

SOME EXPANSION THEOREMS FOR STOCHASTIC PROCESSES, II

BY HIROHISA HATORI

1. Let $F(\lambda)$ be the spectral function of a continuous (weakly) stationary process $\mathcal{E}(t)$ with mean zero, and consider $X(t)=f(t)+\mathcal{E}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, where $f(t)$ is a numerical valued function. Assume that

(i)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{2r+2\alpha} dF(\lambda) < \infty,$$

(ii) $H(u)$ is of bounded variation in $(-\infty, \infty)$,

(iii)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^{r+\alpha} |dH(u)| < \infty,$$

(iv) $|f(u)| \leq C(1+|u|^{r+\alpha})$ for all u and a positive constant C , and

(v)
$$f(t+u) = \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{f^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + o(|u|^{r+\alpha}),$$

where r is a non-negative integer and α is a constant with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. From the conditions (i)–(v) it follows that

(1.1)
$$E \left\{ \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) dH(s) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{(-1)^k X^{(k)}(t)}{k! n^k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^k dH(s) \right|^2 \right\} = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{2r+2\alpha}}\right).$$

This is an expansion theorem for the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) dH(s),$$

which has been treated by Kawata [3] for $r=0$ and $\alpha=1/2$ with somewhat different conditions, and extended by the author [1] for $r=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ with the above conditions (i)–(v). In this paper, we shall show (1.1) for $X(t)=f(t)+\phi(t)\mathcal{E}(t)$, where $\phi(u)$ is a numerical valued function. If $\phi(s) > 0$, $-\infty < s < \infty$, then, for this process $X(t)$, the correlation coefficient of $X(t)$ and $X(s)$ is a function of $t-s$ only. In section 2, Taylor expansion of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is discussed and, in section 3, the expansion theorem for

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) dH(s)$$

is given, where $X(t)=f(t)+\phi(t)\mathcal{E}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$.

Received March 15, 1963.

2. Let $X(t)$ be a stochastic process with $E\{|X(t)|^2\} < \infty$, $-\infty < t < \infty$. If

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{\tau \rightarrow t} X(\tau) = X(t) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad E\{|X(\tau) - X(t)|^2\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow t,$$

then $X(t)$ is called to be continuous at t . Let $K(t)$ be of bounded variation in any finite interval and consider a division of an interval (A, B) :

$$\Delta: A = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = B.$$

If

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{\Delta} \sum_{k=1}^n X(\tau_k) [K(t_k) - K(t_{k-1})] = S \quad \left(\max_{k=1,2,\dots,n} (t_k - t_{k-1}) \rightarrow 0 \right),$$

where $t_{k-1} \leq \tau_k \leq t_k$, ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$), then S is denoted as

$$\int_A^B X(t) dK(t).$$

We can easily prove the following

LEMMA 1. *Being continuous in an interval $[A, B]$, $X(t)$ is uniformly continuous in $[A, B]$. Moreover,*

$$\int_A^B X(t) dK(t)$$

exists in the above sense.

LEMMA 2. *If $X^{(k)}(t)$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, r$) exist in the sense that*

$$X^{(k)}(t) = \text{l.i.m.}_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X^{(k-1)}(t+h) - X^{(k-1)}(t)}{h} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, r),$$

where $X^{(0)}(t) \equiv X(t)$, and $X^{(k)}(t)$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, r$) are continuous in $[a, b]$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X(b) = & X(a) + \frac{X'(a)}{1!} (b-a) + \dots + \frac{X^{(r-1)}(a)}{(r-1)!} (b-a)^{r-1} \\ & + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^b (b-t)^{r-1} X^{(r)}(t) dt \quad (\text{a.s.}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The existence of the integral in (2.1) is ensured by Lemma 1. Y being any random variable with $E\{|Y|^2\} < \infty$, the numerical valued function $\varphi(t) \equiv E\{X(t) \cdot \bar{Y}\}$ is differentiable r times and $\varphi^{(r)}(t) = E\{X^{(r)}(t) \cdot \bar{Y}\}$ is continuous in $[a, b]$. So we have

$$\varphi(b) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (b-a)^k + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^b (b-t)^{r-1} \varphi^{(r)}(t) dt$$

or

$$E\left\{ \left[X(b) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{X^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (b-a)^k - \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^b (b-t)^{r-1} X^{(r)}(t) dt \right] \cdot \bar{Y} \right\} = 0.$$

Choosing

$$X(b) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{X^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (b-a)^k - \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^b (b-t)^{r-1} X^{(r)}(t) dt$$

as Y , we have

$$E \left\{ \left| X(b) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{X^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (b-a)^k - \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^b (b-t)^{r-1} X^{(r)}(t) dt \right|^2 \right\} = 0,$$

which implies (2. 1).

