension arises in a “neighborhood” of the subset of K on which the lower density of K equals to 0.

2. Results and proofs

On the Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^N, d) , the upper and the lower box dimension $\overline{\text{M-dim}}$, $\underline{\text{M-dim}}$ and the Hausdorff dimension H-dim are defined as follows; for any bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\overline{\text{M-dim}}(E) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log(N_\varepsilon(E))}{\log 1/\varepsilon}, \quad \underline{\text{M-dim}}(E) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log(N_\varepsilon(E))}{\log 1/\varepsilon},$$

$$\text{H-dim}(E) = \inf \{ \alpha; \text{H}^\alpha(E) = 0 \} = \sup \{ \alpha; \text{H}^\alpha(E) = \infty \},$$

where

$$N_\varepsilon(E) = \inf \# \{ U_i; E \subseteq \bigcup_i U_i, |U_i| \leq \varepsilon \},$$

$$H^\alpha(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left\{ \inf \left\{ \sum_i |U_i|^\alpha; E \subseteq \bigcup_i U_i, |U_i| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right\}.$$

and $|U| = \sup_{x,y \in U} d(x, y)$. We know that $H\text{-dim}(E) \leq \underline{M}\text{-dim}(E) \leq \overline{M}\text{-dim}(E)$ in general.

Now we introduce the upper and the lower spherical densities of K at x as

$$\bar{D}^\alpha(K, x) = \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{H^\alpha(B(x, r) \cap K)}{|B(x, r)|^\alpha}, \quad \underline{D}^\alpha(K, x) = \liminf_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{H^\alpha(B(x, r) \cap K)}{|B(x, r)|^\alpha}$$

where $B(x, r)$ denotes the closed ball with radius r and center at x . It is a well-known fact that if $0 < H^\alpha(K) < \infty$ then

$$\bar{D}^\alpha(K, x) \leq 1 \quad H^\alpha\text{-a.e. } x \in K \tag{2.1}$$

(see Corollary 2.5 in [4]). The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let K be a bounded Borel-measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^N and put*

$$K^0 = \{x \in K; \underline{D}^\alpha(K, x) = 0\}. \tag{2.2}$$

If

$$H\text{-dim}(K) < \underline{M}\text{-dim}(K) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$H^\alpha(K^0) < H^\alpha(K) < \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a subset K_ε of K such that

$$K^0 \subseteq K_\varepsilon \subseteq K, \quad H^\alpha(K_\varepsilon \setminus K^0) \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$\overline{M}\text{-dim}(K_\varepsilon) = \overline{M}\text{-dim}(K), \quad \underline{M}\text{-dim}(K_\varepsilon) = \underline{M}\text{-dim}(K)$$

and

$$\alpha = H\text{-dim}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon) = \underline{M}\text{-dim}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon) = \overline{M}\text{-dim}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon).$$

COROLLARY. *Let K be a bounded Borel-measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^N . If K satisfies the condition (2.3), then either $H^\alpha(K) = \infty$ holds or for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists K_ε such that*

$$K^0 \subseteq K_\varepsilon \subseteq K, \quad H^\alpha(K_\varepsilon \setminus K^0) \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\overline{M}\text{-dim}(K_\varepsilon) = \overline{M}\text{-dim}(K), \quad \underline{M}\text{-dim}(K_\varepsilon) = \underline{M}\text{-dim}(K),$$

and

$$\alpha \geq H\text{-dim}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon) = \underline{M}\text{-dim}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon) = \overline{M}\text{-dim}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon).$$

From now on, we assume that K is a bounded Borel-measurable set satisfying $H^\alpha(K) < \infty$. For the proof of THEOREM 2.1, we will introduce several notations and show lemmas.

