ON THE SOLUTION OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$

By Mitsuru Ozawa

1. In this note we shall prove the following three theorems:

THEOREM 1. The functional equation $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$ has no pair of two transcendental entire solutions f and g, if F(z) is an entire function of finite order and it has a finite Picard exceptional value.

Theorem 2. The functional equation $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$ has no pair of two transcendental entire solutions f and g, if F(z) is an entire function of finite order and it admits two perfectly branched values. Here a perfectly branched value w of F means that F(z)-w has a finite number of simple zeros and has an infinite number of multiple zeros.

Theorem 3. The functional equation $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$ has no pair of two transcendental entire solutions f and g, if F(z) is an entire function of finite order and there are p disjoint continuous curves Γ_j which extend to infinity and on which all the zeros of F lie and along which F is bounded.

- **2. Proof of theorem 1.** By Pólya's result [3] and by our assumption on the order of F(z) and by the transcendency of f(z) and g(z), f(z) is of order zero and g(z) is of finite order. Let A be a finite Picard exceptional value of F(z). Since f(z) is of order zero and transcendential, f(z)=A has an infinite number of solutions $\{z_j\}$. Hence there is an infinite number of roots of the equation $g(z)=z_j$ excepting at most one z_j . These solutions are the solutions of the equation F(z)=A. This is impossible.
- **3.** Valiron's theorem. In order to prove theorem 2 we need the following theorem, which had been prove by Valiron [5, p. 76]. For completeness we shall give a detailed proof of it, which is essentially the same as Valiron's.

Valiron's theorem. If the order of f(z) is finite and not a positive integral multiple of 1/2, there can only be one perfectly branched value.

Proof. Assume that there are two such values, which we may suppose to be 1 and -1. Consider

$$\theta(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)Q_2(z)f'(z)^2}{f(z)^2 - 1},$$

Received September 25, 1967.

where Q_1 and Q_2 are polynomials formed by the simple zeros of f(z)-1 and f(z)+1, respectively. The $\theta(z)$ does not have any pole in $|z| < \infty$. Now consider the proximity function $m(r, \theta)$ of θ . Then

$$\begin{split} m(r,\theta) &\leq O(\log r) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-1}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f+1}\right) \\ &= O(\log r) + O(\log rm(r,f)) + O(\log rm(r,f)) \\ &= O(\log r), \end{split}$$

since f(z) is of finite order. Hence $\theta(z)$ must be a polynomial. This implies that

$$\frac{f'(z)^{2}}{f(z)^{2}-1} = \frac{\theta(z)}{Q_{1}(z)Q_{2}(z)}$$

$$= A_{p}z^{p} + \dots + A_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{B_{j}}{z - w_{j}},$$

since Q_1Q_2 has only simple zeros $\{w_j\}$.

If $A_p \neq 0$, by making the indefinite integral around $z = \infty$ and taking the inverse function we have

$$f(z) = \cos\left(\sqrt{A_p}z^{(p+2)/2}\Phi_1(z)\right),$$

where $\Phi_1(z)$ is regular at $z=\infty$.

If $A_p = \cdots = A_0 = 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n B_j \neq 0$, then

$$f(z) = \cos\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_j} z^{1/2} \Phi_2(z)\right),$$

where $\Phi_2(z)$ is regular at $z=\infty$.

If $A_p = \cdots = A_0 = 0 = \sum_{j=1}^n B_j$, then

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \cos\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}w_{j}} \log z + \Phi_{3}(z)\right), & \text{when } \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}w_{j} \neq 0, \\ \cos\left(\Phi_{3}((z)), & \text{when } \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}w_{j} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\Phi_3(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Hence in these cases for a suitable k

$$\frac{f(z)}{z^k} \to 0$$

as $z \to \infty$. Therefore f(z) does reduce to a polynomial, which may be omitted in our present case. Thus the order of f(z) must be an integral (positive) multiple of 1/2.

4. Proof of theorem 2. Let w_1 and w_2 be two perfectly branched values of F. Consider the equations $f(z)=w_j$, j=1,2. If $f(z)=w_1$ has an infinite number of simple zeros $\{z_{1,n}\}$, then $g(z)=z_{1,n}$ must have only a finite number of simple zeros. Hence g(z) has an infinite number of perfectly branched values $\{z_{1,n}\}$, which contradicts the well-known Nevanlinna's ramification relation [2]. Hence w_1 must be a perfectly branched value of f(z). The same holds for w_2 . Then by Valiron's theo-

rem the order of f(z) must be a positive integral multiple of 1/2, which contradicts that the order of f(z) is equal to zero.

An application. The functional equation $f \circ g(z) = \sin z$ has no transcendental entire solutions f and g, since $\sin z$ has two perfectly branched values 1 and -1.

5. **Proof of theorem 3.** f(z) has zero order by Pólya's theorem. Hence there is an infinite number of zeros of f(z). Let $\{w_j\}$ be the set of zeros of f(z) and $\{z_{jn}\}$ be the set of the solutions of $g(z)=w_j$. By the assumption the set $\{z_{jn}\}$ lies on $\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} f(\Gamma_j)$. Evidently w_j tends to infinity when j tends to ∞ . Hence at least one $g(\Gamma_j)$ is not bounded. By the assumption f(z) must be bounded on this $g(\Gamma_j)$, which implies that the order of f is not less than 1/2, since for an entire function of order less than 1/2 there is a sequence of values of f tending to infinity through which

$$\min_{|z|=r} |f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$$
.

This is a contradiction.

An Application. The functional equations $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$ for $F(z) = (e^z - \gamma)(e^z - \delta)$, $(\sin \sqrt{z})/\sqrt{z}$, $(\sin z)^n$, etc. have no transcendential entire solutions.

6. A variant. Now we shall give a variant of theorem 1.

THEOREM 4. The functional equation $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$ has no pair of two transcendental entire solutions f and g, if F(z) is an entire function of finite order and F'(z) admits 0 as a Picard exceptional value.

Proof. Evidently f(z) and hence f'(z) are of order zero. Consider the functional equation

$$f' \circ g'(z) \cdot g'(z) = F'(z)$$
.

Then f'(w)=0 has an infinite number of solutions $\{w_j\}$ and $g(z)=w_j$ has an infinite number of solutions $\{z_{j,k}\}$ for each j with at most one exception. Evidently $F'(z_{j,k})=0$, which is a contradiction.

An application. The functional equation $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$ for

$$F(z) = \int_0^z e^{-t^p} dt$$
, p : a positive integer,

has no transcendental entire solutions.

7. **Remarks.** Our theorems cannot use for $f \circ g(z) = 1/\Gamma(z)$.

Baker [1] has given an interesting result for the functional equation $f \circ f(z) = F(z)$. For this equation there are lots of bibliography [1], [4].

It should be remarked that our results do lose their effectivity when F is of order less than 1/2. So far as the present author concerns, there seems to be no result for an entire function F of order less than 1/2, which is not a polynomial, up to the present time.

References

- [1] Baker, I. N., The iteration of entire transcendental functions and the solution of the functional equation $f\{f(z)\}=F(z)$. Math. Ann. 129 (1955), 174-180.
- [2] NEVANLINNA, R., Eindeutige analytische Funktionen. Berlin (1936).
- [3] Pólya, G., On an integral function of an integral function. Journ. London Math. Soc. 1 (1926), 12–15.
- [4] Thron, W. J., Entire solutions of the functional equation f(f(z))=g(z). Canadian Journ. Math. 8 (1956), 47-48.
- [5] Valiron, G., Lectures on the general theory of integral functions. Toulouse (1923).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.