In the following, let $\mathcal{E}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, be a continuous (weakly) stationary stochastic process with $E\{\mathcal{E}(t)\} = 0$ for all t . We note that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is continuous in the sense stated at the beginning of this section, if and only if the covariance function $\rho(u)$ of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is continuous.

THEOREM 1. *Let $F(\lambda)$ be the spectral function of $\mathcal{E}(t)$. If*

$$(2. 2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{2r+2\alpha} dF(\lambda) < \infty,$$

where r is a non-negative integer and α is a constant with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$(2. 3) \quad E \left\{ \left| \mathcal{E}(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k \right|^2 \right\} = o(|u|^{2r+2\alpha}) \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It is well known that, under the assumption (2. 2), $\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, r$) exist and are continuous (weakly) stationary processes whose covariance functions are

$$\rho_k(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2k} e^{it\lambda} dF(\lambda)$$

respectively. Hence, by Lemma 2, we have with probability 1 that

$$(2. 4) \quad \begin{aligned} R &\equiv \mathcal{E}(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k \\ &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_t^{t+u} (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(\tau) d\tau - \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(t)}{r!} u^r \\ &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_t^{t+u} (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} [\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(\tau) - \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(t)] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} E\{|R|^2\} &= \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} E \left\{ \int_t^{t+u} (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} [\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(\tau) - \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(t)] d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \int_t^{t+u} (t+u-\sigma)^{r-1} [\overline{\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(\sigma) - \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(t)}] d\sigma \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} \int_t^{t+u} \int_t^{t+u} (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} (t+u-\sigma)^{r-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot E\{[\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(\tau) - \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(t)] [\overline{\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(\sigma) - \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(t)}]\} d\tau d\sigma \\
(2.5) \quad &= \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} \int_t^{t+u} \int_t^{t+u} \{ \rho_r(\tau-\sigma) - \rho_r(\tau-t) - \rho_r(t-\sigma) + \rho_r(0) \} \\
& \quad \cdot (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} (t+u-\sigma)^{r-1} d\tau d\sigma \\
&= \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} \int_t^{t+u} \int_t^{t+u} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{ e^{i(\tau-\sigma)\lambda} - e^{i(\tau-t)\lambda} - e^{i(t-\sigma)\lambda} + 1 \} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot \lambda^{2r} dF(\lambda) \right] (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} (t+u-\sigma)^{r-1} d\tau d\sigma \\
&= \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} \int_t^{t+u} \int_t^{t+u} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{i\tau\lambda} - e^{it\lambda}) \overline{(e^{i\sigma\lambda} - e^{i\lambda})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot \lambda^{2r} dF(\lambda) \right] (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} (t+u-\sigma)^{r-1} d\tau d\sigma \\
&= \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_t^{t+u} (e^{i\tau\lambda} - e^{it\lambda}) (t+u-\tau)^{r-1} d\tau \right|^2 \lambda^{2r} dF(\lambda) \\
&= \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^u (e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1) (u-\xi)^{r-1} d\xi \right|^2 \lambda^{2r} dF(\lambda) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{[(r-1)!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_0^{|u|} |e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1|^{1-\alpha} \xi^\alpha (|u| - \xi)^{r-1} d\xi \right\}^2 |\lambda|^{2r+2\alpha} dF(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

This estimation implies with Minkowski's inequality that

$$(2.6) \quad \sqrt{E\{|R|^2\}} \leq \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_0^{|u|} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1|^{2(1-\alpha)} |\lambda|^{2r+2\alpha} dF(\lambda) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi^\alpha (|u| - \xi)^{r-1} d\xi.$$

Since $|e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1|^{2(1-\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ and $|e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1|^{2(1-\alpha)} \leq 2^{2(1-\alpha)}$, by the assumption (2.2) and Lebesgue's theorem it holds that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1|^{2(1-\alpha)} |\lambda|^{2r+2\alpha} dF(\lambda) = 0.$$

Hence, for any positive number ε , there exists a positive number η such that

$$\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1|^{2(1-\alpha)} |\lambda|^{2r+2\alpha} dF(\lambda) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } |\xi| < \eta.$$

And so, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sqrt{E\{|R|^2\}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{(r-1)!} \int_0^{|u|} \xi^\alpha (|u| - \xi)^{r-1} d\xi < \frac{\varepsilon}{(r-1)!} |u|^{r+\alpha} \quad \text{for } 0 < |u| < \eta,$$

which proves Theorem 1. (The proof of the case $r=0$ will similarly be done with slight modifications.)