Put

$$\mathcal{R}^{(n)} = \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^N [i_k 2^{-n}, (i_k + 1)2^{-n}], i_k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}^{(n)},$$

and for each $R = \prod_{k=1}^N [i_k 2^{-n}, (i_k + 1)2^{-n}] \in \mathcal{R}^{(n)}$, we put

$$\mathcal{S}_R = \prod_{k=1}^N [(i_k - 1)2^{-n}, (i_k + 2)2^{-n}].$$

For $x \in K$, put

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}}\text{-}\bar{D}^\alpha(K, x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow 0, x \in R \in \mathcal{R}^{(n)}} \frac{H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_R \cap K)}{|\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}}\text{-}D^\alpha(K, x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow 0, x \in R \in \mathcal{R}^{(n)}} \frac{H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_R \cap K)}{|\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *We have the following inequalities*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}}\text{-}\bar{D}^\alpha(K, x) \leq 2^\alpha \bar{D}^\alpha(K, x) \quad \text{for any } x \in K, \tag{2.5}$$

$$D^\alpha(K, x) \leq (3N^{\frac{1}{2}})^\alpha \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}}\text{-}D^\alpha(K, x) \quad \text{for any } x \in K. \tag{2.6}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2. If $2^{-(n+1)} \leq r < 2^{-n}$, $x \in R \in \mathcal{R}^{(n)}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H^\alpha(B(x, r) \cap K)}{|B(x, r)|^\alpha} &\leq \frac{H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_R \cap K)}{|B(x, r)|^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{|\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha}{|2r|^\alpha} \frac{H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_R \cap K)}{|\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha} \\ &\leq (3N^{\frac{1}{2}})^\alpha \frac{H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_R \cap K)}{|\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have (2.6). We can show (2.5) similarly. \square

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, put

$$M_\delta^{(m)} = \left\{ x \in K; \delta \leq \frac{H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_R \cap K)}{|\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha} \leq 2^{\alpha+1}, x \in R \in \mathcal{R}^{(n)} \text{ for any } n \geq m \right\}.$$

The following lemma is easily seen (c.f. Theorem 1.5, Lemma 2.1 etc. in [4]).

LEMMA 2.3. *The functions $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}}\text{-}\bar{D}^\alpha(K, x)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{S}}\text{-}D^\alpha(K, x)$ on K are Borel measurable, and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, $M_\delta^{(m)}$ is a Borel measurable set.*

LEMMA 2.4. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, we have the following evaluations*

$$\overline{M\text{-dim}}(M_\delta^{(m)}) \leq \alpha, \quad \overline{M\text{-dim}}(K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}) = \overline{M\text{-dim}}(K),$$

$$\underline{M\text{-dim}}(K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}) = \underline{M\text{-dim}}(K).$$

Especially, if $H^\alpha(M_\delta^{(m)}) > 0$ then

$$\overline{M\text{-dim}}(M_\delta^{(m)}) = \underline{M\text{-dim}}(M_\delta^{(m)}) = H\text{-dim}(M_\delta^{(m)}) = \alpha.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.4. Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be a minimal ε -covering of $M_\delta^{(m)}$, that is $\#\{U_i\}_i = N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)})$. Let $\{R_i\}_i$ be a minimal covering of $M_\delta^{(m)}$ by $\mathcal{R}^{(l)}$ (where $l = l(\varepsilon) = \lceil -\log_2(\varepsilon N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \rceil + 1$ and $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the integer part of x). Let L be a natural number such that $L \geq (4N^{\frac{1}{2}})^N \pi^{\frac{1}{2}N} / \Gamma(N/2 + 1)$. Then for any j there exist $\{R_{j,k}\}_{j,k} \subseteq \{R_i\}$ such that

$$U_j \cap M_\delta^{(m)} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{P_j} R_{j,k}, \quad P_j \leq L.$$

Since $|R_i| < \varepsilon$, we see that

$$N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)}) = \#\{U_i\}_i \leq \#\{R_i\}_i \leq L \cdot \#\{U_i\}_i = L \cdot N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)}). \tag{2.7}$$

By the definition of $M_\delta^{(m)}$, we have that for any $R \in \mathcal{R}^{(n)}$, $R \cap M_\delta^{(m)} \neq \emptyset$, $n \geq m$

$$\delta |\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha \leq H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_R \cap K) \leq 2^{\alpha+1} |\mathcal{S}_R|^\alpha. \tag{2.8}$$