REMARK 1. In the case $\alpha=0$, we can prove (2.3) for $X(t)$ in Lemma 2. Because, choosing a positive number δ such that

$$\sqrt{E\{|X^{(r)}(\tau) - X^{(r)}(t)|^2\}} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } |\tau - t| < \delta,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{E\{|R|^2\}} &\leq \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left| \int_t^{t+u} \sqrt{E\{|X^{(r)}(\tau) - X^{(r)}(t)|^2\}} \cdot |t+u-\tau|^{r-1} d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(r-1)!} \left| \int_t^{t+u} |t+u-\tau|^{r-1} d\tau \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(r-1)!} |u|^r. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, let $f(t)$ and $\phi(t)$ be numerical valued functions.

THEOREM 2. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1, we assume for some fixed t that*

$$(2.9) \quad f(t+u) = \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{f^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + o(|u|^{r+\alpha}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \phi(t+u) = \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\phi^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + o(|u|^{r+\alpha}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0.$$

Putting $X(s) = f(s) + \phi(s)\mathcal{E}(s)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad E \left\{ \left| X(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{X^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k \right|^2 \right\} = o(|u|^{2r+2\alpha}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It can be easily seen that $X^{(k)}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, r$) exist and

$$X^{(k)}(t) = f^{(k)}(t) + \sum_{\nu=0}^k \binom{k}{\nu} \phi^{(\nu)}(t) \mathcal{E}^{(k-\nu)}(t) \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, r).$$

Putting

$$f(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{f^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k = \mathcal{A}_1, \quad \phi(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\phi^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k = \mathcal{A}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k = \mathcal{R}$$

by (2.9), (2.10) and Theorem 1 we have

$$(2.12) \quad |\mathcal{A}_1| = o(|u|^{r+\alpha}), \quad |\mathcal{A}_2| = o(|u|^{r+\alpha})$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad E\{|R|^2\} = o(|u|^{2r+2\alpha}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} X(t+u) &= \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{f^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + \mathcal{A}_1 + \left[\sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\phi^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + \mathcal{A}_2 \right] \left[\sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + \mathcal{R} \right] \\ (2.14) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{X^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + \mathcal{A}_1 + \sum_{\substack{0 < k, l \leq r \\ k+l > r}} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(t)}{k!} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(l)}(t)}{l!} u^{k+l} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{A}_2 \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + \mathcal{R} \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\phi^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k + \mathcal{A}_2 \mathcal{R}, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sqrt{E\left\{\left|X(t+u)-\sum_{k=0}^r\frac{X^{(k)}(t)}{k!}u^k\right|^2\right\}} \\
 (2.15) \quad & \leq |A_1| + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k, l \leq r \\ k+l > r}} \frac{|\phi^{(k)}(t)|}{k!} \frac{\sqrt{\rho_k(0)}}{l!} |u|^{k+l} + |A_2| \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\sqrt{\rho_k(0)}}{k!} \cdot |u|^k \\
 & \quad + \sqrt{E\{|R|^2\}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{|\phi^{(k)}(t)|}{k!} |u|^k + |A_2| \right\} \\
 & = o(|u|^{\tau+\alpha}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 2.

In the following, we shall give some examples as applications of Lemma 2.

EXAMPLE 1. For $\mathcal{E}(t)$ in Theorem 1, we assume that

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} dF(\lambda) < \infty \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\{|R_n|^2\} &= \frac{1}{[(n-1)!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^u (e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1)(u-\xi)^{n-1} d\xi \right|^2 \lambda^{2n} dF(\lambda) \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| e^{iu\lambda} - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(iu\lambda)^k}{k!} \right|^2 dF(\lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_n = \mathcal{E}(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k,$$

we get that

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{E}(t+u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(n)}(t)}{n!} u^n \equiv \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k$$

if and only if

$$(2.18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| e^{iu\lambda} - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(iu\lambda)^k}{k!} \right|^2 dF(\lambda) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE 2. For $\mathcal{E}(t)$ in Theorem 1, we assume that there exists a positive constant δ such that

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} dF(\lambda) = o\left(\frac{[n!]^2}{\delta^{2n}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we have (2.17) for any t and u with $|u| < \delta$, because

$$E\{|R_n|^2\} = \frac{1}{[(n-1)!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^u (e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1)(u-\xi)^{n-1} d\xi \right|^2 \lambda^{2n} dF(\lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{4}{[(n-1)!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_0^{|u|} (|u| - \xi)^{n-1} d\xi \right\}^2 \lambda^{2n} dF(\lambda) \\
 (2.20) \quad &= \frac{4|u|^{2n}}{[n!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} dF(\lambda) \\
 &\leq \frac{4\delta^{2n}}{[n!]^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} dF(\lambda) \\
 &= o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } |u| < \delta.
 \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 3. Let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers with $t_n \neq t_0$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $t_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Under the assumption (2.19), the random variable $\mathcal{E}(t)$ for any fixed t is determined with probability 1 by the sequence $\{\mathcal{E}(t_n); n=1, 2, \dots\}$ of the random variables.

EXAMPLE 4. Under the assumption (2.16) for any fixed positive integer n , we have with probability 1 that

$$(2.21) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} \mathcal{E}(t+kh) = \mathcal{E}^{(n)}(t).$$

Since, by Theorem 1,

$$(2.22) \quad E\{|R_k|^2\} = o(h^{2n}) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{E}(t+kh) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} (kh)^\nu + R_k.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} \mathcal{E}(t+kh) \\
 (2.24) \quad &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} k^\nu \right] \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} h^\nu + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} R_k \\
 &= \mathcal{E}^{(n)}(t) h^n + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} R_k
 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{h^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} \mathcal{E}(t+kh) - \mathcal{E}^{(n)}(t) \right|^2 \right\} \\
 (2.25) \quad &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{|h|^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \sqrt{\{|R_k|^2\}} \right\}^2 = o(1) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.21).

REMARK 2. The result of Example 4 has been proved by Kawata [2] in a different method. From Remark 1, we have (2.21) by assuming the existence and the continuity of $\mathcal{E}^{(n)}(t)$ at the point t without the stationarity of $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

3. In the preceding section, we have defined the integral

$$\int_A^B X(t) dK(t).$$

If it holds that

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{\substack{A \rightarrow -\infty \\ B \rightarrow \infty}} \int_A^B X(t) dK(t) = I,$$

then I is denoted as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(t) dK(t).$$

LEMMA 3. *If $X(t)$ is continuous in $(-\infty, \infty)$ and there exists a non-negative valued function $g(t)$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \sqrt{E\{|X(t)|^2\}} \leq g(t) \quad \text{for all } t$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) |dK(t)| < \infty,$$

then there exists

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(t) dK(t).$$

Proof. Since

$$(3.3) \quad E\left\{\left|\int_A^{A'} X(t) dK(t)\right|^2\right\} \leq \left\{\int_A^{A'} g(t) |dK(t)|\right\}^2,$$

we have by (3.2) that

$$(3.4) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{A, A' \rightarrow -\infty} \int_A^{A'} X(t) dK(t) = 0$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{B, B' \rightarrow \infty} \int_B^{B'} X(t) dK(t) = 0,$$

which ensure the existence of the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(t) dK(t).$$

THEOREM 3. Let $H(u)$ be a numerical valued function of bounded variation in $(-\infty, \infty)$ and we assume that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^{r+\alpha} |dH(u)| < \infty,$$

where r is a non-negative integer and α is a constant with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. If $X(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, is a continuous stochastic process such that

$$(3.7) \quad \sqrt{E\{|X(s)|^2\}} \leq C(1 + |s|^{r+\alpha})$$

for all s and some constant C and

$$(3.8) \quad E\left\{\left|X(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{X^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k\right|^2\right\} = o(|u|^{2r+2\alpha}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0,$$

then it holds that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} E\left\{\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) dH(s) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{(-1)^k X^{(k)}(t)}{k! n^k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^k dH(s)\right|^2\right\} \\ = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{2r+2\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (3.6) and (3.7) ensure with Lemma 3 the existence of the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) dH(s).$$

Put

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) dH(s) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{(-1)^k X^{(k)}(t)}{k! n^k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^k dH(s) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{X^{(k)}(t)}{k!} \left(-\frac{s}{n}\right)^k \right] dH(s). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} n^{r+\alpha} \sqrt{E\{|I|^2\}} &\leq n^{r+\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{E\left\{\left|X\left(t - \frac{s}{n}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{X^{(k)}(t)}{k!} \left(-\frac{s}{n}\right)^k\right|^2\right\}} |dH(s)| \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi\left(-\frac{s}{n}\right) \cdot |s|^{r+\alpha} |dH(s)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(u) = |u|^{-r-\alpha} \sqrt{E\left\{\left|X(t+u) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{X^{(k)}(t)}{k!} u^k\right|^2\right\}}$$

for $u \neq 0$ and $\psi(0) = 0$.