Let ε be an arbitrary positive number satisfying $l(\varepsilon) \geq m$. Taking the multiplicity of \mathcal{S}_{R_i} 's and the measurability of $\mathcal{S}_{R_j} \cap K$ into consideration, together with (2.7), (2.8), we see the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)}) \leq \#\{R_i\}_i = \#\{\mathcal{S}_{R_i}\}_i &\leq \sup_j \frac{H^\alpha(K)}{H^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_{R_j} \cap K)} \cdot 3^N \\ &< 3^{N-\alpha} \delta^{-1} N^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha} H^\alpha(K) 2^{\alpha l}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see

$$\frac{\log(N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)}))}{\log 1/\varepsilon} < \frac{\log(3^{N-\alpha} \delta^{-1} N^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha} H^\alpha(K)) + \alpha l \log 2}{-\frac{1}{2} \log N + l \log 2} \longrightarrow \alpha \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

This implies

$$\overline{\text{M-dim}} (M_\delta^{(m)}) \leq \alpha \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Furthermore if $H^\alpha(M_\delta^{(m)}) > 0$, then

$$\alpha = \text{H-dim} (M_\delta^{(m)}) \leq \underline{\text{M-dim}} (M_\delta^{(m)}) \leq \overline{\text{M-dim}} (M_\delta^{(m)}). \tag{2.10}$$

Together with (2.4), (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\underline{\text{M-dim}} (M_\delta^{(m)}) = \overline{\text{M-dim}} (M_\delta^{(m)}) = \text{H-dim} (K) = \alpha \quad \text{if } H^\alpha(M_\delta^{(m)}) > 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Lastly, we will prove that

$$\overline{\text{M-dim}} (K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}) = \overline{\text{M-dim}} (K), \quad \underline{\text{M-dim}} (K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}) = \underline{\text{M-dim}} (K). \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.9) and the condition (2.3), we see

$$0 \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log (N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)}))}{\log (N_\varepsilon(K))} < 1 \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0.$$

In addition, since $N_\varepsilon(K) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)})}{N_\varepsilon(K)} = \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)})}{N_\varepsilon(K)} = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, since $M_\delta^{(m)} \subseteq K$, we have the following inequalities

$$N_\varepsilon(K) - N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)}) \leq N_\varepsilon(K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}) \leq N_\varepsilon(K).$$

Therefore we see

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log \left(N_\varepsilon(K) \left(1 - \frac{N_\varepsilon(M_\delta^{(m)})}{N_\varepsilon(K)} \right) \right)}{\log 1/\varepsilon} &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log (N_\varepsilon(K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}))}{\log 1/\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log (N_\varepsilon(K))}{\log 1/\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (2.13), we see

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log (N_\varepsilon(K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}))}{\log 1/\varepsilon} = \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\log (N_\varepsilon(K))}{\log 1/\varepsilon}.$$

This implies

$$\underline{\text{M-dim}} (K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}) = \underline{\text{M-dim}} (K).$$

Similarly, we see

$$\overline{\text{M-dim}}(K \setminus M_\delta^{(m)}) = \overline{\text{M-dim}}(K) \quad \square$$

Now we will prove THEOREM 2.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Let ε be any positive number such that

$$\text{H}^\alpha(K) - \text{H}^\alpha(K^0) > 2\varepsilon. \quad (2.14)$$

Put

$$N_\delta = \{x \in K; \delta < \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}\text{-D}^\alpha(K, x) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}}\text{-}\bar{\text{D}}^\alpha(K, x) < 2^{\alpha+1}\}.$$

By the inequalities (2.1), (2.5) and LEMMA 2.3, we see that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{H}^\alpha(K \setminus (N_\delta \cup K^0)) < \varepsilon/2. \quad (2.15)$$

Furthermore we see that $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty M_\delta^{(k)} \supseteq N_\delta$. Since $M_\delta^{(m)}$ is increasing in monotone as $m \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{H}^\alpha(N_\delta \setminus M_\delta^{(m_0)}) < \varepsilon/2. \quad (2.16)$$

Put $K_\varepsilon = K \setminus M_\delta^{(m_0)}$. Then by (2.15), (2.16), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \text{H}^\alpha(K_\varepsilon \setminus K^0) &= \text{H}^\alpha((K_\varepsilon \cap N_\delta) \setminus K^0) + \text{H}^\alpha((K_\varepsilon \setminus N_\delta) \setminus K^0) \\ &= \text{H}^\alpha((K \cap N_\delta) \setminus (K^0 \cup M_\delta^{(m_0)})) + \text{H}^\alpha((K \setminus (M_\delta^{(m_0)} \cup N_\delta \cup K^0)) \\ &\leq \text{H}^\alpha(N_\delta \setminus M_\delta^{(m_0)}) + \text{H}^\alpha(K \setminus (N_\delta \cup K^0)) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), $\text{H}^\alpha(M_\delta^{(m_0)}) > 0$ holds. Hence by Lemma 2.4, we see

$$\overline{\text{M-dim}}(K_\varepsilon) = \overline{\text{M-dim}}(K), \quad \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K_\varepsilon) = \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K)$$

and

$$\overline{\text{M-dim}}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon) = \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K \setminus K_\varepsilon) = \text{H-dim}(K) = \alpha. \quad \square$$

From the proof of THEOREM 2.1, we can see that K_ε is an essential subset of K in §1. For the case $\text{H}^\alpha(K) = 0$ or $\text{H}^\alpha(K) = \text{H}^\alpha(K^0)$, $K_\varepsilon = K$ satisfies the assertion in the corollary. Therefore COROLLARY is obvious by THEOREM 2.1.

Here we observe a simple example. Put $K = \mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]} \cup C$ and $\alpha = \log 2 / \log 3$ where $\mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]} = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ and C is the Cantor set. Then

$$\text{H-dim}(K) = \alpha < 1 = \underline{\text{M-dim}}(K),$$

$$0 < \text{H}^\alpha(K) = 1 < \infty$$

and

$$\underline{D}^\alpha(K, x) \geq 6^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } x \in C$$

hold. The last inequality means $N_{1/3} = C$, $K^0 = \mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]} \setminus C$ and $H^\alpha(K^0) = 0$. In this case, $K_\varepsilon = \mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}$ satisfies the conditions in THEOREM 2.1. Actually,

$$H^\alpha(\mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}) = 0, \quad \underline{\text{M-dim}}(\mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}) = \overline{\text{M-dim}}(\mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}) = 1$$

and

$$\alpha = \text{H-dim}(C \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}) = \underline{\text{M-dim}}(C \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}) = \overline{\text{M-dim}}(C \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]})$$

hold as well known.

For more complicated cases, we can not expect that THEOREM 2.1 is valid for $\varepsilon = 0$, because the box dimension is not stable. Complicated examples will be discussed separately.

Acknowledgements

I am much indebted to Professor I. Kubo and Dr. M. Nakamura for their invaluable advices and hearty encouragement.

References

- [1] Billingsley, P., Ergo dic theory and information. John Willy and Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney (1965).
- [2] Billingsley, P., The singular function of bold play. Am. Sci., **71** (1983), 392–397.
- [3] Eggleston, H. G., The fractal dimension of a set defined by decimal properties, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser., **20** (1949), 31–36.
- [4] Falconer, K. J., The Geometry of Fractal Sets, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1985).
- [5] Ikeda, S., On the Billingsley Dimension on \mathbb{R}^N , Hiroshima Math. J. (to appear)
- [6] Mandelbrot, B. B., Fractals: Form, Chance, and Dimension. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman & Co. (1977).
- [7] Mandelbrot, B.B., The Fractal Geometry of Nature. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman & Co. (1983).
- [8] McMullen, C., The Hausdorff dimension of general Sierpiński carpets, Nagoya Math. J. **96** (1984), 1–9.
- [9] Young, L. S., Dimension, entropy and Lyapunov exponents. Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **2** (1982), 109–124.

*Information Engineering
Graduate School of Engineering
Hiroshima University*