Since, by (3. 8),

$$(3. 12) \quad \phi(u) = o(1) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0,$$

we can choose a positive number δ such that

$$(3. 13) \quad \phi(u) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } |u| < \delta.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(3. 14) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(u) &\leq |u|^{-r-\alpha} \left[\sqrt{E\{|X(t+u)|^2\}} + \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\sqrt{E\{|X^{(k)}(t)|^2\}}}{k!} |u|^k \right] \\ &\leq |u|^{-r-\alpha} \left[C(1+|t+u|^{r+\alpha}) + \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\sqrt{E\{|X^{(k)}(t)|^2\}}}{k! \delta^{r+\alpha-k}} |u|^{r+\alpha} \right] \\ &\leq C \left[\delta^{-r-\alpha} + \left(1 + \frac{|t|}{\delta}\right)^{r+\alpha} \right] + \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{\sqrt{E\{|X^{(k)}(t)|^2\}}}{k! \delta^{r+\alpha-k}} \\ &\equiv K \quad \text{for } |u| \geq \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies with (3. 13) that

$$(3. 15) \quad \phi\left(-\frac{s}{n}\right) < K+1 \quad \text{for all } s,$$

Next, (3. 12) shows that

$$(3. 16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(-\frac{s}{n}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for any fixed } s.$$

Since K is a constant independent of s and n , it is obtained by (3. 6), (3. 15), (3. 16) and Lebesgue's theorem that

$$(3. 17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi\left(-\frac{s}{n}\right) |s|^{r+\alpha} |dH(s)| = 0$$

and so

$$(3. 18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{r+\alpha} \sqrt{E\{|I|^2\}} = 0,$$

which gives (3. 9).

From Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we get the following

THEOREM 4. *Let $f(s)$ and $\phi(s)$ be continuous in $(-\infty, \infty)$, $H(s)$ be of bounded variation and $\mathcal{E}(s)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$, be continuous (weakly) stationary stochastic process whose spectral function is $F(\lambda)$. If, in addition to the assumptions (2. 2), (2. 9) (2. 10) and (3. 6), we assume that*

$$(3. 19) \quad |f(s)| \leq C(1+|s|^{r+\alpha})$$

and

$$(3. 20) \quad |\phi(s)| \leq C(1+|s|^{r+\alpha})$$

for all s and some positive constant C , then we have

$$(3.21) \quad E \left\{ \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X \left(t - \frac{s}{n} \right) dH(s) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{(-1)^k X^{(k)}(t)}{k! n^k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^k dH(s) \right|^2 \right\} \\ = o \left(\frac{1}{n^{2r+2\alpha}} \right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $X(s) = f(s) + \phi(s) \mathcal{E}(s)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$.

REMARK 3. The continuity of $f(s)$ and $\phi(s)$ is used to ensure the existence of the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X \left(t - \frac{s}{n} \right) dH(s)$$

only.

REMARK 4. Let $\mathcal{E}_\nu(t)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$) be stationary processes satisfying the conditions similar to the one on $\mathcal{E}(t)$ in Theorem 4 and $\phi_\nu(t)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$) be numerical valued functions satisfying the conditions similar to the one on $\phi(t)$ in Theorem 4. Then we have (3.21) for

$$X(t) = f(t) + \sum_{\nu=1}^N \phi_\nu(t) \mathcal{E}_\nu(t), \quad -\infty < t < \infty.$$

The author expresses his sincerest thanks to Prof. I. Amemiya who has given valuable advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] HATORI, H., Some expansion theorems for stochastic processes, I. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **15** (1963), 111-120.
- [2] KAWATA, T., Remarks on prediction problem in the theory of stationary stochastic processes. *Tōhoku Math. Journ. (2)* **6** (1954), 13-20.
- [3] KAWATA, T., Some convergence theorems for stationary stochastic processes. *Ann. of Math. Stat.* **30** (1959), 1192-1214.

TOKYO COLLEGE OF SCIENCE.